DEPLETED AND NATURAL URANIUM: CHEMISTRY AND TOXICOLOGICAL EFFECTS
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Depleted uranium (DU) is a by-product from the chemical enrichment of naturally occurring uranium. Natural uranium is comprised of three radioactive isotopes: 238U, 235U, and 234U. This enrichment process reduces the radioactivity of DU to roughly 30% of that of natural uranium. Nonmilitary uses of DU include counterweights in airplanes, shields against radiation in medical radiotherapy units and transport of radioactive isotopes. DU has also been used during wartime in heavy tank armor, armor-piercing bullets, and missiles, due to its desirable chemical properties coupled with its decreased radioactivity. DU weapons are used unservedly by the armed forces. Chemically and toxicologically, DU behaves similarly to natural uranium metal. Although the effects of DU on human health are not easily discerned, they may be produced by both its chemical and radiological properties. DU can be toxic to many bodily systems, as presented in this review. Most importantly, normal functioning of the kidney, brain, liver, and heart can be affected by DU exposure. Numerous other systems can also be affected by DU exposure, and these are also reviewed. Despite the prevalence of DU usage in many applications, limited data exist regarding the toxicological consequences on human health. This review focuses on the chemistry, pharmacokinetics, and toxicological effects of depleted and natural uranium on several systems in the mammalian body. A section on risk assessment concludes the review.

Interest in depleted uranium (DU) is rapidly increasing as researchers realize its many potential military and civilian applications. Uranium, a naturally occurring heavy metal, is found in all soils, waters and rocks. Natural uranium is comprised of three radioactive isotopes: 238U, 235U, and 234U. Of these, 235U is of particular interest due to its ability to sustain nuclear chain reactions. However, in naturally occurring uranium 235U only accounts for 0.72% isotopic composition. Therefore, techniques have been developed in which uranium ore is chemically enriched, increasing the concentration of 235U to 2–4% (ATSDR, 1999). The uranium that remains after processing, commonly called depleted uranium, has decreased levels of 235U. DU, although having all the chemical and biological properties of naturally occurring uranium, is significantly less radioactive. The high density of uranium, combined with low radioactivity, makes DU especially attractive for use in military armor and in armor penetrators, and was used recently during the Gulf and the Balkans wars (Abu-Qare & Abou-Donia, 2002; Bolton & Foster, 2002, Bleise et al., 2003). Civilian uses of DU include counterweights in airplane construction, shields for irradiation units in hospitals, and containers for transport of radioactive sources. Small quantities of DU are used in the formation of porcelains, glasses, and catalysts. The military and the civilian uses of depleted uranium has environmental and health implications (Cantaluppi & Degetto, 2000).

This review updates earlier literature on natural and depleted uranium presenting their chemistry, pathways of exposure, behavior within the body, and apparent adverse health effects (Figure 1). Finally, a risk assessment for DU has been made, including exposure limits and hazardous chemical formulas.
In 1789, Martin Heinrich Klaproth discovered a new element, uranium, which he named after the newly discovered planet Uranus (Soloveichik, 1993). Fifty years later, in 1841, E. M. Péligot found that the uranit discovered by Klaproth was not metallic uranium but uranium dioxide, UO₂.

True elemental uranium was obtained by thermally reducing anhydrous uranium tetrachloride, UCl₄, in the presence of potassium (Grey, 1993). A new process for producing metallic uranium (>99% uranium), involving the electrolysis of sodium uranyl chloride, was developed by H. Moisson in the 1890s (Clark et al., 1997; Peês et al., 1996).

The enrichment process generally involves processing uranium ore into uranium oxide (U₃O₈) and then fluorinating it to UF₆. This UF₆ gas, containing all three isotopes, is then passed through a long series of columns through which the ²³⁴U and ²³⁵U pass more quickly than ²³⁶U. The enriched UF₆ is typically converted to uranium metal for a nuclear reactor fuel. The depleted UF₆ is either converted to uranium metal for a variety of civilian or military uses, or is stored for future use. Poorly enriched uranium (2–4%) is used in civilian nuclear power reactors, while highly enriched uranium (>90%) is used in special research reactors, nuclear submarine reactor cores, and nuclear weapons. DU is used as (1) radiation shielding, (2) for missile projectiles, (3) as target elements in plutonium production reactors, (4) for gyroscope components, and (5) as counterweights or stabilizers in aircrafts. DU is chemically identical to natural and enriched uranium, although it is approximately 40% less radioactive than the naturally occurring metal (ATSDR, 1999).

---

**FIGURE 1.** Body systems impacted by DU exposure.
Uranium makes up approximately 2–4 mg/kg of the earth’s crust (ATSDR, 1999). It is more plentiful than silver or tin, with an abundance equal to that of molybdenum or arsenic. Although in nature there are more than 100 different uranium ores, uranium typically occurs as the mixed oxide \( \text{U}_3\text{O}_8 \), in amorphous (pitchblende) or crystalline forms (uraninite). Additional sources of uranium include torbernite \((\text{Cu(UO}_2\text{)}_2\text{(PO}_4\text{)}_2\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O})\) and carnitite \((\text{K}_2\text{(UO}_2\text{)}_2\text{(VO}_4\text{)}_2\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O})\), as well as those listed in Table 1. Large deposits of uranium were discovered in the United States, Canada, South Africa, and Australia. The greatest concentrations of uranium in the United States are located in the Western states of Colorado, Arizona, Wyoming, Texas, Utah, and New Mexico (Lide, 1994).

### CHEMISTRY

#### Isotopic Forms

Uranium, having the atomic number 92 and an atomic mass of 238.03 g/mol, can be transformed into the stable element lead (Pb) via many intermediate stages involving the emission of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) radiation (Solveichik, 1993). There are 19 isotopes of uranium, having radioactive half-lives \( (T_p) \) ranging from 1 \( \mu \)s \((\text{\text{U}}^{222})\) to 4.468 \times 10^9 yr \((\text{\text{U}}^{238})\) and atomic masses ranging from 218 to 241 g/mol. Natural uranium contains a mixture of three isotopes: \( \text{U}^{238} \) (99.2830\%, \( T_p = 4.47 \times 10^8 \text{yr} \), 4.15 MeV \( \alpha \)), \( \text{U}^{235} \) (0.7110\%, \( T_p = 7.08 \times 10^8 \text{yr} \), 4.29 MeV \( \alpha \)), and \( \text{U}^{234} \) (0.0054\%, \( T_p = 2.45 \times 10^5 \text{yr} \), 4.78 MeV \( \alpha \)) (Table 2). These three isotopes are all \( \alpha \)-emitters with decay series, terminating with the nonradioactive isotopes \( \text{Pb}^{206} \) and \( \text{Pb}^{207} \) (Clark et al., 1997) (Figure 2).

