

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION IV 611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400 ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-8064

April 22, 1998

7-1

1)(\_

Market Control of the
SUBJECT: ALLEGATION NO. RIV-1997-A-0193
Dear 1
This is in reference to my January 20, 1998, letter wand advised you that we had initiated a review of you

This is in reference to my January 20, 1998, letter which acknowledged receipt of your concerns, and advised you that we had initiated a review of your concerns regarding the activities at the United Nuclear Corporation (UNC) - Church Rock facility.

The NRC Region IV Office of Investigations (OI) has completed its investigation in response to the concerns which you identified to this office. The enclosure to this letter documents each of your concerns and summarizes how the NRC resolved each of your concerns. Your concerns are <u>underlined</u> and the resolution is in **bold** text. In summary, the OI investigation did not substantiate that a UNC supervisor deliberately falsified radiation survey records of windblown tailings, or that you the term were the subject of harassment for reporting safety concerns to the NRC. Enclosed for your reference and information is a copy of the OI Synopsis of the investigation.

The OI transcript of your interview was reviewed by the Region IV technical staff to determine whether there were any issues which warranted additional review by NRC. Our review did not identify any safety issues which required further NRC review.

Thank you for informing us of your concerns. We believe that our actions in this matter have been responsive to your concerns. We take our safety responsibilities to the public very seriously and will continue to do so within the bounds of our lawful authority. Unless the NRC receives additional information that suggests that our conclusions should be altered, Region IV plans no further action on this matter.

Should you have any additional questions, or if I can be of further assistance in this matter, please call me on the NRC Safety Hotline at (800)695-7403.

Sincerely,

Russell Wise

Senior Allegations Coordinator

Enclosures: As stated

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information

Act, exemptions FOIA 2005-0300

MC portions

17

## **RESOLUTION OF CONCERNS**

1. Records concerning the cleanup of windblown tailings (specifically, the radiation survey taken after the tails had been cleaned up) were intentionally falsified at the direction of your supervisor to show that radiation was down to acceptable levels instead of the elevated levels given by the instruments.

The NRC Region IV Office of Investigations (OI) conducted an investigation and concluded that a United Nuclear Corporation (UNC) supervisor did not deliberately falsify radiation survey records of windblown tailings. Additionally, the Region IV technical staff reviewed the transcript of your interview with OI and did not identify any safety issues which required further NRC review.

2.	You were harassed after you reported the concern to UI	NC management
	and you were terminated from your employment.	nc
	OI did not substantiate that a UNC supervisor harassed you you reported safety concerns to the NRC.	after

This concern was not substantiated.

This concern was not substantiated.

nc portions

## SYNOPSIS

This investigation was initiated on August 19, 1997, by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Office of Investigations (OI), Region IV (RIV), to determine whether a supervisor at United Nuclear Corporation (UNC), an NRC licensee, deliberately falsified radiation survey records of the windblown tailings. Additionally, it was alleged that a UNC supervisor harassed a former UNC employee lafter the employee reported safety concerns to the NRC.

Based on the evidence, testimony, and review by the RIV technical staff and the Regional Counsel during this investigation, the allegation that a UNC supervisor deliberately falsified radiation survey records of windblown tailings was not substantiated. Additionally, the allegation that a UNC supervisor harassed the former UNC employee after the employee reported safety concerns to the NRC was not substantiated.

NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE WITHOUT APPROVAL OF FIELD OFFICE DIRECTOR. OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS. REGION IV

Case No. 4-97-046

ne portion

bcc w/Enclosure: Allegation File

DOCUMENT NAME: P:\RXW/97193oi.clo
To receive copy of document, indicate in box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

RIV:SAC )			DNMS:C:NMLB	DNMS:D
1 JU	GFSanborn	JLusher / /!	CCainなくし	RAScarano (12
04/\/98	047/198	04 <i>ir</i> /198	047 /98	04/2098

OFFICIÁL RECORD COPY

1965 1965 1965 1965	man ng j	
7c		Mail Receip Coverage Provide International Mail
76	Posinya	
	Certified Fee Special Delivery Fee	\$
5	Restricted Delivery Fee Return Receipt Showing	
June 1990	Relum & Date Delivered Relum Receipt Showing to Whom, Date, & Address of Delivery	
3800,	TOTAL Postage & Fees Postmark or Date	\$2.92
PS Form <b>3800</b> , June 1990	3 pg 4-97-A-0193	gs

n me reverse	<ul> <li>Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we card to you.</li> <li>Attach this form to the front of the malipiece, or on the back if specpermit.</li> <li>Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the malipiece below the article was delivered and delivered.</li> </ul>	extra fee):  1.   KAddressee's Address  2.   Restricted Delivery  Consult postmaster for fee.		
AN ADDRESS completed of	3. Article Addressed to: 7c / 7c / 7c / 4-97-A-0193	4b. Service  4b. Service  Registers  Express In Return Record  7. Date of All	umher Type ed Maji WIN Capt for Menchandise	Certified Branch
in inclinit	5. Received By: (Print Name)  6. Signature: (Addressee or Agent)	8. Address e and fee h	Address (Only	requested well 7

I also wish to receive the following services (for an

Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER:

"Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.

"Complete items 3, 4a, and 4b.

PS Form **3811**, December 1994