

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: **MICHAEL LALA, MD, PC**
(PULMONARY AND INTERNAL MED. SPECIALISTS)
26699 W. 12 Mile Rd Suites 103, 201
Southfield, MI

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
2943 WARRENVILLE RD SUITE 210
LISLE, IL 60532

REPORT NUMBER(S) ~~030~~ 2006/001

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)
030-18558

4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)
21-24380-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
1/10/06

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.


----- non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):

- 4. During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Statement of Corrective Actions

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

TITLE	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
LICENSEE			
NRC INSPECTOR	SARAH R. BAKHSH		1/10/06



Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Michael Lala, MD, PC REPORT NUMBER(S) 2006/001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE REGION III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-18558	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-24380-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 1/10/2006	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED IP 87131		7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.07	

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 02200	2. PRIORITY 3	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Michael Lala, MD	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 734-455-4730
-----------------------------	------------------	---	-------------------------------------

Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: 4/2009

Field Office Inspection _____

Temporary Job Site Inspection _____

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee was a clinic located in West Bloomfield, Michigan, with many other satellite offices in the Michigan area. The licensee was authorized to conduct licensed activities under 10 CFR 35.100, 35.200, and 35.300. The licensee employed one full-time technologist who performed diagnostic studies using technetium-99m in unit doses approximately three times weekly. The unit doses were delivered from a local pharmacy. All waste was either held for decay-in-storage or returned to the pharmacy.

PERFORMANCE OBSERVATIONS

During the inspection, the inspector observed: (1) administration of the radioactive material by the technologist; (2) adequate security of the licensed material; (3) adequate posting of areas; (4) package receipt and return surveys; (5) responses to emergency scenarios; (6) survey meter use and calibration; (7) daily and weekly contamination surveys; (8) dose calibrator constancy checks, and reviewed select records.

The inspector verified the corrective actions regarding two previous violations in September of 2002 and determined them to be adequate. Therefore, the previous violations are considered closed.