## NRC – PPL Extended Power Uprate Pre-Submittal Meetings

### Start-Up Testing/Large Transient Testing Process Topics

George T. Jones John A. Bartos Kevin G. Browning John J. Geosits John M. Oddo

Susquehanna Steam Electric Station November 21, 2005



Purpose of the Meetings

Describe PPL Susquehanna approach to

- Start-up Testing/Large Transient Testing
- Process Topics

**Understand staff positions/expectations** 



**Specific Meeting Objectives** 

## Start-Up Testing/Large Transient Testing

- CLTR Basis
- SRP 14.2.1 Adherence
- Basis for not performing large transient test
- Describe Licensing Basis change: ability to withstand condensate/feedwater pump trip

SUSUCION DA

**Specific Meeting Objectives** 

## **Process Topics**

- CLTR/ELTR Basis for EPU Submittal
- Basis for Framatome Fuel Evaluations
- **RS-001:** 
  - Correlation Matrix
  - Template Safety Evaluation Mark-Up



# EPU Related NRC Submittals

PRNMS	06/27/2005	ļ
AST	10/13/2005	
ARTS/MELLLA	11/2005	
EPU	03/2006	•
<b>Recirc Pump Seizure*</b>	03/2006	
Steam Dryer Evaluations Supplement 1	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2006	
Steam Dryer Evaluations Supplement 2	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2006	
License Renewal	09/2006	
MELLLA+	2007	· 187

5



Recirculation Pump Seizure Licensing Basis Elimination

- \* Current licensing basis
- \* Alternative Source term (AST) would require evaluation
- \* Revision of SSES licensing basis discussed with NRC. Separate submittal planned.
- \* EPU submittal contingent upon approval of AST and Recirculation Pump Seizure NRC submittals.



## EPUNRC Submittal Outline

- A. License Change Request Letter
- **B. Enclosure: PPL Susquehanna Evaluation of the Proposed Changes** 
  - 1. Description
  - 2. Proposed Change
  - 3. Background
  - 4. Technical Analysis





### (cont.)

- 5. Regulatory Safety Analysis
   5.1 No Significant Hazards Consideration
  - 5.2 Applicable Regulatory Requirements/Criteria
- 6. Environmental Consideration
- 7. Summary
- 8. References



## EPUNRC Submittal Outline

(cont.)

**C.** Attachments:

- 1. Technical Specification Mark-up
- 2. Technical Specifications Bases Mark-up
- 3. List of Regulatory Commitments
- 4. Supplemental Environmental Report
- 5. PUSAR (GE Proprietary, Framatome Proprietary)



# EPUNRC Submittal Outline

(cont.)

- 6. PUSAR (Non-proprietary)
- 7. Plant Modifications
- 8. Startup Test Plan (Including Justification for Exception to Large Transient Testing)
- 9. Flow Induced Vibration Extent of Condition Review
- **10. Steam Dryer Report**





General Electric BWR Extended Power Uprate Licensing Topical Reports (LTR)

- Similar to LTR for Stretch Uprates (~5% Uprates)
- Defines the overall scope of safety analyses
- Reviewed and accepted for reference for BWR EPU submittals
- PPL is using the LTR structure as basis for the <u>non-fuel-dependent</u> safety analyses



### **BWR Extended Power Uprate Safety Analysis Basis Licensing Documents**

Generic Guidelines for General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Extended Power Uprate

ELTR-1

Generic Evaluations of General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Extended Power Uprate

ELTR-2

Generic Evaluations of General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Extended Power Uprate Supplement 1, Volume I

ELTR-2 Supplement 1, Vol I

Generic Evaluations of General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Extended Power Uprate Supplement 1, Volume II

ELTR-2 Supplement 1, Vol II





![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **BWR Extended Power Uprate Safety Analysis Basis Licensing Documents**

Generic Guidelines for General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Extended Power Uprate

ELTR-1

1

Generic Evaluations of General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Extended Power Uprate

ELTR-2

Generic Evaluations of General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Extended Power Uprate Supplement 1, Volume I

ELTR-2 Supplement 1, Vol I

Generic Evaluations of General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Extended Power Uprate Supplement 1, Volume II

ELTR-2 Supplement 1, Vol II

![](_page_15_Figure_10.jpeg)

16

S. S.

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

## CLTR

## **Constant Pressure Power Uprate Licensing Topical Report**

- Overall scope of analysis with no increase in maximum steam dome pressure
- Other EPU assumptions
- Builds on of generic dispositions in ELTR-1 & ELTR-2
- Format and content for Susquehanna's <u>Power Uprate Safety Analysis Report (PUSAR)</u>

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **BWR Extended Power Uprate Safety Analysis** Basis Licensing Documents

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Evaluation Control Process**

- Matrix of tasks
- Individual Tasks:
  - Safety analyses
  - System/component impacts
  - Programs
  - Task Evaluations

