

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR 73
 (76 FR 67380)

From: Art Hanson <hanson@lcc.edu>
To: <SECY@nrc.gov>
Date: Sun, Jan 15, 2006 12:02 AM
Subject: Public Comments on United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Proposed Rule Making on NUCLEAR POWER STATION SECURITY

Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

DOCKETED
 USNRC

My Comments on and Evaluation of the NRC Current Proposed Rule on Amending the Design Basis Threat (DBT):

January 17, 2006 (2:19pm)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
 RULEMAKINGS AND
 ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

1. The NRC proposal to make no upgrades to existing security requirements for nuclear facilities is unacceptable in the face of the current terrorist threat and the potential catastrophic consequences of a successful attack on a nuclear site.

2. Nineteen attackers, who were willing to kill large numbers of people and be killed in the process, were involved in the September 11th attacks on the United States. It is unacceptable to require site protection around nuclear power stations to prepare for only a small fraction of the number of attackers already demonstrated.

3. As identified in the report authored by The National Commission on Terrorist Attacks on the United States, the original al-Qaeda plan was to hijack ten domestic commercial aircraft and direct two of them into U.S. nuclear power stations. By September 11, 2001 the attack plan was scaled back to four hijacked aircraft which were involved in successful suicidal attacks from the air on the World Trade Center, the Pentagon and an aborted unknown third destination, possibly a nuclear reactor. It is unacceptable as currently proposed by the NRC rule change to continue to exempt air attacks from the kinds of threats nuclear reactors must be capable of defending against.

4. The NRC proposed rulemaking defers specific actions requested by the Committee to Bridge the Gap for an overall upgrade of reactor security to a minimum level necessary to repel the equivalent of the September 11th attacks and in particular the physical construction of "Beamhenge" shields around each nuclear power station so that planes would crash into the shields, not the reactor facilities with catastrophic and far reaching destruction.

5. Under the guise of protecting "safeguards information," the NRC rulemaking itself is a violation of rulemaking laws, in that it provides nothing but vague generalities that make meaningful and genuine public comments impossible. Given the longstanding public concerns regarding NRC and nuclear industry security cost containment strategies, the proposed rule is the dangerous product of behind-closed-door meetings and dealmakings that after-the-fact offers the public an opportunity to comment without specifics or basis. This type of business as usual damages public confidence in NRC priorities and the current state of security levels existing at nuclear power stations.

6. Congress ordered NRC to include in any rulemaking consideration of September 11th-level threats, attacks by large groups, and attacks by air. NRC has defied Congress in this rulemaking by failing to consider any of these matters.

Template = SECY-067

SECY-02

Sincerely,
Art Hanson
1815 Briarwood Dr.
Lansing, MI 48917-1773
hanson@lcc.edu

Mail Envelope Properties (43C9D741.11B : 2 : 20763)

Subject: Public Comments on United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Proposed Rule Making on NUCLEAR POWER STATION SECURITY
Creation Date: Sun, Jan 15, 2006 12:01 AM
From: Art Hanson <hansona@lcc.edu>
Created By: hansona@lcc.edu

Recipients

nrc.gov
owf5_po.OWFN_DO
SECY (SECY)

Post Office

owf5_po.OWFN_DO

Route

nrc.gov

Files

MESSAGE
Mime.822

Size

2865
4186

Date & Time

Sunday, January 15, 2006 12:01 AM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard