1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION BEFORE THE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

LOUISIANA ENERGY SERVICES (NATIONAL ENRICHMENT FACILITY)

Docket No. 70-3103-ML

Applicant,

v.

ASLBP No. 04-826-01-ML

NUCLEAR INFORMATION AND RESOURCE SERVICE AND PUBLIC CITIZEN,

Petitioners.

Friday, August 26, 2005

DEPOSITION OF:

ROD KRICH

called for examination by counsel for the petitioners, pursuant to notice, in the law offices of Winston & Strawn, located at 1700 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., at 1:00 p.m., when were present on behalf of the respective parties:

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of Low Siona Energy Services LP. Docket No. 10-3103-ML Official Exhibit No

OFFERED by: Applicant/Licensee Intervenor\_

NRC Staff

IDENTIFIED on 10/24/05 Witness/Panel Makhijani Action Taken: ADMITTED REJECTED WITHDRAWN

Reporter/Clerk PC

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

**COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

Template=SECY-028

#### APPEARANCES:

## On Behalf of the Applicant:

JAMES R. CURTISS, ESQ. MARTIN J. O'NEILL, ESQ. AMY ROMA, ESQ.

Of: Winston & Strawn LLP

1700 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006-3817

(202) 282-5751 (202) 282-5797

# On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

LISA CLARK, ESQ. KATHLEEN KANNLER, ESQ.

Of: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

(301) 415-1571

### On Behalf of the Petitioners:

LINDSAY A. LOVEJOY, JR., ESQ. 618 Paseo de Peralta, Unit B Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 983-1800

#### ALSO PRESENT:

CRAIG DEAN ICF Consulting

TIMOTHY C. JOHNSON

Senior Mechanical Systems Engineer, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, Nuclear Regulatory Commission

JOSEPH P. MALHEREK
Policy Analyst, Critical Mass Energy and
Environment Program, Public Citizen

BRIAN SMITH
Nuclear Regulatory Commission

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

### INDEX

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Rod Krich	4			

# EXHIBITS

Exn.		
No.	<u>Document</u> <u>Description</u>	<u>Paqe</u>
1	Curriculum vitae of Krich	5
2	Extract from LES safety analysis report	17
3	LES safety analysis report,	
	Table 10.1-14	18
4		18
5	1/14/05 letter from Jim Curtiss	21
6	Memo from ICF Consulting	25
7	12/2/04 e-mail from R. Fisk to R. Krich	27
8	NRC memorandum	30
9	3/3/05 submission by NEF to	
	Commission staff	32
10	4/11/05 NRC memorandum	33
11	3/29/05 NEF letter, NEF05016	36
12	4/8/05 letter from LES to NRC, NEF05017	44
13	7/26/05 NRC memo	46
14	7/22/05 NRC letter to Krich	47
15	Winston & Strawn letter to Lovejoy	

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	traveled. That's not to say that there won't be very,
2	very small fluctuations, but in general, overall, what
3	the vendor has told us is that this figure is
4	independent of distance costs.
5	Q So it's \$0.85 per kilogram uranium for
6	what?
7	A As we said in our submittal and as it's
8	reflected in the NRC safety evaluation, transportation
9	costs were based on an estimate from Transportation
10	Logistics International and this transportation
11	estimate - \$0.85 per kilogram uranium was independent
12	of distance.
13	Q So this estimate applies if the depleted
14	uranium is shipped 2,000 miles. Is that correct?
15	A I think that's what independent of
16	distance means.
17	Q Do you know what the basis is for this
18	estimate, the \$0.85 estimate?
19	A Now, the basis is that as it's stated here
20	and as it's stated in our submittal, this was provided
21	by Transportation Logistics International, a
22	transportation company

1	Transportation Logistics International, or
2	TLI, is the largest transporter of radioactive
3	material, including uranium, in the country, and
4	probably one of the largest transporters of uranium
5	and radioactive material in the world.
6	They've been in business for quite a long
7	time and have transported probably more material than
8	any other transporter in the U.S. and has provided
9	this estimate. So this estimate is based on
10	information directly from Transportation Logistics
11	International.
12	Q Do you know how they came up with this
13	number?
14	A They used their experience, being the
15	United States' largest transporter of uranium and
16	radioactive material.
17	Q Right, but this is an exact number,
18	\$0.85. How did they come up with \$0.85 and not \$0.90
19	or \$0.60 or something else?
20	A Well, in submittals to the NRC, there was
21	a proprietary submittal in which Transportation
22	Logistics provided a range of costs. As explained in

