

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Spicer Group, Inc. 230 South Washington Ave. Saginaw, MI 48607 REPORT 2006-001	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE REGION III US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210 Lisle, ILLINOIS 60532
--	---

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-31442	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 21-26099-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 1/25/06
----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11. (Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Ed Kulzer	<i>E. L. Kulzer</i>	1/25/06

Docket File Information
**SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**



1. LICENSEE Spicer Group, Inc.		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-31442		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-26099-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 1/25/06
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124		7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07	
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION			
1. PROGRAM 03121	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Rick Born	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 517/754-4717
<input type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection Date: <u>January 2011</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Field			
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site			

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is an engineering consulting group that employs 150 individuals. The licensee possesses ten Troxler 3440 moisture density gauges, for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for DOT road and other construction projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs twelve authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Each device was chained stored in a locked cabinet in the licensee's office in Saginaw, Michigan.

Performance Observations

At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The licensee was in the process of shipping six of the ten gauges back to the vendor for calibration. The licensee had four gauges remaining that had just returned from the vendor. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and kept them where they were accessible in the transport vehicle..

The inspector interviewed an authorized user and asked the user to load his vehicle with the gauge. The inspector asked the operator several scenarios in which he appeared to demonstrate an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures. The licensee's vehicles had tops to the pick up trucks which locked and a chain holding the case in place. The inspector saw only vehicles that were equipped with two independent physical controls for securing the gauges during the inspection.