

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PA 63
(70FR 53313)

KENNY C. GUINN
Governor

STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT R. LOUX
Executive Director



OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
AGENCY FOR NUCLEAR PROJECTS

1761 E. College Parkway, Suite 118
Carson City, Nevada 89706

Telephone: (775) 687-3744 • Fax: (775) 687-5277

E-mail: nwpo@nuc.state.nv.us

January 11, 2006

DOCKETED
USNRC

January 12, 2006 (9:08am)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff

Re: Implementation of a Dose Standard After 10,000 Years – 10 CFR Part 63 (RIN 3150-AH68)

To Whom It May Concern:

In response to NRC's Federal Register Notice of September 8, 2005 (Federal Register/ Vol. 70, No. 173/ Proposed Rule, Page 53313 B 53320), below is a Supplemental Comment the State of Nevada has submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on EPA's August 22, 2005 proposed amendments to 10 C.F.R. Part 197, "Public Health and Environmental Radiation Standards for Yucca Mountain, Nevada." We are also submitting the following Supplemental Comment to NRC to be included in the docket for NRC's "Implementation of a Dose Standard After 10,000 Years" (10 CFR Part 63).

Provisions of the proposed EPA amendments to 10 C.F.R. Part 197 included at proposed section 197.36 are designed to limit consideration of certain physical processes in the repository system safety performance assessment in the post-10,000 year performance period. These include a limit on the NRC's ability to take account of localized corrosion of the waste package. This limit is based on an EPA finding that the phenomena of localized corrosion of the waste packages at Yucca Mountain is sufficiently understood scientifically that the potential for significant new effects on public safety after 10,000 years should be ignored. In the proposed amendment EPA concludes these effects must be ignored unless they can be shown to be significant before then, which EPA seems to think is unlikely. See 70 Fed. Reg. 49053.

In its overly confident conclusions about localized corrosion processes, EPA is at odds with the experts in the field. In a December 30, 2005 letter to the Congress, the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board advised that it "continues to be concerned about the potential for localized corrosion in deliquescent brines formed at temperatures between 160 C and 220 C from airborne dust that will be deposited on the surface of the waste packages." Further, in an October 6, 2005 scientific report (not released until late December), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analysis concluded that "under a limited range of

Template=SECY-067

SECY-02


conditions, stress corrosion cracking of Alloy-22 has been observed in environments containing chloride and bicarbonate, which may be present in water entering the emplacement drifts.”

It is clear from these sources, whose technical expertise on waste package corrosion far exceeds the EPA's, that the science of localized corrosion of the Yucca Mountain waste packages is still being developed, that significant technical concerns remain, and that more scientific work needs to be done before the matter can be resolved. Moreover, recent DOE initiatives to re-examine the waste package design for Yucca Mountain raise serious questions whether EPA's assumptions about the nature of the waste package subject to corrosion will comport with reality.

Given this, it is completely arbitrary for the Agency to issue a binding rule that limits the Commission ability to consider the matter. The licensing and regulatory process for Yucca Mountain must be based on sound science, but it cannot be if the Agency tells the Commission that it must willfully blind itself to significant new scientific information. The recent dramatic changes in DOE's program to develop Yucca Mountain also strongly counsels against an inflexible EPA rule that treats the Yucca Mountain Project as if it were frozen in the mid-2005 time frame.

Please consider the above as a late comment on the proposed rule. Good cause exists for your consideration of these comments since the referenced technical reports became available only recently.

Sincerely,



Robert R. Loux
Executive Director

RRL/cs

cc Nevada Congressional Delegation
U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board
U.S. Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste
Samuel Bodman, Secretary of Energy
David R. Hill, General Counsel, U.S. Department of Energy
Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone, President, National Academy of Sciences
Dr. Lars-Erik Holm, Chairman, International Commission on
Radiation Protection
National Conference of Radiation Control Directors
National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements

From: "Joe Strolin" <jstrolin@nuc.state.nv.us>
To: <SECY@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Jan 11, 2006 5:10 PM
Subject: Supplemental Comment on NRC's proposed 10 CFR Part 63 - "Implementation of a Dose Standard After 10,000 Years" (RIN 3150-AH68)

To whom it may concern:

Attached please find a supplemental comment by the State of Nevada on NRC's September 8, 2005 Federal Register Notice, 10 CFR Part 63 - "Implementation of a Dose Standard After 10,000 Years" (RIN 3150-AH68).

Please acknowledge receipt of this supplemental comment by replying to this email.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Joseph C. Strolin, Administrator
Planning Division
Nevada Agency for Nuclear Projects
Office of the Governor
1761 E. College Parkway, Suite 118
Carson City, Nevada 89706
(775) 687-3744
(775) 687-5277 (Fax)

CC: "Marta Adams" <maadams@ag.state.nv.us>, "Charles Fitzpatrick" <cfitzpatrick@nuclearlawyer.com>, "Joe Egan" <eganpc@aol.com>, "Marty Malsch" <mmalsch@nuclearlawyer.com>, "Bob Loux" <bloux@nuc.state.nv.us>

Mail Envelope Properties (43C58252.370 : 9 : 25456)

Subject: Supplemental Comment on NRC's proposed 10 CFR Part 63 -
"Implementation of a Dose Standard After 10,000 Years" (RIN 3150-AH68)

Creation Date: Wed, Jan 11, 2006 5:09 PM

From: "Joe Strolin" <jstrolin@nuc.state.nv.us>

Created By: jstrolin@nuc.state.nv.us

Recipients

nrc.gov

owf5_po.OWFN_DO
SECY (SECY)

nuc.state.nv.us

bloux CC (Bob Loux)

nuclearlawyer.com

mmalsch CC (Marty Malsch)
cfitzpatrick CC (Charles Fitzpatrick)

aol.com

eganpc CC (Joe Egan)

ag.state.nv.us

maadams CC (Marta Adams)

Post Office

owf5_po.OWFN_DO

Route

nrc.gov
nuc.state.nv.us
nuclearlawyer.com
aol.com
ag.state.nv.us

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	600	Wednesday, January 11, 2006 5:09 PM
TEXT.htm	1342	
EPA Rule Supplemental Comment-Corrosion.pdf	81899	
Mime.822	116618	

Options

Expiration Date: None

Priority: Standard

Reply Requested: No

Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard