

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Vermont Yankee P.O. Box 0500 185 Old Ferry Road Brattleboro, VT 05302-0500 Tel 802 257 5271

February 22, 2006

Docket No. 50-271 BVY 06-018 TAC No. MC0761

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station Technical Specification Proposed Change No. 263 – Supplement No. 45 Extended Power Uprate – Revised Technical Specification Pages

- Reference:
- Entergy letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station, License No. DPR-28 (Docket No. 50-271), Technical Specification Proposed Change No. 263, Extended Power Uprate," BVY 03-80, September 10, 2003
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Richard B. Ennis) letter to Entergy (Michael Kansler), "Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station – Issuance of Amendment Re: Implementation of ARTS/MELLLA (TAC No. MB8070), April 14, 2004
- 3) Entergy letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station, License No. DPR-28 (Docket No. 50-271), Technical Specification Proposed Change No. 257, Implementation of ARTS/MELLLA at VYNPS, Supplemental Information and Proposed Allowable Value," BVY 03-115, December 11, 2003
- 4) Entergy letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station, Technical Specification Proposed Change No. 263 – Supplement No. 44, Extended Power Uprate – Revised License and Technical Specifications," BVY 06-001, January 10, 2006

This letter provides additional information regarding the application by Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy) for a license amendment (Reference 1, as supplemented) to increase the maximum authorized power level of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (VYNPS) from 1593 megawatts thermal (MWt) to 1912 MWt.



. 1

ĵ

BVY 06-018 Docket No. 50-271 Page 2 of 3

In Reference 1, Entergy provided proposed changes to the VYNPS Technical Specifications (TS) to support the extended power uprate (EPU). Included among the TS changes were revisions to the Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) flow-biased flux scram trip settings in TS 2.1.A.1.a and Table 3.1.1 (the trip settings are the same in both TS sections). The trip settings that were provided in Reference 1 for this function of the reactor protection system are EPU analytical limits.

Subsequent to Entergy's submittal of its EPU license amendment request, the NRC granted in Reference 2 (License Amendment No. 219) its approval of a separate Entergy application to implement the APRM, Rod Block Monitor TS / Maximum Extended Load Line Limit Analysis (ARTS/MELLLA) improvement program. Reference 2 accepted a revised setpoint methodology and the limited application of instrumentation allowable values (AVs) in the TS. Entergy's ARTS/MELLLA license amendment request (LAR) had indicated that the revised methodology and use of AVs for limiting safety system settings would also be applicable to the EPU LAR (Reference 3).

Attachment 2 to Reference 3 provided a copy of Entergy calculation VYC-0693A, "APRM Neutron Monitoring Trip Loops," which contains the APRM flow-biased flux scram trip setting AVs for ARTS/MELLLA, as well as the trip setting AVs for the same trip function for EPU. (See Table 10 on page 17 of 29.) The analytical limits in calculation VYC-0693A have been used as appropriate in the EPU safety analyses. However, through an administrative oversight, Entergy did not update the analytical limits set forth in Reference 1 with AVs in accordance with the changes implemented by Reference 3. Reference 4, which provided camera-ready, revised TS pages also did not change the subject APRM trip settings to AVs. To correct this oversight, Entergy is providing as Attachments 1 and 2 to this letter the marked-up TS pages and the retyped TS pages, respectively, for the trip setting AVs applicable to the APRM flow-biased flux scram. Entergy apologizes for any inconvenience that this oversight may have caused.

This supplement to the license amendment request provides additional information regarding Entergy's application for a license amendment and does not change the scope or conclusions in the original application, nor does it change Entergy's determination of no significant hazards consideration. There are no new regulatory commitments contained in this submittal.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Mr. James DeVincentis at (802) 258-4236.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 22, 2006.

7

Sincerely,

Jay K. Thayer (Site Vice President Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

BVY 06-018 Docket No. 50-271 Page 3 of 3

Attachments (2)

•

cc: Mr. Samuel J. Collins (w/o attachment) Regional Administrator, Region 1 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

> Mr. Richard B. Ennis, Project Manager Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O 8 B1 Washington, DC 20555

USNRC Resident Inspector (w/o attachment) Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC P.O. Box 157 Vernon, Vermont 05354

Mr. David O'Brien, Commissioner VT Department of Public Service 112 State Street – Drawer 20 Montpelier, Vermont 05620-2601

BVY 06-018 Docket No. 50-271

Attachment 1

•

ì

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Proposed Technical Specification Change No. 263 – Supplement No. 45

Extended Power Uprate

Marked-Up Technical Specifications Pages

Total number of pages in Attachment 1 (excluding this cover sheet) is 3.

