

(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:

Stork Twin City Testing
662 Cromwell Avenue
St. Paul, MN 55114

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region III
2443 Warrenville Road, Suite No. 210
Lisle, IL 60532-4351

REPORT 2006/001

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-04983

4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)

22-01376-02

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

02/8/2006

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- ☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- ☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- ☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- ☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Tony S. Go		02/8/06

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION			
1. LICENSEE Stork Twin City Testing REPORT NUMBER(S) 2006/001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-004983	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 22-01376-02	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 02/ 08 /06	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES 87121	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07		
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION			
1. PROGRAM 3320	2. PRIORITY 1	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Derek Trites	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 651-775-6725
<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection <input type="checkbox"/> Field Office <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site		Next Inspection Date: 02/07	
PROGRAM SCOPE			

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2003)

Stork Twin City Testing is global engineering company authorized to perform industrial radiography. The licensee performed operation at temporary job sites near St. Paul/ Minnesota area. However, majority of its activities performed at the licensee's PRI at St. Paul office. As of the inspection date, the licensee employed four radiographers and three assistant radiographers. The licensee performed few temporary job site activities in past months; however, the licensee was not active during the inspection week. At the facility, the licensee operates a PRI, a dedicated cell for radiographic activities. The licensee possessed three cameras, specifically, one AEA Technology Model-424 camera containing Co-60, and two AEA Technology Model-660B Cameras containing Ir-192 sealed sources.

Performance Observations

During a performance-based inspection, the licensee's radiographer performed a typical radiographic exposure utilizing the exposure devices at the PRI facility. A radiation exposure survey conducted with the inspector's RamGam-1 indicated less than 2 mR/hr at the unrestricted area boundary outside the cell. During the observations, a certified radiographer possessed calibrated NDS radiation survey meters, pocket dosimeters, and RA-500 Alarming dosimeters.

Additionally, the inspector verified that the licensee possessed all necessary documents pertaining to utilization logs, licenses, certifications, operating emergency procedures and NRC Regulations. The inspector did not identify problems with the PRI facility during the inspection, and postings at the PRI and storage area facilities were found adequate. The inspector observed a radiographer performed operational check on the visible and audible warning signals of the cell's safety system at the facility. The safety system of the PRI was found operational. The inspector did not observed health and safety problems associated with the performance-based inspection at this time.