DU is 99.8\% \( \text{U}^{238} \), and an \( \alpha \) and weak \( \gamma \) emitter with a \( T_p \) of approximately 4.5 \times 10^8 yr. \( \text{U}^{238} \) decays into three short-lived progeny: thorium \((\text{Th}^{234})\), with a \( T_p \) of 24.1 d; protactinium \((\text{Pr}^{234})\), which has a \( T_p \) of 1.2 min; and \( \text{Pr}^{234} \), which has a \( T_p \) of 6.7 h. All three of these progeny are \( \beta \) and weak \( \gamma \) emitters, with both protactinium species decaying into \( \text{Th}^{234} \). The \( \text{Th}^{234} \) isotope, which makes up 0.0006\% weight of DU, is an \( \alpha \) and weak \( \gamma \) emitter with a \( T_p \) of 2.4 \times 10^5 yr. The \( \text{Th}^{234} \) decay products include \( \text{Th}^{230} \), which has a \( T_p \) of 7.7 \times 10^4 yr. These long half-lives result in a negligible build-up of \( \alpha \)

### TABLE 2. Percentage and Radioactive Properties of Naturally Occurring Isotopes of Uranium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Radioactivity</th>
<th>Alpha energies (MeV)</th>
<th>Half-life (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-234</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>4.776 (72.5%)</td>
<td>2.45 \times 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-235</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.597 (5%)</td>
<td>7.04 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-238</td>
<td>99.2745</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>4.196 (77%)</td>
<td>4.46 \times 10^9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Percent of total uranium in rocks and soil (Fulco et al., 2000).
activity from $^{230}$Th in DU samples. The $^{235}$U isotope, which makes up 0.2% of DU, has a $T_\alpha$ of $7.0 \times 10^8$ yr. $^{235}$U is an $\alpha$ and weak $\gamma$ emitter and decays into $^{231}$Th, which has a $T_\beta$ of 25.5 h and is a $\beta$ and weak $\gamma$ emitter. DU also contains a number of non-naturally occurring isotopes, including $^{236}$U. This isotope makes up 0.0003% of DU, and is an $\alpha$ emitter with a $T_\beta$ of $2.3 \times 10^7$ yr. Other impurities may include trace amounts of plutonium ($^{238-239}$Pu), americium ($^{241}$Am), neptunium ($^{237}$Nu), and technetium ($^{99}$Tc). It is estimated that these impurities add less than 1% to the concentration and are therefore inconsequential from a radiological or chemical toxicity standpoint (Fulco et al., 2000) (Table 3).
TABLE 3. Isotopic Composition of U.S. Government DU Containing Munitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Percentage by weight</th>
<th>Isotopic activity (μC/gDU)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-234</td>
<td>0.0006%</td>
<td>3.7 x 10^-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-235</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>4.3 x 10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-236</td>
<td>0.0003%</td>
<td>2.0 x 10^-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-238</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
<td>3.4 x 10^-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chemical Properties

Uranium, one of the densest metals (19.07 g·cm^-3 at 25°C), is silvery, ductile, and malleable (Table 4). It is highly electropositive, resembling magnesium, and tarnishes rapidly upon exposure to air. As a hard Lewis acid, elemental uranium is extremely difficult to reduce. The most common method includes reacting uranium oxides (UO_2 or UO_3) with strongly electropositive elements such as Ca, Mg, or Na [Eqs. (1)–(7)]. Other techniques for isolating elemental uranium include reducing uranium halides with electropositive metals (Li, Na, Mg, Ca, and Ba), electrodeposition of halides from molten salt baths, and decomposition of uranium halides via the van Arkel–de Boer method.

UO_3 + 6Na → U(0) + 3Na_2O
UO_3 + 3Mg → U(0) + 3MgO
UO_2 + 2Ca → U(0) + 2CaO
UO_2 + 4Na → U(0) + 2Na_2O
UCl_4 + 2Ca → U(0) + 2CaCl_2
UF_4 + 2Ca → U(0) + 2CaF_2
UF_4 + 2Mg → U(0) + 2MgF_2

Uranium is the fourth element in the actinide series (Clark et al., 1997), and has five oxidation states: +2, +3, +4, +5, and +6 (Lide, 1994). Among these five oxidation states, only the +4 and +6 are stable enough to be of general importance. Aqueous solutions of uranium(III), a potent reducing agent, have been prepared and are readily air oxidized to the +4 state. Uranium(V) rapidly dissociates

TABLE 4. Main Properties of Uranium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Uranium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atomic number</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotopes</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most stable isotope</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic configuration [Rn]</td>
<td>7s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation states</td>
<td>2–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most stable</td>
<td>4–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal radius (pm)</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration of the M^{3+} ions [Rn]</td>
<td>p^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m.p. (°C)</td>
<td>1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.p. (°C)</td>
<td>3930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (g cm^-3)</td>
<td>19.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔH_{m,298} (kJ mol^-1)</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔH_{m,298} (kJ mol^-1)</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Adapted from Soloveichik (1993).
into both the +4 and +6 states in the presence of water or hydrolytic compounds (Clark et al., 1997). The coordination chemistry of uranium depends on a number of factors, including the oxidation state of the central metal ion, and the ligands to which it is coordinated (Table 5). The relatively stable tetravalent uranium complexes often come in the form of hydroxides, hydrated fluorides, and phosphates. Hexavalently coordinated uranium is significantly more stable than uranium complexes with other coordination numbers (ATSDR, 1999). The diverse coordination chemistry of uranium, in conjunction with a number of other factors including atmospheric pressure and temperature, allows uranium to adopt three crystalline modifications: orthorhombic, tetragonal, or body-centered cubic. These factors, in conjunction with its ability to alloy itself to other metals, allow uranium to have an incredibly diverse array of structural and physical characteristics. Uranium is chemically reactive and combines directly with most elements. As previously mentioned, uranium tarnishes upon exposure to air as the surface of the metal is rapidly converted first into a yellow and subsequently a black, nonprotective film. Powder uranium is frequently pyrophoric (Cotton et al., 1999), a property that is of special relevance when considering the health effects associated with the use of DU in munitions and armor, since the impact of a DU penetrator on a target produces both DU dust and aerosol particles. The burning of DU-containing objects, which also produces DU aerosols, occurs at extremely high temperatures, resulting in the oxidation of uranium metal into a series of complex oxides, predominately depleted triuranium octaoxide ($U_3O_8$), DU dioxide ($UO_2$), and DU trioxides ($UO_3$) (Fulco et al., 2000). Upon weathering, the nonoxidized small particles and surfaces of remaining uranium metal will also slowly oxidize to those three DU oxides over time. The reaction of uranium with water can be complex; Boiling water forms $UO_2$ and hydrogen, with the latter reacting with the metal to form a hydride, resulting in disintegration. Uranium dissolves rapidly in hydrochloric acid (leaving a black residue) and in nitric acid, but slowly in sulfuric, phosphoric, or hydrofluoric acids. Uranium is unaffected by alkaline solutions. An important reaction of uranium is that with hydrogen, forming the hydride, a useful starting material for the synthesis of uranium compounds (Cotton et al., 1999).

### TABLE 5. Coordination Numbers and Polyhedra of Uranium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidation state</th>
<th>Coordination number</th>
<th>Coordination polyhedron</th>
<th>Examples of compounds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>$U(OMe)_6$; trans-$UO_2Cl_2(OPPh_3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pentagonal bipyramid</td>
<td>$K_2UO_2F_2$; $[UO_2Cl_2(acac)_2] \cdot $acac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hexagonal bipyramid</td>
<td>$UO_2(\text{NO}_3)_2(H_2O)_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>Cs(UF_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cube</td>
<td>Na_2[UFe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tetrahedron</td>
<td>$UO_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Trigonal bipyramid</td>
<td>$U_2(NB_3)_3$; $[Li(THF)]_2[U(OAr)]_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>cis-$UCl_4$; 2$Ph_2$PO, trans-$UBr_4$ · 2$Ph_3$PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pentagonal bipyramid</td>
<td>$U_2$(dmed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Capped octahedron</td>
<td>$UBr_4$, $K UF_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dodecahedron</td>
<td>$[\text{UCI}l_{\text{tmpo}}]Cl$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Square antiprism</td>
<td>$U_3CNEt_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Capped square antiprism</td>
<td>LiUF_3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bicapped trigonal prism</td>
<td>$[\text{NEt}_2][\text{U(NCS)}_2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bicapped square antiprism</td>
<td>$[\text{UCI}_2(\text{MeSO})_4][\text{UCI}_2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bicapped hexagonal antiprism</td>
<td>$LiUF_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tricapped trigonal prism</td>
<td>$[\text{UCI}_2(\text{MeSO})_4][\text{UCI}_2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Trigonal bipyramid</td>
<td>$[\text{THF}]_2[U(NHAr)]_3 \cdot $THF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>$[\text{UCI}_2]^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dodecahedron</td>
<td>$UCl_4$ · DMSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bicapped trigonal prism</td>
<td>$U_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tricapped trigonal prism</td>
<td>$UCl_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cube</td>
<td>$U(bipy)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. Adapted from Soloveichik (1993).*
Analysis

The presence and concentration of uranium in biological and environmental samples have been studied using gravimetric, polarographic, and fluorimetric techniques. α-Particle counting, delayed neutron emission, mass spectrometry, fission track, and instrumental neutron activation analysis have been also used (Abu-Qare & Abou-Donia, 2001; Papastefanou, 2002; Uyttenhove et al., 2002; Hodge et al., 2001; Gladney & Rook, 1975). Traditionally, fluorimetry was the most common of these techniques (with a detection limit of 1 ng); however, because of its 0.05 ng detection limit, a more recent detection method, neutron activation analysis, is also used. Although both of these techniques serve to directly quantitate the $^{238}\text{U}$ mass, they don’t provide any information on the $^{234}\text{U}$, $^{235}\text{U}$, and $^{238}\text{U}$ activity ratios in the sample (Fisenne et al., 1980). This is a particular problem in trying to determine the amount of DU present in a biological system.