1	that submittal, that range was averaged in order to
2	come up with the \$0.85 per kilogram uranium. That was
3	my doing.
4	Q What did you average?
5	A I averaged the range of costs that were
6	provided by TLI.
7	Q What was the range?
8	A That's proprietary.
9	Q It may be. Can you tell us?
10	A I can if there's no one ? so long as
11	everyone here has signed the non-disclosure agreement.
12	MR. CURTISS: The court reporter has
13	signed the non-disclosure agreement and I think
14	everybody else here has on the NRC side. Is that
15	correct, Lisa? The non-disclosure agreement has been
16	executed by every person on our side.
17	MR. LOVEJOY: Joe's signed it.
18	MR. CURTISS: Mr. Malherek has as well, I
19	believe. Let's wait until she just finishes here,
20	since she has not signed it. Not that she would use
21	that information.

THE WITNESS:

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

22

The range of charges that

1	were provided by TLI was submitted in a letter from
2	us, from LES, to the NRC. I believe it was the
3	March 29 letter, although I'm not sure of that. You
4	were provided a copy of that letter.
5	MR. LOVEJOY: So do you have something in
6	front of you, a document?
7	THE WITNESS: I have the document that was
8	transmitted along with that letter that I just
9	referred to.
10	MR. CURTISS: The reference number is
11	NEF05-016, March 29, 2005, which I believe was
12	disclosed by counsel for LES. Do you have that noted
13	on there?
14	MR. LOVEJOY: Yes, why don't we mark it so
15	that the record is clear what the witnesses are
16	referring to? It could be out of order. There it is.
17	Let's hold off. It's not in the March 29 submittal.
18	THE WITNESS: I'm just - well, I'm not
19	sure. I don't remember.
20	MR. CURTISS: Just a moment.
21	MR. LOVEJOY: I have several items and I
22	think we'll probably get to it. Can you just ? I

1	mean, you can hunt the document up if you want to
2	THE WITNESS: No, I don't want to hunt the
3	document up.
4	BY MR. LOVEJOY:
5	Q Okay. Well
6	A I'm just confirming that this was a
7	document that was provided to you as part of the
8	disclosure.
9	Q Yes. So you took some numbers from that
10	document and you made an average. Is that right?
11	A I took the figures provided by
12	Transportation Logistics, based on their extensive
13	experience in transporting uranium and other
14	radioactive material, and made an average number to
15	use in the cost estimate.
16	MR. CURTISS: The document reference that
17	Mr. Krich is referring to is Bates number
18	LES-PRO-00776.
19	THE WITNESS: Right.
20	MR. CURTISS: Identified as proprietary,
21	so the discussion of this should all be reflected as
22	proprietary.

1	MR. LOVEJOY: The one he's referring to
2	now is 00776?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	BY MR. LOVEJOY:
5	Q Okay. In connection with the disposition
6	of depleted uranium, what transportation movements do
7	you anticipate?
8	A As stated in our application, we have only
9	taken into consideration transportation by truck.
10	Q Okay, well, let's see. Will there be
11	transportation of depleted uranium hexafluoride from
12	the enrichment plant to a deconversion plant?
13	A Could you repeat the question?
14	Q Will there be transportation of DUF6 from
15	the enrichment plant to a deconversion plant?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. Will there be transportation of
18	converted or deconverted uranium in the form of U-308
19	from a deconversion plant to a disposal site?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Will there also be transportation of
22	byproducts of conversions, such as CaF2, from a
ļ	NEAL P. OROSC

1	deconversion plant to a disposal site?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. Are there any other movements of
4	transportation that you anticipate?
5	A Not that I'm aware of.
6	Q Okay. What is LES's cost estimate for
7	each of those shipments?
8	A I think that we already answered that
9	question. It's in the application. It's \$0.85 per
10	kilogram uranium.
11	Q Okay. In testifying in support of that
12	\$0.85 figure, whom have you spoken with in developing
13	that number?
14	A Again, I think I testified to that
15	already, Mr. Lovejoy. Transportation Logistics
16	International, the country's largest transporter of
17	radioactive material and uranium, was consulted and in
18	fact provided in writing their estimates of what it
19	would cost to transport this material.
20	Q But individually, whom did you speak with?
21	A The President and CEO of Transportation
22	Logistics.