

Assignment Tickler: **Paul Gage, CN IN EX**

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1

Due Date	Chief	Facility/ Task	Description	Date Complete	Notes
✓ 12/5/04	PCG	CN IN EX	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement (C.1.a;C.2.a&b)	12/5 <i>g</i>	
✓ 12/5/04	PCG	CN IN EX	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1.c;C.2.e)	1/10 <i>g</i>	
✓ 12/5/04	PCG	CN IN EX	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues (C.2.c)	1/10 <i>g</i>	
✓ 12/5/04	PCG	CN IN EX	Corp. Notification Letter Sent (C.2.d) (Exams only)	3/3 <i>g</i>	
✓ 4/19/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	NA	
3/5/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	3/3 <i>g</i>	
✓ 2/3/05	PCG	CN IN EX	[Reference Material Due (C.1.d;C.3.c)	1/15 <i>g</i>	
✓ 2/3/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d&e;C.3.d)	2/14 <i>g</i>	
2/17/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent (c.2.h;C.3.e)	NA	
5/4/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.j;C.2.g;ES202)	5/2 <i>g</i>	
4/4/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/e/f;C.3.d)	4/4 <i>g</i>	
4/14/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Peer Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts*	4/12 <i>g</i>	
4/14/05	PCG	CN IN EX	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev. (C.2.h;C.3.f)*	5/5 <i>g</i>	
4/14/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f&h;C.3.g)	5/12 <i>g</i>	
5/20/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared (C.1.j;C.2.h;ES202)	5/31 <i>g</i>	
5/27/05	PCG	CN IN EX	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)*	6/1 <i>g</i>	
5/13/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Director debrief (PIR Only)	NA	
5/27/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent (C.2.g)	6/2 <i>g</i>	
5/27/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	6/2 <i>g</i>	

Assignment Tickler: **Paul Gage, CN IN EX**

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1

5/27/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Exam/Insp Material to Team (C.3.i)	6/1	☒
6/15/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	6/23	☒
<del>6/3/05</del> 6/13	PCG	CN IN EX	Administer Exam/Conduct Inspection	6/17	☒
6/18/05	PCG	CN IN EX	NRC Written Grading Completed	6/22	☒
6/18/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	6/23	☒
6/28/05	PCG	CN IN EX	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	6/23	☒
6/29/05	PCG	CN IN EX	NRC BC Review Completed*	6/27	☒
7/4/05	PCG	CN IN EX	RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	6/28	☒
7/4/05	PCG	CN IN EX	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	6/28	☒
7/25/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Package Closed Out	12/21	☒
9/1/05	PCG	CN IN EX	Chief QA of ADAMS	12/21	☒

## Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2004 To 09/30/2005

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
10/04/2004	Cooper / 05000298 / 2005301 TAC #: X02288		Prep	NNN	GAGE, PAUL C.	ELKMANN, PAUL J. GAGE, PAUL C. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.
11/15/2004	Cooper / 05000298 / 2004015 Procedure #: 7111111B				GAGE, PAUL C.	GAGE, PAUL C. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.
05/09/2005	Cooper / 05000298 / 2005301 TAC #: X02288		Prep	NNN	GAGE, PAUL C.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. ELKMANN, PAUL J. GAGE, PAUL C. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.
06/06/2005	Cooper / 05000298 / 2005301 TAC #: X02288	RO - 6 SROU - 3	SROI - 1 Admin	NNN	GAGE, PAUL C.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. ELKMANN, PAUL J. GAGE, PAUL C. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.

Facility: <u>Cooper</u>		Date of Examination: <u>6/13/2005</u>		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	Smb	[initials]	[initials]
a. Author	<u>Stephen Grachow</u> Printed Name/Signature			Date
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>Michael Deah</u>			<u>6/13/05</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>Paul Gage / Paul Gage</u>			<u>6/2/05</u>
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>ANTHONY GODY / Anthony</u>			<u>6/2/05</u>
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				

Facility: Cooper Nuclear Station		Date of Exam: 6 / 13 / 05		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c*			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	JMB	NA	HQ			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	JMB	NA	HQ			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	JMB	NA	HQ			
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).	JMB NA		HQ			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed, or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started, or ___ the examinations were developed independently, or ___ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication, or ___ other (explain)	JMB	NA	HQ			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	JMB	NA	HQ
	3 / 0	0 / 0	72 / 25			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		C/A	JMB	NA	HQ
	32 / 11		43 / 14			
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	JMB	NA	HQ			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	JMB	NA	HQ			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	JMB	NA	HQ			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	JMB	NA	HQ			
a. Author		Printed Name / Signature		Date		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)		Stephen Garchow / <i>SM Garchow</i>		5/24/05		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)		Paul Gage / <i>Paul Gage</i>		NA		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor		Anthony Gody / <i>ANTHONY T. GODY / ATGody</i>		5/24/05		
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: Cooper Nuclear Station				Date of Examination: June 6, 2005				Operating Test Number: 1			
1. General Criteria							Initials				
							a	b*	c#		
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).						PJE	NA	H		
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.						PJE	NA	H		
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)						PJE	NA			
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.						PJE	NA	H		
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.						PJE	NA	H		
2. Walk-Through Criteria							--	--	--		
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• initial conditions</li> <li>• initiating cues</li> <li>• references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>• reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>• operationally important specific performance criteria that include:                         <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>– system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>– statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>– criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>– identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>– restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						PJE	NA	H		
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.						PJE	NA	A		
3. Simulator Criteria							--	--	--		
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.							PJE	NA			
							Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a.	Author	Paul J. Elkmann <u>Paul J. Elkmann</u>				May 1, 2005					
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	NOT APPLICABLE / <u>MICHAEL BARTON</u>				6-13-05					
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>MP</u> Paul Gage / <u>Paul Gage</u>				6/1/05					
d.	NRC Supervisor	<u>Anthony Gagy</u> / <u>ATG</u>				6/1/05					
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.											