This problem has been addressed by using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) to identify low-level exposures of DU by measuring the isotopic composition of uranium in urine (Hodge et al., 2001; Ejnik et al., 2000). Exposure to DU results in a decreased percentage of $^{235}\text{U}$ in urine samples, resulting in variable measurements to between natural uranium’s 0.72% enrichment and DU’s 0.2% enrichment. ICP-MS has been demonstrated to be sensitive enough to detect these slight variations in isotopic percentages (Krystek & Ritsma, 2002). One study involved examining urine samples from two groups of test subjects. The first group comprised of people who were suspected to have been exposed to DU, while the second group of subjects had no exposure to DU. The urine samples were dry-ashed at 450°C, and then wet-ashed with concentrated nitric acid and 30% hydrogen peroxide. The ash, dissolved in 1 M nitric acid, was analyzed using ICP-MS, and the intensities of the $^{235}\text{U}$ and $^{238}\text{U}$ ions were compared. The group suspected of being exposed to DU had overall urine uranium concentrations greater than 150 ng/L and $^{235}\text{U}$ readings between 0.20% and 0.33%. Control subjects, unexposed to DU, had uranium urine concentrations less than 50 ng/L, and $^{235}\text{U}$ percentages of 0.7% and 1.0%, which is consistent for natural uranium exposure. This ICP-MS technique requires a minimum uranium concentration of 14 ng/L, as sufficient $^{235}\text{U}$ is required to calculate a valid isotopic ratio. Overall, this technique has allowed researchers to test for low-level exposures to DU in a relatively short period of time for the group believed to have been exposed to DU (Ejnik et al., 2000).

In addition to developing techniques for monitoring the presence of DU in biological systems, researchers have also been focusing on developing methods by which shrapnel can be quickly analyzed for DU. This type of detection is important as medical personal may choose to treat those people wounded by DU-containing metal fragments differently than they treat those wounded by non-DU containing shrapnel. A recently developed technique involves treating small shrapnel fragments with an extracting agent such as nitric acid for 5 min in an ultrasonic cleaner. This process sufficiently solubilizes the metal within the fragments so that colorimetric detection using pyridylazo dye is possible. This technique allows for the detection of a number of other transition metals. However, it can be made specific for DU by using masking agents such as sodium citrate and ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA). One of the benefits of this technique is that within 15 min DU can be extracted and detected in metal shrapnel fragments (Kalinich et al., 2000).

PHARMACOKINETICS

Forms of Absorbed Uranium

The absorption and distribution of DU depends on the chemical reactions that may take place once the compound has entered the body (Figure 1). Upon entering the body, uranium is involved in a variety of chemical reactions that result in the formation of oxides, hydroxides, and/or carbonates.

The oxides of uranium are some of the most prevalent and physiologically important binary uranium compounds. Numerous oxide phases have been observed and characterized in biological systems including uranium oxides (UO, UO$_2$), U$_4$O$_9$, U$_3$O$_7$, U$_3$O$_8$, and UO$_3$. Hydrated species such as UO$_3$·x H$_2$O and the peroxy-complex, UO$_4$·x H$_2$O. Important are also the anionic uranates
including \( [\text{U}_2\text{O}_3]^2^- \) and \( [\text{U}_4\text{O}_{13}]^{3-} \). The oxides \( \text{UO}_2 \), \( \text{UO}_3 \), and \( \text{U}_3\text{O}_8 \) are extremely important both industrially and in the nuclear energy cycle, and constitute a majority of the DU compounds in the military (ATSDR, 1999). Some of the preparative techniques for these compounds are outlined later (Clark et al., 1997). Uranium oxides are readily dissolved in bodily fluids, and are thus physiologically significant. Once solubilized, the uranium is free to react with biological molecules and exert adverse effects [Eqs. (8)–(14)].

\[
\begin{align*}
3\text{UO}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{U}_3\text{O}_7 \quad (8) \\
4\text{UO}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{U}_4\text{O}_9 \quad (9) \\
3\text{UO}_2 + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{U}_3\text{O}_8 \quad (10) \\
3\text{UO}_3 & \rightarrow \text{U}_3\text{O}_6 + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \quad (11) \\
\text{UO}_3 + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{UO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (12) \\
\text{UO}_3 + \text{CO} & \rightarrow \text{UO}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \quad (13) \\
\text{U}_3\text{O}_8 + 5\text{UO}_2 & \rightarrow 2\text{U}_4\text{O}_9 \quad (14)
\end{align*}
\]

Recent studies indicated that \( \text{U}^{4+} \) readily hydrolyzes, even under acidic conditions (~0.1 M). This hydrolysis is complicated by the formation of insoluble hydroxides or oxides, and other gelatinous precipitates. All hydroxides are basic in character and have solubility products in the order of \( 10^{-42} \) (ATSDR, 1999).

In aqueous systems, uranium(VI) readily forms three monomeric carbonate complexes including \( \text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)^2^- \), \( \text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_2^{2-} \), and \( \text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{4-} \). Solubility and spectroscopic data suggest that in solution there are also a number of polymeric species. Ions of actinides are typical hard Lewis acids and display a strong affinity for oxygen-containing donors. Anions of oxygen acids coordinate to the metal via oxygen bridges, usually acting as bidentate ligands. The stability of these complexes decreases in the order \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} > \text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2^- > \text{SO}_4^{2-} > \text{NO}_3^- \). In addition, it is known that carboxylate anions can precipitate \( \text{U}^{4+} \) (ATSDR, 1999).

**Routes of Absorption**

The major sources of DU exposure, and thus the sources available for absorption and distribution in most individuals exposed to DU, are inhalation or ingestion of DU-containing compounds, or embedded DU fragments that have been left in the body (primarily found in soldiers injured by DU shrapnel, stray bullets, and other munitions). In discussing absorption and distribution, this review focuses on the uranium oxides. These oxides, particularly uranium trioxide \( (\text{U}_3\text{O}_3) \), triuranium octaoxide \( (\text{U}_3\text{O}_8) \), and uranium dioxide \( (\text{UO}_2) \) are the primary aerosol by-products of exploded DU munitions (OSAGWI, 1998). In addition, other uranium exposure data are mentioned as they pertain to uranium toxicity and biologically significant uranium complexes.

**Dermal exposure** Percutaneous absorption is one of the routes that uranium can enter the body systemically. However, the amount of uranium absorbed depends on factors such as the solubility of the uranium compound, the length of time of exposure, the size of the area that is exposed, and other physical and physiological conditions.

