1/11 - Cipto Maragae & West aper



(Information)

January 7, 1994

SECY-93-280A

<u>FOR</u>: The Commissioners

FROM: James M. Taylor Executive Director for Operations

<u>SUBJECT</u>: ADDENDUM TO SECY-93-280 - ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S REQUEST TO UTILIZE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE SUPPORT FROM THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION'S FEDERALLY FUNDED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER, THE CENTER FOR NUCLEAR WASTE REGULATORY ANALYSES

### **PURPOSE:**

To supplement SECY-93-280 seeking Commission approval for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to contract with the Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses (CNWRA or the Center) to support EPA's high-level waste (HLW) activities at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP). This addendum provides the status of EPA's procurement activity and addresses NRC concerns stemming from recent actions that EPA took in this area.

### **DISCUSSION:**

In anticipation of the Commission's consent to contract with the Center, EPA issued a "Potential Sources Sought" announcement in the <u>Commerce Business</u> <u>Daily</u> on September 24, 1993. The announcement addressed technical support for evaluation of WIPP. EPA issued a modified announcement that clarified conflict-of-interest requirements on October 19, 1993. On December 15, 1993, NRC staff learned that EPA had cancelled the announcement in its entirety.

NOTE:

TE: TO BE MADE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE WHEN THE FINAL SRM AND SECY-93-280 ARE MADE AVAILABLE

Contact: Shirley L. Fortuna, NMSS 504-2427

# The Commissioners

٩.,

The staff became concerned about EPA's intent to contract with the Center.

NRC staff met on December 20, 1993, with EPA to discuss the status of EPA's work requirements for the Center. During the meeting, EPA management indicated that the procurement will be delayed several months (until approximately June 1994) to allow sufficient time to determine how best to proceed. After a determination is made, EPA intends to proceed with the procurement. EPA does not plan to substantially change the work requirement for the Center described in SECY-93-280. EPA indicated it would be helpful if the Commission could reach a decision on consent at this time.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The NRC staff continues to recommend Commission approval of EPA's request for Center support, contingent on the conditions defined in SECY-93-280. Further, both the NRC staff and EPA agree that it would be advantageous for EPA to learn now if NRC will permit EPA to fund work at the CNWRA and to learn of any conditions NRC might attach.

### **COORDINATION:**

The Office of the General Counsel has reviewed this paper and has no legal objection.

ames M. Taxlor Executive Director for Operations

DISTRIBUTION: Commissioners OGC OCAA OIG OPA OCA OPP EDO ACNW SECY