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Evaluation Control Process**

- Task Evaluations controlled process
  - Design Input Requests
  - Task Scoping Documents
  - Task Reports
  - PUSAR
- Tasks performed by external and internal organizations
  - Similar task evaluation control process used for EPU-related work

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

1.00

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

Approach for Fuel Dependent Analyses In the Safety Analysis Report

- Both SSES Units contain full cores of Framatome ATRIUM-10 fuel. Framatome fuel used for almost two dozen cycles of operation
- GE LTRs will not be used as the basis for fuel related analyses

23

 Fuel dependent safety analysis basis and applicability of the methods for EPU conditions provided for the analyses

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

Approach for Fuel Dependent Analyses In the Safety Analysis Report

- Several EPU, NRC and Framatome methodology meetings
- Limiting events for EPU conditions determined by Framatome through evaluation of FSAR events
- Evaluation of transients performed

## Susquehanna Best of the State

Approach for Fuel Dependent Analyses In the Safety Analysis Report

## Safety analysis events:

- Generator Load Rejection with Bypass Failure
- Feedwater Controller Failure
- Recirculation Flow Run-up
- Loss of Feedwater Heating
- Single Feedwater Pump Trip
- Loss of All FW flow
- Over Pressurization
- Loss of Coolant Accident
- Control Rod Drop Accident
- Anticipated Transient Without Scram

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

Hybrid Task Approach – ATWS Analysis for ATRIUM-10 Fuel

- ATRIUM-10 thermal hydraulic design and performance data provided to GE to model ATRIUM-10 fuel with GE methods
- Nuclear composition of representative EPU core design provided to GE to model core design with GE methods
- GE ATWS methodology used to produce SSES specific ATWS analysis for ATRIUM-10 fuel

 $\mathbf{28}$ 

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

### MATRIX 5 SCOPE AND ASSOCIATED TECHNICAL REVIEW GUIDANCE Plant Systems

Areas of Review	Applicable to	Primary Review Branch	Secondar y Review Branch(e	SRP Section Number	Focus of SRP Usage	Other Guidanc e	Template Safety Evaluation Section Number		ner Template Safety Cro lanc Evaluation Section Number		nplate Safety Cross Reference to valuation stion Number	
			<ul> <li></li></ul>				BWR	PWR	PUSAR	CLTR	OTHER	
Flood Protection	EPUs that result in significant increases in fluid volumes of tanks and vessels	SPLB		3.4.1 Rev. 2 July 1981	GDC-2		2.5.1.1 .1	2.5.1.1 .1	10.1.2 SSÉS NOTE X	10.1.2	4 4 2 2 1 1	
Equipment and Floor Drainage System	EPUs that result in increases in fluid volumes or in installation of larger capacity pumps or piping systems	SPLB		9.3.3 Rev. 2 July 1981	GDC-2 GDC-4		2.5.1.1 .2	2.5.1.1 .2	8.1 SSES NOTE X	<b>8.1</b>		
Circulating Water System	EPUs that result in increases in fluid volumes associated with the circulating water system or in installation of larger capacity pumps or piping systems	SPLB		10.4.5 Rev. 2 July 1981	GDC-4		2.5.1.1 .3	2.5.1.1 .3	6.4.2 SSES NOTE X	6.4.2		
Internally Generated Missiles (Outside Containment)	EPUs that result in substantially higher system pressures or changes in existing system configuration	SPLB	EMCB EMEB	3.5.1.1 Rev. 2 July 1981	GDC-4		2.5.1.2 .1	2.5.1.2 .1	7.1, 10.1 SSES NOTE X	7.1, 10.1		
Internally Generated Missiles (Inside Containment)	EPUs that result in substantially higher system pressures or changes in existing system configuration	SPLB	EMCB EMEB	3.5.1.2 Rev. 2 July 1981	GDC-4		2.5.1.2 .1	2.5.1.2 .1	10.1 ADD SSES NOTE X	10.1		

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION EXTENDED POWER UPRATE PRE-SUBMITTAL MEETING

### SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION

### STARTUP TESTING / LARGE TRANSIENT TESTING

11/21/2005

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### PPL SUSQUEHANNA EXTENDED POWER UPRATE

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

Plant Overview / Uprate History & Plans

PLANT OVERVIEW

- ◆ Two Unit Site Berwick, Pa. (Northeast Pa.)
- ◆ BWR-4, Variable Speed Reactor Recirculation Pumps
- ◆ Mark II Pressure Suppression Containment
- ◆ Natural Draft (Closed Loop) Cooling Towers
- ♦ Commercial Operation: Unit 1 July 1983 Unit 2 – February 1985

#### SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (SSES) POWER UPRATE HISTORY / PLANNED

- Original Licensed Thermal Power: 3293 MWth (OLTP)
- ◆ Stretch Uprate: ~ 4.5% Thermal Increase To 3441 MWth / 1993 1994 Timeframe
- ♦ Feedwater Measurement Uncertainty Recapture (MUR) Uprate: ~ 1.6% Thermal Increase To 3489 MWth - Current Licensed Thermal Power (CLTP) / 2002 – 2003 Timeframe
- ◆ <u>T</u>urbine <u>R</u>etrofit <u>P</u>roject (TRP): No Thermal Power Increase ~ 50 Mwe Increase / 2003 2004 Timeframe
- ◆ Extended Power Uprate (EPU) Project Current Plan
  - Currently At ~ 106% Of OLTP
  - Planning On Licensing Up To The Full 120% Of OLTP
  - Each Unit's Uprate To Be Implemented Over 2 Fuel Cycles
    - Unit 2 1<sup>st</sup> Step (~ 7% Thermal) Spring 2007
    - Unit 1 1<sup>st</sup> Step (~ 7% Thermal) Spring 2008
    - Unit 2 2<sup>nd</sup> Step (Generator Limited To 1300 MWe) Spring 2009
    - Unit 1 2<sup>nd</sup> Step (Generator Limited To 1300 MWe) Spring 2010
  - Normal Anticipated Power Level For Most Of The Year: ~ 116 117 % OLTP

### PPL SUSQUEHANNA EXTENDED POWER UPRATE

### Startup Testing / Large Transient Testing

- CLTR is Basis for Power Ascension and Startup Testing at SSES
  - CLTR Testing Requirements are Similar to NRC Approved ELTR Testing Requirements with the Exception of the Elimination of Large Transient Testing
  - CLTR Provides for a Stepped Approach to Power Ascension
  - CLTR Testing Accepted by NRC Staff Except for Elimination of Large Transient Testing (LTT)
  - CLTR SER Allows LTT Exemptions to be Considered on Plant-by-Plant Basis
  - SSES CPPU Testing is Described in Power Uprate Safety Analysis Report (PUSAR) and License Change Request (LCR) Testing Attachment
  - SSES LTT Exemption Justifications Provided in Testing Attachment
     o NRC Guidance for Exemptions Justifications per SRP 14.2.1

#### SSES CPPU License Change Request: PUSAR / Testing Attachment

- Complies with SRP 14.2.1 Guidance
  - o Comparison to Startup Testing
  - o Evaluates Attachments to SRP 14.2.1
- Includes CPPU Post-Modification Testing
  - Aggregate Impact of Modifications
  - o Integrated Operation of Multiple Structures, Systems, and Components
- Provides Justifications for Exemptions from Large Transient Testing
  - o Feedwater Pump Trip
  - o Condensate Pump Trip
  - Loss of Feedwater Heating
  - o MSIV Closure Events
  - o Turbine Trip/Generator Load Rejection
  - o Recirculation Pump Trip
  - o Relief Valve Testing
  - o RCIC Functional Testing
  - o HPCI Functional Testing
- Describes Operator Training/Large Transient Simulations on SSES Simulator
- Contains Large Transient Testing Risk Assessment
- Attaches Tabular Data
  - o Startup Testing Comparison Tables
    - All Original Startup Tests
    - 4.5% Uprate (1993 to 1995)
    - CPPU
  - Post-Modification Testing Tables
  - o Planned CPPU Power Ascension Testing Tables

#### PPL SUSQUEHANNA EXTENDED POWER UPRATE

### Startup Testing / Large Transient Testing

- Current Status of LTT Exemption Justification
  - Work in Progress/Best Estimates of Conclusions
    - o Exemptions will be Technically Justified
    - o Current Rationale Includes:
      - Events are well documented
      - EPU profiles are comparable
      - Gains do not justify the risks
      - Advanced analytical methods are applicable to EPU conditions

- 2 92

#### Other Discussion Topics

- Two-step uprate
  - o Full complement of tests for first step
  - o Full complement of tests after second step
  - o Full Power is defined as 95% to 100%
- RFP trip or condensate pump trip may cause Unit trip under some conditions
  - FW flow margin about 7% after first step increase (10% today)
  - o Parametric studies being performed by NSSS Vendor
  - o MELLLA+ operation makes these transients more susceptible to Unit trip
  - o Administrative limits on low water level may result in a pre-emptive trip
- Steam Dryer Performance and Structural Testing
  - o Stepped approach to power ascension
  - o Moisture carryover and acoustic loading criteria included in acceptance criteria

#### ♦ SUMMARY

- Testing per CLTR Test Program
- Testing Attachment will Supply Justification for LTT Exemptions
- Testing Attachment will Demonstrate Compliance with SRP 14.2.1
- Testing Exemptions will be Technically Justified
- Steam Dryer testing similar to recent submittals
- Change in Licensing Basis for Condensate and Feedwater Pump Trips in LCR