Several rodent studies have examined the dermal absorption of uranium compounds. De Rey et al. (1983) for instance, used a Vaseline-and water vehicle to expose Wistar rats to 0.5–7 g/kg body weight uranyl nitrate hexahydrate and 7 g/kg body weight ammonium uranyl tricarbonate. Electron microscopy was used in this study to visualize the precise location of uranium within the tissues. Dermally exposed uranyl nitrate, the most soluble of the uranium compounds tested, penetrated into the intracellular space and through the pilosebaceous apparatus. DU exposure resulted in swollen and vacuolated epidermal cells, as well as damage to hair follicles and sebaceous glands (De Rey et al., 1983). After a 15-min exposure, the animals exhibited uranium toxicity in the form of kidney injury, which resulted in weight loss and death in turn. Orcutt (1949a) reported toxicity in
rats, mice, and guinea pigs following less than 0.1% dermally absorbed uranium tetrafluoride (UF₄), uranium tetrachloride (UCI₄), and uranium trioxide compounds.

Respiratory exposure. The absorption of uranium compounds via inhalation is related to the solubility of the compounds, in addition to the site of deposition, the clearance mechanisms available for particle removal, the severity of tissue damage in the respiratory system, and other physical and physiological factors. As previously mentioned, exploded DU munitions may create the aerosol by-products of UO₂, UO₃, and U₃O₈ (OSAGWI, 1998). Of these compounds, UO₃ is the most soluble in biological fluids, due to its conversion to uranyl hydroxide hydrate and subsequent cation exchange with hydroxyl groups (Stuart et al., 1979). Thus, this compound can be rapidly absorbed systemically via pulmonary alveoli. Because the other uranium oxides, U₃O₈ and UO₂, are considered to have a medium to slow dissolution, it may take these compounds several weeks or even years to enter the systemic circulation (Fulco et al., 2000).

It is known that only particles that are smaller than 10μm in diameter will reach the inner bronchioles and alveoli of the lung. Exposure to uranium compounds, in the form of aerosols, deposited in the bronchioles and alveoli have a biological T½ in the lungs of approximately 1 yr (Stradling et al., 1989). This is significant, as it has been previously shown that once uranium is deposited in the lungs, it can enter the body systemically and form deposits in bones and other organs. Uranium is also partially excreted through the urine.

Oral exposure. A human study examining gastrointestinal absorption of uranyl nitrate in 4 male volunteers, aged 56–78 yr, demonstrated that absorption following an overnight fast ranged from 0.3–3.3% of the total 10.8 mg ingested (Hursh et al., 1969). Another study reported that vomiting, diarrhea, and slight albuminuria resulted from ingestion of 1 g uranyl nitrate (Stopps & Todd, 1982). Other reports indicated that absorption of environmental concentrations of uranium (1.75μg/d) through the gut is 1.8% (Wrenn et al., 1985).

In general, because DU or DU oxides have slow to medium solubility in vivo, gastrointestinal absorption is not considered a major route of uranium exposure. It is important to note, however, that there is substantial uncertainty in calculating gastrointestinal absorption of uranium. For calculating cancer risks, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has estimated that approximately 20% of uranium can be absorbed through the gastrointestinal (GI) tract (U.S. EPA, 1991, 2000). It is believed that human neonatal gastrointestinal absorption of uranium is greater than in the adult because of evidence suggesting that absorption of heavy metals increases during development (Oskarsson et al., 1998)

Following ingestion, rats exhibited significantly lower levels of uranium absorption than other animal species, such as dogs, hamsters, baboons, and neonatal swine (Wrenn et al., 1985). It has been reported that only 0.01–0.07% of the orally administered uranyl nitrate was absorbed by 2-d-old rats (ICRP, 1994), whereas a one day-old neonatal swine dosed with 1.5–2.0mg/kg uranyl nitrate showed an absorption of 34.5%. Fasting and iron deficiency has been demonstrated to increase these absorption rates by 2- to 3.4-fold, respectively (ATSDR, 1999).

Embedded DU fragments. The use of DU in the Gulf War heightened the awareness of DU exposure, and the issues and adverse health effects associated with it. Soldiers in the Gulf War were exposed to an average of 45 mg DU over the course of their tour (ATSDR, 1999). Most of the DU exposures in this context resulted from shrapnel that were retained in the bodies of some of the veterans following “friendly fire incidents” and/or other accidental exposures. Conventional shrapnel is not generally removed from the body due to excessive tissue damage that may result from its removal. DU shrapnel, however, may be treated using the extracting methods previously mentioned.

Distribution. Although most of the inhaled, ingested, or percutaneously absorbed uranium is excreted through the urine or feces, different uranium compounds demonstrate selective distribution into certain areas of the body. Among the uranium-containing compounds retained in the body, 85% of the medium- and slow-dissolving compounds deposit in the bones, where they have a Tₚ ranging from 180 to 833 d (Hursh et al., 1969) (Table 6). The remaining 15% are primarily deposited in the
proximal tubules of the kidneys (Hursh et al., 1969). It is the accumulation of uranium in the kidneys that first suggests effects of DU exposure. Small portions of uranium have also been detected in the lungs and liver (Fulco et al., 2000). Figure 3, as reported by Pellmar et al. (1999a), demonstrated the organ distribution of DU following 18 months of implantation of 4, 10, or 20 DU pellets in Sprague-Dawley rats. This experiment was repeated using tantalum pellets instead of DU. This allowed the researchers to have a control to which they could compare their results (pellets were 1 mm diameter by 2 mm in length, and 99.25% DU and 0.75% titanium by weight) (Pellmar et al., 1999a).

**Metabolism and Excretion**

No data are currently available regarding the metabolism or biotransformation of uranium in vivo, for either humans or animals. However, it is known that following absorption, uranium forms soluble complexes with bicarbonates, citrates, and proteins, all of which are present in high concentrations in the body (Cooper et al., 1982). The most common and bioavailable form of uranium is the uranyl ion ($\text{UO}_2^{2+}$).

Most data on uranium excretion has been obtained from Gulf War veterans who have retained DU fragments in their bodies. The dose of internalized DU is a function of contact time, particle solubility, rate of elimination, and the proximity of embedded fragments to tissues (McDiarmid et al., 2000). McDiarmid et al. (2000) found that urinary excretion of uranium was significantly greater in veterans with implanted DU fragments than in those veterans without DU fragments. In that study, urinary uranium determinations were accomplished by ashing the urine sample, dissolving the specimens in dilute hydrochloric acid, and then passing the samples through a base anion-exchange resin. The uranium was eluted with nitric acid, and uranium concentrations were determined using a kinetic phosphorescence analyzer (McDiarmid et al., 2000). Although no adverse health effects were associated with this increased urinary uranium excretion, it was difficult to determine the levels of exposure experienced by these patients.

**TOXICOLOGICAL EFFECTS**

**Nephrotoxicity**

Renal toxicity has been the hallmark effect of uranium exposure. However, several epidemiological studies have found no increased mortality in uranium workers due to renal disease (Checkoway et al., 1988; Polednak & Frome, 1981). It has also been noted that renal injury resulting from uranium exposure can be diagnosed within days of exposure (ATSDR, 1999). Studies have shown that the solubility of uranium compounds plays a significant role in the amount of damage incurred by the kidney. Inhaled uranium compounds with slow- to medium-dissolution rates are relatively insoluble, and are retained longer in the lungs, thus resulting in lower toxicity to the kidneys and other distal organs. The most obvious effect of uranium exposure is damage to the proximal convoluted tubules (ATSDR, 1999). Additionally, necrotic cells cast from the tubular epithelium in the kidney have been reported in affected animals. Glomerular changes have also been reported in animals exposed to uranium, with severe effects resulting in decreased renal insulin and creatinine clearance (Stopps & Todd, 1982).

Human renal effects following acute exposure to DU of less than 15 days includes proteinuria, elevated levels of nonprotein nitrogen (NPN), and abnormal phenol sulfonphthalein excretion. In addition, increased urinary catalase activity and diuresis have also been noted (ATSDR, 1999). McDiarmid et al.
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(2000) reported an increase in a variety of renal function parameters, such as serum creatinine, β2-microglobulin, retinol binding protein, serum uric acid, urine creatinine, and urine protein in patient veterans. Although β2-microglobulin concentrations were higher and urine protein concentrations were lower in patients exposed to DU, no significant relationships were found between these parameters in the control and DU-exposed group (McDiarmid et al., 2000).

