

January 20, 2006

MEMORANDUM TO: File

FROM: Christian J. Araguas, Project Manager */RA/*
New Reactor Licensing Branch
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: SOUTHERN NUCLEAR COMPANY (SNC) EARLY SITE PERMIT
PRE-APPLICATION REVIEW - SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE CALL
HELD ON DECEMBER 7, 2005, TO DISCUSS NRC COMMENTS ON
SOUTHERN'S QUALITY ASSURANCE MANUAL

This memorandum documents the results of a telephone conference between the NRC staff and Southern Nuclear Operating Company on December 7, 2005.

A summary of the call is attached.

Attachment: As stated

Project No. 737

MEMORANDUM TO: File

January 20, 2006

FROM: Christian J. Araguas, Project Manager */RA/*
New Reactor Licensing Branch
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: SOUTHERN NUCLEAR COMPANY (SNC) EARLY SITE PERMIT
PRE-APPLICATION REVIEW - SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE CALL
HELD ON DECEMBER 7, 2005, TO DISCUSS NRC COMMENTS ON
SOUTHERN'S QUALITY ASSURANCE MANUAL

This memorandum documents the results of a telephone conference between the NRC staff and Southern Nuclear Operating Company on December 7, 2005.

A summary of the call is attached.

Attachment: As stated

Project No. 737

Distribution:
NRBA Rdg.
CAraguas
LDudes

ADAMS ACCESSION NO. ML060110109

OFFICE	PM:NRBA
NAME	CAraguas
DATE	01/13/2005

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Telephone Call Summary

Subject: Clarification of NRC Comments on Southern's Quality Assurance Manual

Date of Call: December 7, 2005

Participants

NRC

Christian Araguas
Paul Prescott
Aida Rivera-Varona

Pre-Applicant

Tom McCallum
Jim Davis

Actions

Topic

QA Manual Submittal
for Review

NRC

Review Southern's QA manual and issue
second round comments

Applicant

Submit revised QA
manual for NRC
review

Other Discussion

The staff discussed its comments on the quality assurance (QA) manual that Southern submitted on November 4, 2005. In particular, Southern asked whether implementing procedures needed to be submitted along with the QA manual this early in the review. The staff stated that if the applicant is willing to submit this information at this stage, that the staff would be willing to review it. The staff discussed several other comments with the applicant and the applicant stated that any changes due to NRC comments would be reflected in a later version of the QA manual which the applicant is planning to submit for another sufficiency review. The applicant also stated that it would consider providing procedural level information in its next submittal.