Facility: <i>Cooper</i>		Date of Exam: <i>6/13</i>		Scenario Numbers: <i>2, 3, 4</i>		Operating Test No.:	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				Initials			
				a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		-	-	-	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	<i>5, 5, 5</i>	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	<i>1, 2, 1</i>	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	<i>2, 2, 3</i>	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	
4.	Major transients (1-2)	<i>2, 1, 2</i>	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	<i>4, 3, 3</i>	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	<i>1, 0, 0</i>	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	<i>3, 3, 3</i>	<i>JMB</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	<i>[initials]</i>	

Facility: <u>Cooper</u>		Date of Exam: <u>6/13/2005</u>		Exam Level: <u>RO/SRO</u>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>SMB</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>JK</u>		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<u>SMB</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>JK</u>		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<u>SMB</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>JK</u>		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<u>SMB</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>JK</u>		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>SMB</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>JK</u>		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<u>SMB</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>JK</u>		
	Printed Name/Signature	Date			
a. Grader	<u>S M Garchow / SM Garchow</u>	<u>6/23/05</u>			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>Paul Hoge</u>	<u>6/27/05</u>			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Anthony Gody / Pat Gody</u>	<u>6/27/05</u>			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

## ATTACHMENT A, SECURITY AGREEMENT

Type of Examination: 2005 NRC <sup>2005-9-05</sup> WRITTEN EXAM.

### 1. Pre-examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the examination scheduled for the week(s) indicated in this agreement as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about this examination to any unauthorized persons. An unauthorized person is any individual who has not been approved by the lead examiner to receive specialized knowledge of the examination. I understand that I am not to participate in any instruction, tutoring, or examination involving those operators scheduled to be administered this examination from this date until completion of examination administration for those persons. I further understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in disciplinary action.

### 2. Post-examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the examination(s) administered during the indicated week(s). From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not participate in instructing those operators who were administered this examination(s).

Examination Period 5-9-05 to 6-20-05

Printed Name	Pre-examination Certification (1)		Post-examination Certification (2)	
	Signature	Date	Signature	Date
RICHARD TANDERUP	<i>Richard Tanderup</i>	5/9/05	<i>Richard Tanderup</i>	6/16/05
MICK JOE	<i>Mick Joe</i>	5/9/05	<i>Mick Joe</i>	6/20/05
Dan Goodman	<i>Dan Goodman</i>	5/9/05	<i>Dan Goodman</i>	6/19/05
Frank Mphly	<i>Frank Mphly</i>	5/9/05	<i>Frank Mphly</i>	6/19/05
KENNETH NOSBISCH	<i>Kenneth Nosbisch</i>	5/9/05	<i>Kenneth Nosbisch</i>	6/29/05
LEE CONNER	<i>Lee Conner</i>	5-9-05	<i>Lee Conner</i>	6-23-05
David Tune	<i>David Tune</i>	5-19-05	<i>David Tune</i>	7-20-05
nate beger	<i>Nate Bege</i>	6-9-05	<i>Nate Bege</i>	6/30/05

## ATTACHMENT A, SECURITY AGREEMENT

Type of Examination: 2005 1LT EXAM.

1. Pre-examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the examination scheduled for the week(s) indicated in this agreement as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about this examination to any unauthorized persons. An unauthorized person is any individual who has not been approved by the lead examiner to receive specialized knowledge of the examination. I understand that I am not to participate in any instruction, tutoring, or examination involving those operators scheduled to be administered this examination from this date until completion of examination administration for those persons. I further understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in disciplinary action.

2. Post-examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the examination(s) administered during the indicated week(s). From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not participate in instructing those operators who were administered this examination(s).

Examination Period 5-9-05 to 6-20-05

Printed Name	Pre-examination Certification (1)		Post-examination Certification (2)	
	Signature	Date	Signature	Date
#3 Matt Kreifels	<i>Matt Kreifels</i>	3/11/05	<i>Matt Kreifels</i>	6/20/05
MICHAEL BARTON	<i>Michael Barton</i>	3-11-05	<i>Michael Barton</i>	6-20-05
RONALD CONAWAY	<i>Ronald Conaway</i>	3-11-05	<i>Ronald D. Conaway</i>	4/20/05
JIM FLORENCE	<i>Jim Florence</i>	3/24/05	<i>Jim Florence</i>	6/22/05

## ATTACHMENT A, SECURITY AGREEMENT

Type of Examination: 2005 NRC EXAM

1. Pre-examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the examination scheduled for the week(s) indicated in this agreement as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about this examination to any unauthorized persons. An unauthorized person is any individual who has not been approved by the lead examiner to receive specialized knowledge of the examination. I understand that I am not to participate in any instruction, tutoring, or examination involving those operators scheduled to be administered this examination from this date until completion of examination administration for those persons. I further understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in disciplinary action.

2. Post-examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the examination(s) administered during the indicated week(s). From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not participate in instructing those operators who were administered this examination(s).

Examination Period 5-30-05 to 6-20-05

Printed Name	Pre-examination Certification (1)		Post-examination Certification (2)	
	Signature	Date	Signature	Date
TAMMY KNAPP	<i>Tammy Knapp</i>	6/2/05	<i>Tammy Knapp</i>	6/2/05
sharon ketelsen	<i>Sharon Ketelsen</i>	6/2/05	<i>Sharon Ketelsen</i>	6/2/05
Jeff Boyd	<i>Jeff Boyd</i>	6/12/05	<i>Jeff Boyd</i>	6/17/05
David Tune	<i>DLT</i>	6-19-05	<i>DLT</i>	6-20-05