Several animal studies examined the adverse renal effects of uranium following intermediate exposures of 15d to 1yr (Cross et al., 1981). Effects in hamsters ranged from diuresis, to mild degeneration in the glomerulus and tubules, to proteinuria (Cross et al., 1981). In addition, microscopic lesions in tubular epithelium have been also reported (ATSDR, 1999).

Neurotoxicity

Despite evidence suggesting a link between neurological toxicity and DU exposure, there are no data demonstrating that excess neurologic disease/mortality risk is associated with uranium exposure. Several human studies have been conducted to determine the neurological effects of uranium exposure. In one report, three individuals were studied after exposure to high concentrations of uranium found in a cloud of soluble uranium compounds (approximately 40–50mg) produced by an industrial accident (Moore & Kathren, 1985). An examination shortly after the accident revealed “mental status changes believed in excess of what would be caused by fear reaction.” However, 38yr after the accident no clinical findings attributable to uranium were found (Moore & Kathren, 1985; Kathren & Moore, 1986).

In 1982, a second study examined a 44-yr-old man who had developed foot cramps, leg pain, gait disorder, and a tendency to fall backward after exposure to a bar of metallic uranium that he handled frequently in the first 3yr of his illness (Goasguen et al., 1982). No exposure estimates are available from this report, but the man’s symptoms eventually progressed to an extrapyramidal syndrome with ataxia, nystagmus, and peripheral neuropathy.

McDiarmid et al. (2000) found a statistically significant relationship between elevated DU levels and decreased problematic performance on automated tests assessing performance efficiency and accuracy in Gulf War veterans. However, traditional tests of neurocognitive function, did not show statistical differences. Participants in this study received DU exposure through DU-containing shrapnel wounds. Additional studies at the Baltimore Veterans Administration (VA) Medical Center on veterans exposed to DU fragments found a correlation between poor performance on neurocognitive tests and elevated uranium concentration in the urine (Kane et al., 1998).

Concern over the health of Gulf War veterans has also prompted several animal studies on the neurotoxic effects of DU. Rats receiving oral administration of uranyl acetate (11–717 mg U/kg) exhibited tremors and acute cholinergic toxicity (Domingo et al., 1987). In animals implanted with DU pellets (as previously described) at three doses (low=4 pellets, medium=10 pellets, high=20 pellets), DU was found to cross the blood–brain barrier (Pellmar et al., 1999a). Although DU penetrated the blood–brain barrier, it was not uniformly distributed throughout the brain. In this same report, uranium concentrations in high-dose animals were significantly different from control groups at one and six months after implantation. At 18mo after implantation, significant accumulations of DU were found in the motor cortex, frontal cortex, cerebellum, midbrain, and vermis. Although the mechanism for this distribution is unknown, the distribution was shown to be dose dependent, with statistical differences observed in the medium- and high-exposure groups (Pellmar et al., 1999a).

In another study, Pellmar et al. (1999b) reported electrophysiological changes in hippocampal slices isolated from rats embedded with DU fragments. Six months after implantation, rats exposed to high-dose DU exhibited significantly smaller population spikes in the CA1 area of the hippocampus compared to controls, but exhibited no changes in synaptic potential. In addition, DU exposure resulted in decreased input/output curves for evoked population spikes, but no changes in input/output curves for amplitude of extracellularly recorded synaptic potentials. The input/output relationships reflect the ability of the synaptic potential to elicit the population spike (E/S coupling). Similar to effects seen at 6mo after implantation, E/S coupling 12mo after implantation continued to be significantly impaired in the hippocampal slices of the treated animals. In contrast to results
seen at 6 mo of exposure, synaptic potential was significantly larger in DU-treated tissue as compared to controls. At 18 mo of exposure, age and uranium effects converged so that differences between control and treatment were not detected. These changes in electrophysiological potential suggest that behavioral and/or neurological deficits could result from prolonged exposures to DU in soldiers with embedded DU-containing shrapnel with respect to learning. Preliminary animal studies failed to demonstrate any performance deficiencies in locomotor activity, discrimination learning, and general functional observations (Pellmar et al., 1999b). Because of the methods used to examine these endpoints might not have been sufficiently sensitive to reveal subtle cognitive effects, the Gulf War Executive Summary recommended further study of cognitive function, neurophysiological responses, and brain DU concentrations in Gulf War veterans (Fulco et al., 2000). Recently, Abou-Donia et al. (2002) reported that intramuscular injection of male rats with low-dose and multiple exposure to uranyl acetate produced prolonged neurobehavioral deficits after the initial exposure ceased.

DNA Damage/Carcinogenicity

Epidemiological studies indicate a correlation between uranium mining and milling and incidence of DNA-damaging effects resulting in carcinogenesis in humans (Polednak & Frome, 1981; ATSDR, 1999). There is limited information available assessing the long-term carcinogenic risks associated with embedded DU fragments. McDiarmid et al. (2000) did not find significant differences in the percent of peripheral blood lymphocytes with chromosomal aberrations or sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) obtained from Gulf War veterans who had embedded DU-containing fragments. Their report, which was performed 7 yr after the veterans' first exposure to DU, noted that the veterans had urinary uranium concentrations ranging from 0.01–30.7 µg/g creatinine (vs. 0.01–0.05 µg/g creatinine in the nonexposed individuals). Since DNA damage is dependent on both dose and duration of exposure, the absence of genotoxic effects may be related to variations in the parameters examined in this study.

Despite the lack of genotoxic effects resulting from DU exposure, the incidence of cancer has increased markedly in Iraq following the Gulf War. There are some areas in southern Iraq that have experienced a two- to fivefold increase in reported cancers. Most of these cases damage the lung, bronchial tubes, bladder, and skin. In addition, increased incidence of stomach cancer in males, and breast cancer in females has also been reported, as well as an overall increase in leukemia cases (Aitken, 1999).

The mutagenic effects of embedded DU pellets were determined in Sprague-Dawley rats which were implanted with 20 pellets of DU or tantalum (Ta) control pellets. Ta is an inert metal widely used in prosthetic devices. The results of this study indicate a time-dependent increase in urine mutagenicity with increasing DU pellets, as assessed by evaluating mutagenic activity in urine using the Ames Salmonella reversion assay. Although the mechanisms for this observation were not discussed, Miller et al. (1998a) suggested that assessing urine mutagenicity might be a useful biomarker to assess the adverse health effects of DU exposure in humans.

A second animal study reported that adult rats having received DU oxide intratracheally cannula (1–3 g uranium oxide powder/10 ml distilled water) did not develop lung tumors, in contrast to rats injected with enriched uranium oxide (Batchelor, 1980). Lung tumors were attributable to the α rays emitted from the enriched oxide, resulting in a nonuniform distribution of radioactivity in the lung.

Other carcinogenicity studies have been completed using in vitro models. In immortalized Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells, uranyl nitrate (UNO₃) decreased the viability of the cells in a concentration-dependant manner (3.0×10⁻⁴ mM–3.0×10⁻³ mM) (ATSDR, 1999). These concentrations of uranyl nitrate also increased the number of binucleated cells with micronuclei, inhibited cell proliferation, and depressed cell cycle kinetics. Since uranyl nitrate has a high affinity for phosphate, Lin et al. (1993) speculated that the cytotoxic and genotoxic effects might have resulted from the uranyl nitrate binding to phosphate groups on DNA. In a similar study, Miller et al. (1998b) determined that depleted uranium–uranyl chloride (10 µM) could transform immortalized human osteosarcoma (HOS) cells to the tumorigenic phenotype. While there was no effect on cell survival or cell
growth, there was a twofold increase in SCE induction. Furthermore, inoculation of these transformed cells into nude mice resulted in the development of animal tumors within 4 wk. The transformation demonstrated in these experiments was characterized by aberrant RAS oncogene expression and tumor suppressor protein expression. Although the mechanisms for this transformation are unknown, Lin et al. (1993) speculated that alterations of specific oncogenes (such as RAS) and/or inactivation of tumor suppressor genes (such as p53 and Rb) by depleted uranium-uranyl chloride might be involved.