VYNPS

1.1 SAFETY LIMIT

1.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY

Applicability:

Applies to the interrelated variable associated with fuel thermal behavior.

Objective:

To establish limits below which the integrity of the fuel cladding is preserved.

Specification:

4INSERT?

A. <u>Bundle Safety Limit (Reactor</u> <u>Pressure >800 psia and Core Flow</u> >10% of Rated)

When the reactor pressure is >800 psia and the core flow is greater than 10% of rated:

 A Minimum Critical Power Ratio (MCPR) of less than 1.07 (1.09 for Single Loop Operation) shall constitute violation of the Fuel Cladding Integrity Safety Limit (FCISL). 2.1 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING

2.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY

Applicability:

Applies to trip setting of the instruments and devices which are provided to prevent the nuclear system safety limits from being exceeded. • • • • •

:

Objective:

To define the level of the process variable at which automatic protective action is initiated.

Specification:

A. Trip Settings

The limiting safety system trip settings shall be as specified below:

1. Neutron Flux Trip Settings

a. <u>APRM Flux Scram</u> <u>Allowable Value</u> (Run Mode)

> When the mode switch is in the RUN position, the APRM flux scram Allowable Value shall be:



power (1912 MWt)

6

VYNPS

TABLE 3.1.1

REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM (SCRAM) INSTRUMENT REQUIREMENTS

			Modes in Which Functions Must be Operating			Minimum Number Operating Instrument Channels Per	Required ACTIONS When Minimum Conditions For Operation Are Not
	Trip Function	Trip Settings	<u>Refuel (1)</u>	<u>Startup(12)</u>	Run	Trip System (2)) Satisfied (3)
1.	Mode Switch in Shutdown (5A-S1)		x	x	x	1	A
2.	Manual Scram (5A-S3A/B)		x	x	х	1	A
з.	IRM (7-41(A-F))						
	High Flux	<u><</u> 120/125	х	x		2	A
	INOP		х	х		2	A
4.	APRM (APRM A-F)						
	High Flux (flow bias)	Two loop operation: (4) S\$ 0.33W+ 53.7% for 0% <w \$\$="" 30.<="" td=""> S\$ 1.07W+ 30.8% for 30.9% <w \$\$="" 66.<="" td=""> S\$ 0.55W+ 65.5% for 66.7% <w \$\$="" 99.<="" td=""> With a maximum of 120 0% power for W 99.0% Single loop operation: S\$ 0.33W+ 51.1% for 0% <w \$\$="" 39.<="" td=""> S\$ 1.07W+ 22.2% for 39.1% <w \$\$="" 61.<="" td=""> S\$ 0.55W+ 54.7% for 61.7% <w \$\$="" 119.4%<="" td=""></w></w></w></w></w></w>	.98 .78 .08 ₩ >	INSERT	x	2	A or B
	High Flux (reduced)	<u><</u> 15%	х	x		2	А
	INOP			x	x	2 (5)	A or B
5.	High Reactor Pressure (PT-2-3-55(A-D) (M)	<u><</u> 1055 psig	x	x	х	2	A

Amendment No. 21, 44, 64, 68, 76, 78, 79, 90, 94, 164, 186, 187, 219

.

.

. .

21

χ.,

(if a second structure of the second structure contraction of the second structure of the second se second sec

INSERT TO MARKED-UP TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION PAGES

Two loop operation:

•

 $\begin{array}{l} S \leq 0.33W + \ 50.45\% \ for \ 0\% < W \ \leq \ 30.9\% \\ S \leq \ 1.07W + \ 27.23\% \ for \ 30.9\% < W' \ \leq \ 66.7\% \\ S \leq \ 0.55W + \ 62.34 \ for \ 66.7\% < W \ \leq \ 99.0\% \\ With \ a \ maximum \ of \ 117.0\% \ power \ for \ W \ > \ 99.0\% \end{array}$

Single loop operation:

 $\begin{array}{l} S \leq 0.33W + 48.00 \mbox{ for } 0\% < W \ \leq 39.1\% \\ S \leq 1.07W + 19.01 \mbox{ for } 39.1\% < W \ \leq 61.7\% \\ S \leq 0.55W + 51.22 \mbox{ for } 61.7\% < W \ \leq 119.4\% \\ With a maximum \mbox{ of } 117.0\% \mbox{ power for } W > 119.4\% \end{array}$

BVY 06-018 Docket No. 50-271

Attachment 2

<u>،</u> ۲

ī

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Proposed Technical Specification Change No. 263 - Supplement No. 45

Extended Power Uprate

Re-Typed Technical Specifications Pages

Total number of pages in Attachment 2 (excluding this cover sheet) is 2.

