

(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:
American Engineering Testing, Inc.
Rose Mount, MA / SE, Paul, MN

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region III
2443 Warrenville Road
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4352

REPORT 2005-002

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)
030-34637

4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)
22-20271-02

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
12/19-20/05

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Michael M LaFranco		12/20/05

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION



1. LICENSEE American Engineering Testing, Inc. REPORT NUMBER(S) 2005-002		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Lisle, IL 60532	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-34637	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 22-20271-02	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 12/19-20/2005	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87121	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01, 3.05, 3.06		
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION			
1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03320	2. PRIORITY C1	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Greg Owens - Corporate RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 651-659-9001
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection Date: <u>7/2006</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Field			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site		<u>Rose Mount, Minnesota off 117th Street Exit</u>	

PROGRAM SCOPE

This inspection was to review the licensee's corrective actions resulting from two violations of NRC requirements identified in an inspection conducted on July 20-21, 2005. The violations identified resulted from the licensee's failure to maintain continuous direct visual surveillance to protect against unauthorized entry into a high radiation area and failure to conduct a survey of the radiographic exposure device and the guide tube. The licensee's corrective actions inspected against were submitted to the NRC in a letter dated September 13, 2005.

The inspector interviewed the Corporate Radiation Safety Officer and Director and identified that the training described in the licensee's corrective actions were completed. Training concerning the violations for new employee's and refresher for senior radiographers and assistants was to take place in January. The inspector traveled to a job site where he interviewed a radiographer and assistant radiographer. The inspector noted that each individual had knowledge of each violation and corrective actions associated with the violations, had conducted surveys of the guide tube as required, and had knowledge to protect against unauthorized entry into a high radiation area.