Miller et al. (2001) showed that effects of phenyl acetate, a differentiation inducer that affects post-translational processing of RAS, were investigated using HOS cells. Following a 24 h exposure to DU oxide (1 mg/ml), cells were incubated from 1 d to 6 wk with 2.5 mM phenyl acetate. Cells treated with the phenyl acetate did not exhibit transformation to a tumorigenic phenotype, unlike cells that were not treated with phenyl acetate. Given these findings, the conclusion reached by Miller et al. (2001) is that by targeting the RAS protein pathway, phenyl acetate seems to have potential as an antitumor agent for neoplastic transformation by DU. Recently, Kalinich et al. (2002) reported that depleted uranium in the form of uranyl acetate induced apoptosis in mouse macrophages.

Bone and Musculoskeletal Toxicity

Bone is considered a critical organ for the concentration of many uranium compounds (Neuman, 1949). Rats implanted intramuscularly with DU pellets (Pellmar et al., 1999b) had the greatest concentrations of DU in bone, specifically tibia and skull. The amount of DU in bone was significantly greater than that seen in control rats implanted with Ta pellets as early as 1 d and as long as 6, 12, and 18 mo after implantation. Urinary uranium concentrations ranging from 224 ± 32 ng uranium/ml urine were seen in low-dose rats; while 1010 ± 87 ng uranium/ml urine were observed at these high dose rats. These findings suggest that bone is a primary reservoir for intramuscularly embedded DU fragments.

Ubios (1991) observed acutely inhibited periodontal cortical bone formation in rats within 14 d after a single injection of uranyl nitrate (UNO₃) (2 mg/kg body weight). In addition, chronic intoxication, demonstrated by decreased bone formation and increased bone resorption, was observed 14, 30, and 60 d after a single dose (0.8 mg/kg body weight) (Miller et al., 2001). Because osteoblasts play a major role in bone resorption, it is possible that uranyl nitrate can directly affect these cells and their precursors by binding to cell membranes. Based on these data, uranium intoxication may be viewed as a potential contributor to osteoporosis or other osteopenic diseases in exposed individuals (Ubios, 1991).

Alveolar wound healing serves as another useful model for the study of bone formation and can be considered a sensitive indicator of bone damage under various experimental conditions (Guglielmotti et al., 1985). Following mandibular molar extraction and postsurgical treatment with 2 mg/kg body weight uranyl nitrate, it was demonstrated that the alveolar structure in rats was altered as compared to control animals that did not receive uranyl nitrate post-surgically. In animals treated with uranyl nitrate, inhibition of bone formation and impaired healing was assessed using histometrical measurements. Very few newly formed woven trabeculae were seen, and there was little to no bone resorption. Guglielmotti et al. (1985) speculated that acute uranyl nitrate intoxication might induce preosteoblastic and osteoblastic damage, which could be responsible for the observed effects on alveolar wound healing. In a recent study, Miller et al. (2002b) indicated that long term exposure to depleted uranium could produce cancer in humans.

Reproductive Toxicity

In recent years, it has been shown that uranium is a developmental toxicant when given orally or subcutaneously to mice. Decreased fertility, embryo/fetal toxicity including teratogenicity, and reduced growth of the offspring have been observed following uranium exposure at different gestation periods (Domingo, 2001). Few studies have examined the effects of DU on human reproductive development. Male uranium miners were found to have more firstborn female children than expected (Muller et al., 1967). Moreover, it was reported that some uranium miners exhibited gonadal endocrine system dysfunction, with significant reduction in testosterone (Zaire et al., 1997).
McDiarmid et al. (2002) reported that semen characteristics of exposed veterans were similar in volume, concentration, morphology, and functional parameters of motility as compared to nonexposed veterans. In this same study, veterans with high urinary uranium were found to have statistically higher concentrations of prolactin. In an assessment of results concerning biological monitoring of Gulf War veterans exposed to depleted uranium, McDiarmid et al. (2002) reported adverse health effects of exposure to uranium on the reproductive and central nervous systems of the exposed veterans. Domingo et al. (1989) reported that perinatal and postnatal exposure of Swiss mice to uranium—as uranyl acetate dihydrate—at daily dosages of 0, 0.05, 0.5, 5, and 50 mg/kg from d 13 of pregnancy until weaning of the litters on postnatal d 21 showed significant decreases in the mean litter size on postnatal d 21, and in the viability and lactation indices were observed at the 50-mg/kg/d dose level.

Bosque et al. (1993) reported that fetal body weight was significantly decreased in mice at 1 and 2 mg/kg/d exposure to uranium. They reported the number of total internal and total skeletal defects showed dose-dependent increases at 0.5, 1, and 2 mg/kg/d. Most morphological defects were developmental variations, whereas malformations were only detected at 1 and 2 mg/kg/d. Llobet et al. (1991) examined the reproductive toxicity in rats that received 10, 20, 40, or 80 mg/kg/d of uranyl acetate (U(C$_{4}$H$_{6}$O$_{2}$)·2H$_{2}$O) in the drinking water for 64 d. Exposure resulted in a significant, but non-dose-related, decrease in the reproductive performance of male mice in treated groups. Females who mated with treated males did not show marked differences in number of live and dead fetuses compared with females mated with control males. In addition, testicular function and spermatogenesis were not affected at any dose, as evidenced by normal testes and epididymis weights of treated males compared to control males. Sperm parameters and histopathological examination of the testes also did not reveal any changes between treated and control males. Moderate to severe focal tubular atrophy and interstitial alterations were evident in all dose groups. Vacuolization of Leydig cells was seen in the high-dose treated animals, which may have contributed to a decrease in pregnancy rate. However, uranium treatment for 64 d may provoke behavioral changes, including decreased libido.

Paternain et al. (1989) examined the effects of oral administration of 5, 10, or 25 mg/kg/d of uranyl acetate dihydrate on reproduction. Male mice were treated for 60 d prior to mating with female mice that had been treated orally for 14 d prior to mating (Paternain et al., 1989). Female mice continued to receive uranyl acetate throughout mating, gestation, parturition, and nursing of the litters. Treatment demonstrated lethal embryonic effects only at the highest concentration, and growth rate in offspring of exposed females was significantly lower than in control animals. It was concluded that uranium did not produce any adverse effects on fertility, general reproductive parameters, or offspring survival (Paternain et al., 1989). Also in a recent study, Miller et al. (2003) showed that depleted uranium exposure in vitro impacted genomic instability manifested as delayed reproductive death and micronuclei formation.

**Pulmonary Toxicity**

Human studies on occupationally-exposed uranium miners have determined that most of the uranium-containing dust inhaled during the mining process does not penetrate the lungs (Morris et al., 1992). Most of the larger uranium particles are deposited in the upper respiratory tract, while particles smaller than 10 μm in diameter are deposited in the bronchi and alveoli. In studies focusing on the effects associated with inhaled uranium in miners, no adverse health effects were noted in workers who were exposed to up to 9000–10,000 μg natural uranium/m$^3$ (Polednak et al., 1982). Additionally, no increase in overall deaths has been reported due to uranium exposure in mills and metal processing plants (Checkoway et al., 1988).

Cooper et al. (1982) investigated the respiratory effects of acute exposure (less than 15 d) to various insoluble uranium compounds, and found severe nasal congestion and hemorrhaging in 100% of the exposed rats. In an intermediate-exposure study (15 d to 1 yr), these same scientists reported lung lesions, minimal pulmonary hyaline fibrosis, and pulmonary fibrosis in these animals. In addition, Cross et al. (1981) reported slight degenerative changes in the lungs of Syrian golden hamsters as well as pulmonary edema, inflammation of the bronchi, bronchial pneumonia, alveoli and alveolar...
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interstices, hyperemia, and atelectasis. Chronic studies with an exposure duration of over 1 yr demonstrated mild pulmonary fibrosis in rats, and lung cancer in dogs (Cross et al., 1981). Furthermore, uranium-induced death has been noted in a rat and guinea pig study by Leach et al. (1970), in which the animals were exposed to 8114 mg UO₃/m³ for 10 min.