1.1 SAFETY LIMIT

.*

1.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY

Applicability:

Applies to the interrelated variable associated with fuel thermal behavior.

Objective:

To establish limits below which the integrity of the fuel cladding is preserved.

Specification:

A. <u>Bundle Safety Limit (Reactor</u> <u>Pressure >800 psia and Core Flow</u> >10% of Rated)

When the reactor pressure is >800 psia and the core flow is greater than 10% of rated:

1. A Minimum Critical Power Ratio (MCPR) of less than 1.07 (1.09 for Single Loop Operation) shall constitute violation of the Fuel Cladding Integrity Safety Limit (FCISL). 2.1 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING

2.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY

Applicability:

Applies to trip setting of the instruments and devices which are provided to prevent the nuclear system safety limits from being exceeded.

Objective:

To define the level of the process variable at which automatic protective action is initiated.

Specification:

A. Trip Settings

The limiting safety system trip settings shall be as specified below:

- 1. Neutron Flux Trip Settings
 - a. <u>APRM Flux Scram</u> <u>Allowable Value</u> (Run Mode)

When the mode switch is in the RUN position, the APRM flux scram Allowable Value shall be:

Two loop operation:

 $S \le 0.33W$ + 50.45% for $0 \le < W \le 30.9 \le S \le 1.07W$ + 27.23% for $30.9 \le < W \le 66.7 \le S \le 0.55W$ + 62.34% for 66.7% $< W \le 99.0 \le W$ with a maximum of 117.0% power for $W > 99.0 \le 0$

Single loop operation:

 $S \le 0.33W + 48.00$ % for 0% < $W \le 39.1$ % $S \le 1.07W + 19.01$ % for 39.1% < $W \le 61.7$ % $S \le 0.55W + 51.22$ % for 61.7% < $W \le 119.4$ % With a maximum of 117.0% power for W >119.4%

where:

S = setting in percent
of rated thermal
power (1912 MWt)

TABLE 3.1.1

VYNPS

REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM (SCRAM) INSTRUMENT REQUIREMENTS

. .

			Modes in Which Functions Must be Operating			Minimum Number Operating Instrument Chapmels Per	Required ACTIONS When Minimum Conditions For Operation
	Trip Function Trip Sett	Trip Settings	<u>Refuel (1)</u>	Startup(12)	<u>Run</u>	Trip System (2)	Satisfied (3)
1.	Mode Switch in Shutdown (5A-S1)		x	x	x	1	A
2.	Manual Scram (5A-S3A/B)		x	x	x	1	A
з.	IRM (7-41(A-F))						
	High Flux	<u><</u> 120/125	x	X		2	A
	INOP		x	X		2	A
4.	APRM (APRM A-F)						
	High Flux (flow bias)	Two loop operation: (4) $S \le 0.33W + 50.45\%$ for $0\% < W \le 30.9\%$ $S \le 1.07W + 27.23\%$ for $30.9\% < W \le 66.7\%$ $S \le 0.55W + 62.34\%$ for $66.7\% < W \le 99.0\%$ With a maximum of 117.0\% power for $W > 99.0\%$			x	2	A or B
		Single loop operation: (4) S \leq 0.33W+ 48.00% for 0% < W \leq 39.1% S \leq 1.07W+ 19.01% for 39.1% < W \leq 61.7% S \leq 0.55W+ 51.22% for 61.7% < W \leq 119.4% With a maximum of 117.0% power for W > 119.4%					
	High Flux (reduced)	<u><</u> 15%	x	x		2	A
	INOP			x	x	2(5)	A or B
5.	High Reactor Pressure (PT-2-3-55(A-D) (M)	<1055 psig	X	x	x	2	A

.

21