

BRUCE H HAMILTON Vice President Oconee Nuclear Station

Duke Power ONO1VP / 7800 Rocherster Highway Seneca, SC 29672

864 885 3487 864 885 4208 fax

January 5, 2006

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Document Control Desk

Subject: Oconee Nuclear Station

Docket Numbers 50-269, 270 and 287

Implementation of Amendment Associated with New Reactor Building Emergency Sump (RBES) Strainers,

TSC Number 2004-08

On November 1, 2005, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Amendment Nos. 348 and 350 to Renewed Facility Operating Licenses DPR-38 and DPR-47 for Oconee Nuclear Station (ONS), Units 1 and 2. The amendments consists of changes to Technical Specification (TS) in response to a Duke Energy Corporation (Duke) application dated August 18, 2005, as supplemented by letter dated September 15, 2006. Duke's License Amendment Request (LAR) requested the change for Unit 3, however, supporting calculations for the Unit 3 modification had not been completed prior to the need date for Unit 2. As a result, NRC issued the amendment for Units 1 and 2 only.

During implementation of this change, in order to retain the existing requirements for Unit 3 and implement the new requirements for Units 1 and 2, Duke created separate TS pages applicable to Units 1 and 2 (Amendment Nos. 348 and 350) and to Unit 3 (retained existing Amendment No. for Unit 3). The NRC (Leonard Olshan) agreed that this method of implementation was appropriate and strictly administrative. The NRC requested we submit the revised pages after implementation.

Attachment 1 provides the revised Technical Specification pages.

A001

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission January 5, 2006 · Page 2

If there are any additional questions, please contact Boyd Shingleton at (864) 885-4716.

Very truly yours,

Bruce H Hamilton, Vice President

Oconee Nuclear Site

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission January 5, 2006 Page 3

cc: Mr. L. N. Olshan, Project Manager Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-14 H25 Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. W. D. Travers, Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission - Region II
Atlanta Federal Center
61 Forsyth St., SW, Suite 23T85
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. M. C. Shannon Senior Resident Inspector Oconee Nuclear Station

Mr. Henry Porter, Director Division of Radioactive Waste Management Bureau of Land and Waste Management Department of Health & Environmental Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, SC 29201

ATTACHMENT 1

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

Remove Page	<u>Insert Page</u>
3.5.2-5	3.5.2-5a
	3.5.2-5b
3.5.3-3	3.5.3-3a
	3.5.3-3b

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.2.3	Verify each HPI pump's developed head at the test flow point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR 3.5.2.4	Verify each HPI automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.5.2.5	Verify each HPI pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.5.2.6	Verify, by visual inspection, each HPI train reactor building sump suction inlet is not restricted by debris and suction inlet strainers show no evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion.	18 months
SR 3.5.2.7	Cycle each HPI discharge crossover valve and LPI-HPI flow path discharge valve.	18 months

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.2.3	Verify each HPI pump's developed head at the test flow point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR 3.5.2.4	Verify each HPI automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.5.2.5	Verify each HPI pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.5.2.6	Verify, by visual inspection, each HPI train reactor building sump suction inlet is not restricted by debris and suction inlet trash racks and screens show no evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion.	18 months
SR 3.5.2.7	Cycle each HPI discharge crossover valve and LPI-HPI flow path discharge valve.	18 months

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.3.2	Not applicable to operating LPI pump(s).	
	Vent each LPI pump casing.	31 days
SR 3.5.3.3	Verify each LPI pump's developed head at the test flow point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR 3.5.3.4	Verify each LPI automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.5.3.5	Verify each LPI pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.5.3.6	Verify, by visual inspection, each LPI train reactor building sump suction inlet is not restricted by debris and suction inlet strainers show no evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion.	18 months

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)			
	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.5.3.2	Not applicable to operating LPI pump(s). Vent each LPI pump casing.	31 days	
SR 3.5.3.3	Verify each LPI pump's developed head at the test flow point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program	
SR 3.5.3.4	Verify each LPI automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	
SR 3.5.3.5	Verify each LPI pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	
SR 3.5.3.6	Verify, by visual inspection, each LPI train reactor building sump suction inlet is not restricted by debris and suction inlet trash racks and screens show no evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion.	18 months	