Gastrointestinal Toxicity

No gastrointestinal effects were noted in animals orally dosed with uranium nitrate in chronic studies using doses up to 644 mg/kg/d (Maynard & Hodge, 1949). In turn, Gilman et al. (1998a, 1998b), who examined rabbits that had been exposed to either 0.96, 4.8, 24, 120, or 600 mg/L uranyl nitrate hexahydrate for 91 d in drinking water, did not report adverse effects. Additionally, rats administered as much as 40 mg/kg/d of the same uranium compound in a drinking water vehicle for 28 d showed no signs of dose-dependent histopathological changes (Gilman et al., 1998c).

Hepatic Toxicity

Although hepatic toxicity has not been classified as a major manifestation of uranium toxicity, some reports have described histological changes following uranium exposure. In addition, there is evidence that uranium may induce lesions in the liver. Lu and Zhao (1990) reported no adverse hepatic effects in a uranium miner who accidentally inhaled powdered uranium tetrafluoride for 5 min. Serum hepatic enzyme concentrations and liver function tests in this patient were reported within normal limits from the time of exposure through a 3-yr follow-up (Lu & Zhao, 1990).

Cross et al. (1981) reported results from an intermediate-duration exposure study in which fatty livers were found in five of the eight Syrian golden hamsters that died during the study. In addition, focal necrosis of the liver was noted in these same animals. In rabbits exposed to uranium via inhalation at a concentration of 0.13 mg U/m³ 8 h/d, 5 d/wk for 30 d, urinary catalase activity was increased significantly (Dygert et al., 1949). Although these studies demonstrated some adverse hepatic effects following exposure to uranium, uranium-induced hepatotoxicity has not been a prominent finding in the majority of animal studies that have been completed (ATSDR, 1999).

Dermal Toxicity

To date, although the potential toxicity of DU and other uranium compounds following dermal exposure has not been assessed in humans (ATSDR, 1999), it has been documented that no human has ever died from dermal exposure to natural uranium.

Toxicity From Embedded DU Fragments

Exposure to DU fragments is almost exclusively seen in military settings. Since the use of DU munitions has been relatively recent, there is little information available on adverse health effects from humans exposed to embedded DU shrapnel. There has been one ongoing surveillance study examining the health of 33 soldiers presumed to have embedded DU fragments. It was reported that approximately half of the soldiers in the group had elevated 24-h uranium urinary concentrations 7 yr after their first exposure to DU (McDiarmid et al., 2000). Uranium concentrations ranged from 0.01–30.74 µg/g creatinine in DU-exposed veterans to 0.01–0.047 µg/g creatinine in non-exposed veterans. In addition, several perturbations in biochemical and neuropsychological testing have been correlated with exposure to DU-containing fragments. Increased urinary output but no signs of kidney disease or radiation effects were attributed to the chemical toxicity due to DU (McDiarmid et al., 2000).

Immunosuppression/Combined Chemical Toxicity

There is no evidence supporting immunosuppression as a direct result from exposure only to DU ammunition. However, many soldiers and others deployed in the Persian Gulf during the Gulf War, as well as native Iraqi children, complained of symptoms that seem to reflect an attack on the body's immune system. Included in these complaints are chronic fatigue, rashes, eye and ear infections, hair and weight loss, and coughing (Doucet, 1994). Many of these symptoms were untreatable by
physicians. Persons known to have been involved in the Gulf War exhibiting these symptoms are diagnosed as having Al Eskan disease, or Desert Storm pneumonitis. Al Eskan disease is a rare condition that seems to be triggered by particles in the exceptionally fine sand dust of the central and eastern Saudi Arabian peninsula. When shells coated with DU hit their intended target, the coating explodes, and the DU becomes part of this fine sand dust. It is hypothesized that inhalation of these DU particulates in the air may be the cause of these symptoms. Saudi Arabian sand was subjected to analysis using scanning electron microscopy, x-ray microanalysis by energy-dispersive spectroscopy, and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (Korenyi-Both & Juncer, 1997). The results of the analyses show that 18% of the sand particles measured less than 1 μm in diameter, a size that can easily be inhaled all the way down to the alveolar sacks and alveoli. Since this area of the respiratory tract is responsible for triggering immune reactions to foreign substances, it seems likely that people who inhaled this dust might have experienced immune-related hyperreactivity. In addition to the small size of the sand, free-floating silicon particles and other organic components were found in the sand, in addition to allergens such as Cladosporium and Alternaria.

Ocular Toxicity

Following the inhalation of uranyl fluoride, rabbits' eyes became encrusted and exhibited signs of conjunctivitis. Similar effects were observed following the inhalation of uranium tetrachloride. These effects were produced by the uranium vapor or aerosol coming in direct contact with the eye (Orcutt, 1949a). In the same study, acute exposures of rabbits' eyes to uranyl nitrate, uranyl fluoride, uranium trioxide, sodium diuranate, ammonium diuranate, uranium tetrachloride, uranium pentachloride, uranium tetrafluoride, uranium dioxide, uranium peroxide, and uranium trioxide compounds were assessed. A single, large dose of each compound was instilled into the conjunctival sac of the eye in the form of a dry powder, an aqueous solution, a suspension in lanolin, or a suspension in water. All compounds, with the exception of the oxides, induced varying degrees of eye irritation. Chronic exposure was ascertained only from uranium tetrafluoride (0.1 g/d for 10 d). Inflammation, edema, exudation, and ulceration of the conjunctival sacs occurred, as well as cloudiness, corrosion, and vascularization of the corneas.

Hematological Toxicity

To date, no human data regarding the effects of DU on hematological parameters has been reported. In rats, a 91-d exposure to uranyl nitrate hexahydrate in drinking water (0.96, 4.8, 24, 120, or 600 mg uranyl nitrate/L) was shown to alter several hematological parameters (Gilman et al., 1998c). Both hemoglobin concentrations and red blood cells were significantly increased in females given 24 mg uranyl nitrate/L. Mean corpuscular hemoglobin values were slightly higher in female rats given 24, 120, or 600 mg uranyl nitrate/L. Serum glucose levels were higher in male rats at 24 and 600 mg uranyl nitrate/L. Serum sodium levels were decreased in female rats given 24 mg uranyl nitrate/L. No significant changes were observed in erythrocytes in any of the treatment groups. Despite these observations, there were no trends or dose-related effects on hematological parameters of uranyl nitrate given to rats in drinking water. This study was repeated in New Zealand White rabbits. While there were several changes in hematological parameters, none were dose related (Gilman et al., 1998a). In the same study, inhalation of ammonium diuranate dust (6.8 mg U/m³) by rats for 6 h/d for a total of 30 d produced a significant decrease in red blood cell count and hemoglobin concentration.

