



GE Energy

David H. Hinds
Manager, ESBWR

PO Box 780 M/C L60
Wilmington, NC 28402-0780
USA

T 910 675 6363
F 910 362 6363
david.hinds@ge.com

MFN 06-009

Docket No. 52-010

January 10, 2006

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

**Subject: Supplementary Information for RAI No. 9 Related to NEDE-33083P,
Supplement 1, "TRACG Application for ESBWR Stability Analysis"**

In response to the subject RAI (Reference 1), GE agreed to modify the approach used for ESBWR stability analysis as described in Enclosure 1. Enclosure 1 also provides the results for the regional stability Monte Carlo analysis and the revised pages for NEDE-33083P, Supplement 1 that reflect the changes. GE proposes to include these revised pages in the "-A", (accepted) version of the LTR.

Enclosure 1 contains GE proprietary information as defined by 10 CFR 2.390. GE customarily maintains this information in confidence and withholds it from public disclosure. A non-proprietary version of the RAI response is provided in Enclosure 2. The non proprietary revised LTR pages will be included in the non proprietary version (NEDO-33083, Supplement 1, Revision 1) of the LTR.

The affidavit contained in Enclosure 3 identifies that the information contained in Enclosure 1 has been handled and classified as proprietary to GE. GE hereby requests that the information of Enclosure 1 be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.390 and 9.17.

If you have any questions about the information provided here, please let me know.

DD68

Sincerely,



David H. Hinds
Manager, ESBWR

References:

1. MFN 05-097, Letter from David H. Hinds to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, *Response to NRC Request for Additional Information Related to NEDE-33083P, "TRACG Application for ESBWR Stability Analysis"*, September 28, 2005

Enclosures:

1. MFN 06-009 – Supplementary Information for RAI No. 9 Related to NEDE-33083P, Supplement 1, "TRACG Application for ESBWR Stability Analysis" – GE Proprietary Information
2. MFN 06-009 – Supplementary Information for RAI No. 9 Related to NEDE-33083P, Supplement 1, "TRACG Application for ESBWR Stability Analysis" – Non Proprietary Version
3. Affidavit, George B. Stramback, dated January 10, 2006

cc: WD Beckner USNRC (w/o enclosures)
AE Cabbage USNRC (with enclosures)
LA Dudes USNRC (w/o enclosures)
GB Stramback GE San Jose (with enclosures)
eDRF 0000-0030-1246

MFN 06-009
Enclosure 2

ENCLOSURE 2

MFN 06-009

**Supplementary Information for RAI No. 9 Related to NEDE-33083P,
Supplement 1, "TRACG Application for ESBWR Stability Analysis"**

MFN 06-009
Enclosure 2

**Supplementary Information for RAI 9 Related to NEDE-33083P, Supplement 1,
*TRACG Application for ESBWR Stability Analysis***

The modified approach used for ESBWR stability analysis as follows:

- The stability design criteria will be modified to be:
 - Limiting channel decay ratio < 0.8
 - Core decay ratio < 0.8 ;
 - Regional decay ratio < 0.8 .
- All these evaluations will be made at 95% content and 95% confidence.
- The design goal will be to maintain the nominal values of the channel, core and regional decay ratios less than 0.4.

The results for the regional stability Monte Carlo analysis are provided below:

[[

]]

ENCLOSURE 3

MFN 06-009

Affidavit

General Electric Company

AFFIDAVIT

I, **George B. Stramback**, state as follows:

- (1) I am Manager, Regulatory Services, General Electric Company ("GE") and have been delegated the function of reviewing the information described in paragraph (2) which is sought to be withheld, and have been authorized to apply for its withholding.
- (2) The information sought to be withheld is contained in Enclosure 1 of GE letter MFN 06-009, David H. Hinds to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, *Supplementary Information for RAI No. 9 Related to NEDE-33083P, Supplement 1, "TRACG Application for ESBWR Stability Analysis,"* dated January 10, 2006. The proprietary information in Enclosure 1, *Supplementary Information for RAI No. 9 Related to NEDE-33083P, Supplement 1, "TRACG Application for ESBWR Stability Analysis"*, is identified by a dark red font with double underlines inside double square brackets. Figures and large equation objects are identified with double square brackets before and after the object. In each case, the superscript notation⁽³⁾ refers to Paragraph (3) of this affidavit, which provides the basis for the proprietary determination.
- (3) In making this application for withholding of proprietary information of which it is the owner, GE relies upon the exemption from disclosure set forth in the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 USC Sec. 552(b)(4), and the Trade Secrets Act, 18 USC Sec. 1905, and NRC regulations 10 CFR 9.17(a)(4), and 2.790(a)(4) for "trade secrets" (Exemption 4). The material for which exemption from disclosure is here sought also qualify under the narrower definition of "trade secret", within the meanings assigned to those terms for purposes of FOIA Exemption 4 in, respectively, Critical Mass Energy Project v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 975F2d871 (DC Cir. 1992), and Public Citizen Health Research Group v. FDA, 704F2d1280 (DC Cir. 1983).
- (4) Some examples of categories of information which fit into the definition of proprietary information are:
 - a. Information that discloses a process, method, or apparatus, including supporting data and analyses, where prevention of its use by General Electric's competitors without license from General Electric constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies;
 - b. Information which, if used by a competitor, would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing of a similar product;

- c. Information which reveals aspects of past, present, or future General Electric customer-funded development plans and programs, resulting in potential products to General Electric;
- d. Information which discloses patentable subject matter for which it may be desirable to obtain patent protection.

The information sought to be withheld is considered to be proprietary for the reasons set forth in paragraphs (4)a., and (4)b, above.

- (5) To address 10 CFR 2.390 (b) (4), the information sought to be withheld is being submitted to NRC in confidence. The information is of a sort customarily held in confidence by GE, and is in fact so held. The information sought to be withheld has, to the best of my knowledge and belief, consistently been held in confidence by GE, no public disclosure has been made, and it is not available in public sources. All disclosures to third parties including any required transmittals to NRC, have been made, or must be made, pursuant to regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements which provide for maintenance of the information in confidence. Its initial designation as proprietary information, and the subsequent steps taken to prevent its unauthorized disclosure, are as set forth in paragraphs (6) and (7) following.
- (6) Initial approval of proprietary treatment of a document is made by the manager of the originating component, the person most likely to be acquainted with the value and sensitivity of the information in relation to industry knowledge. Access to such documents within GE is limited on a "need to know" basis.
- (7) The procedure for approval of external release of such a document typically requires review by the staff manager, project manager, principal scientist or other equivalent authority, by the manager of the cognizant marketing function (or his delegate), and by the Legal Operation, for technical content, competitive effect, and determination of the accuracy of the proprietary designation. Disclosures outside GE are limited to regulatory bodies, customers, and potential customers, and their agents, suppliers, and licensees, and others with a legitimate need for the information, and then only in accordance with appropriate regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements.
- (8) The information identified in paragraph (2), above, is classified as proprietary because it contains the results of analytical models, methods and processes, including computer codes, which GE has developed, and applied to perform stability evaluations using the TRACG code for the ESBWR. GE has developed this TRACG code for over fifteen years, at a total cost in excess of three million dollars.

The development of the evaluation process along with the interpretation and application of the analytical results is derived from the extensive experience database that constitutes a major GE asset.

- (9) Public disclosure of the information sought to be withheld is likely to cause substantial harm to GE's competitive position and foreclose or reduce the availability of profit-making opportunities. The information is part of GE's comprehensive BWR safety and technology base, and its commercial value extends beyond the original development cost. The value of the technology base goes beyond the extensive physical database and analytical methodology and includes development of the expertise to determine and apply the appropriate evaluation process. In addition, the technology base includes the value derived from providing analyses done with NRC-approved methods.

The research, development, engineering, analytical and NRC review costs comprise a substantial investment of time and money by GE.


The precise value of the expertise to devise an evaluation process and apply the correct analytical methodology is difficult to quantify, but it clearly is substantial.

GE's competitive advantage will be lost if its competitors are able to use the results of the GE experience to normalize or verify their own process or if they are able to claim an equivalent understanding by demonstrating that they can arrive at the same or similar conclusions.

The value of this information to GE would be lost if the information were disclosed to the public. Making such information available to competitors without their having been required to undertake a similar expenditure of resources would unfairly provide competitors with a windfall, and deprive GE of the opportunity to exercise its competitive advantage to seek an adequate return on its large investment in developing these very valuable analytical tools.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing affidavit and the matters stated therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed on this 10th day of January 2006


George B. Stramback
General Electric Company