Cardiovascular Toxicity

Published reports suggest DU exposure produces no adverse effects on cardiovascular function. One male who ingested 15 g uranyl acetate plus an unknown amount of benzodiazepine in an attempted suicide reported an adverse cardiovascular effect. This dose would be approximately 131 mg uranium/kg for a 70-kg man. The patient reported suffering from myocarditis resulting from the uranium ingestion, which ended 6 mo after ingestion (Pavlakis et al., 1996). No cardiovascular effects occurred after an intense accidental inhalation exposure in a man exposed to powdered uranium tetrafluoride for 5 min (Lu & Zhao, 1990).
Animal studies also indicated no adverse cardiovascular effects following oral or inhalation exposure to uranium (Dygert et al., 1949). Maynard and Hodge (1949) reported no changes in heart or blood vessels in rats exposed to oral doses as high as 9393 mg uranium/kg/d as uranyl nitrate hexahydrate, 8769 mg uranium/kg/d as uranium tetrachloride, 11,033 mg uranium/kg/d as uranium peroxide, 10,611 mg uranium/kg/d as uranium tetrafluoride, 10,819 mg uranium/kg/d as uranyl fluoride, 12,342 mg uranium/kg/d as uranium dioxide, 11,650 mg uranium/kg/d as uranium trioxide, or 7859 mg uranium/kg/d as uranyl acetate dihydrate. No adverse cardiovascular effects in rabbits exposed for 91 d to uranyl nitrate in drinking water (0.96, 4.8, 24, 120, or 600 mg/L). Additionally, Wilson et al. (1952) reported no cardiovascular effects in rats exposed to 0.2 mg $U/m^3$ as uranium hexafluoride for 1 yr, and Dygert et al. (1949) found no cardiovascular effects in rats, mice, guinea pigs, and rabbits exposed to 4.8 mg $U/m^3$ triuranium trioxide for 26 d.

### RISK ASSESSMENT

In the United States DU is stored as solid depleted $UF_6$ in metal cylinders at subatmospheric pressure at the three U.S. gaseous diffusion plant sites: Paducah, KY; Portsmouth, OH; and East Tennessee Technology Park, Oak Ridge, TN (Hartmann et al., 2000). Because DU is a heavy metal associated with the various toxicities already described and because it is radioactive, several methods have been developed for assessing the risk of toxicity of humans potentially exposed to depleted $UF_6$. When determining risk assessment for uranium compounds, route of exposure, duration and frequency of exposure, solubility, particle size, reactivity, and molecular weight must all be considered.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) recommends an oral reference dose of 0.003 mg uranium/kg/d (U.S. EPA, 2000). The minimal risk level (MLR) for oral exposure developed by the ATSDR is 0.002 mg/kg/d for an intermediate duration (15–364 d) (ATSDR, 1999). There are currently no reference concentrations available for evaluating risk assessment of inhaled uranium exposure. Therefore, reference levels for evaluating the health effects of inhalation of uranium compounds have been calculated using route-to-route extrapolation. Using the oral reference dose of 0.003 mg/kg/d and assuming a 70-kg man inhales at a rate of $20 m^3/d$ corresponds to an inhalation reference level of 0.011 mg/m$^3$ for soluble uranium compounds. The Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) has determined the 8-h time-weighted average permissible exposure level (TWA PEL) to be 0.05 mg/m$^3$ for soluble uranium compounds and 0.25 mg/m$^3$ for insoluble uranium compounds (Hartmann et al., 2000).

The chemical health effects assessment hazard index for chronic uranium exposure by the public produced by a hypothetical $UO_2$ conversion facility (a facility that is used to convert depleted $UF_6$ to DU-oxide or metal) has been determined to range from 0.000001 to 0.00005 (Hartmann et al., 2000). A hazard index less than 1 indicates that an exposed person is unlikely to develop adverse health effects. Thus, it is highly unlikely that the general public would be at risk for toxicity due to chronic exposure to DU from conversion facilities.

Reference levels have also been estimated for acute inhalation of uranium associated with accidental releases. The reference level for potential adverse effects of acute exposure to soluble uranium is 10 mg (McGuire, 1991). The reference level for potential irreversible adverse effects (including death or impairment of organ function) due to acute soluble uranium exposure is 30 mg (NRC, 1994). Accordingly, 3 μg uranium/g kidney tissue has been determined as the threshold for kidney toxicity corresponding to a single intake of approximately 30 mg soluble uranium by inhalation (Fisher et al., 1991).

Several computer models exist for estimating the downwind dispersion of uranium releases through the air from hypothetical accident locations. These models can simulate accidents produced by natural phenomena such as earthquakes or tornados, process accidents, or storage facility accidents. Such models include HGSYSTEM (Post, 1994a, 1994b) for $UF_6$ vapor releases and FIREPLUME (Brown et al., 1997) for $UF_6$ release from fires (which is particularly useful as chemical accidents typically include fire).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body System</th>
<th>Human studies</th>
<th>Animal studies</th>
<th>In vitro</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Renal system</strong></td>
<td>Elevated levels of protein excretion, urinary catalase and diuresis (McDiarmid et al., 2000).</td>
<td>Damage to Proximal convoluted tubules, necrotic cells cast from tubular epithelium, glomerular changes (ATSDR, 1999; Gilman et al., 1998a, 1998c).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brain/Central Nervous System</strong></td>
<td>Decreased performance on neurocognitive tests (McDiarmid et al., 2000).</td>
<td>Acute cholinergic toxicity (Domingo et al., 1987). Dose-dependent accumulation in cortex, midbrain, and vermis. Electrophysiological changes in hippocampus (Pellmar et al., 1999a, 1999b). Neurobehavioral alterations (Abou-Donia et al., 2002).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DNA</strong></td>
<td>Increased reports of cancers (Aitken, 1999).</td>
<td>Increased urine mutagenicity and induction of tumors (Miller et al., 1998a; Miller et al., 2001).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bone/muscle</strong></td>
<td>Inhibition of periodontal bone formation (Ubios, 1991) and alveolar wound healing (Gugliemotti et al., 1985).</td>
<td>Inhibition of periodontal bone formation (Ubios, 1991) and alveolar wound healing (Gugliemotti et al., 1985).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reproductive system</strong></td>
<td>Uranium minors have more first born female children (Muller et al., 1967).</td>
<td>Moderate to severe focal tubular atrophy; vacuolization of Leydig cells (Llobet et al., 1991).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lungs/respiratory toxicity</strong></td>
<td>No adverse health effects reported (Polednak et al., 1982).</td>
<td>Severe nasal congestion and hemorrhage, lung lesions and fibrosis, edema and swelling, lung cancer (Cooper et al., 1982; Cross et al., 1981).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gastrointestinal system</strong></td>
<td>Vomiting, diarrhea, albuminuria (Stopps &amp; Todd, 1982; Wrenn et al., 1985).</td>
<td>Fatty livers, focal necrosis (Cross et al., 1981).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liver</strong></td>
<td>No effects seen at exposure dose (Lu &amp; Zhao, 1990).</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skin</strong></td>
<td>No exposure assessment data available (ATSDR, 1999).</td>
<td>Swollen vacuolated epidermal cells, damage to hair follicles and sebaceous glands (De Rey et al., 1983).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tissues (surrounding embedded DU fragments)</strong></td>
<td>Elevated uranium urine concentrations (McDiarmid et al., 2000).</td>
<td>Elevated uranium urine concentrations, perturbations in biochemical and neuropsychological testing.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immune system</strong></td>
<td>Chronic fatigue, rash, ear and eye infections, hair and weight loss, cough.</td>
<td>May be due to combined chemical exposure rather than DU alone (Korenyi-Both &amp; Juncer, 1997).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eyes</strong></td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Conjunctivitis, irritation inflammation, edema, ulceration of conjunctival sacs (Orcutt, 1949a).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood</strong></td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Decrease in RBC count and hemoglobin concentration.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiovascular system</strong></td>
<td>Myocarditis resulting from the uranium ingestion, which ended 6 mo after ingestion (Hartmann et al., 2000). No effects (Lu &amp; Zhao, 1990).</td>
<td>No effects (Maynard &amp; Hodge, 1949; Gilman et al., 1998a; Dygert et al., 1949).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS

Recent attention has been given to DU following its widespread use in the Gulf War as it is incorporated into the body through the skin, the respiratory tract, and through oral exposure. Although most of the DU absorbed in the body is metabolized and excreted, enough is distributed throughout the body to raise important toxicological concerns. This review summarizes the known toxicological data of DU on numerous body systems and parameters, and includes in vitro, animal, and human studies (Table 7). The long-term effects of DU still have to be definitely resolved, and there is an obvious need for continued studies. The data presented here should serve as impetus for these studies.
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