

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Digirad Imaging Solution, Inc. 4814 Whiteside Parkway Bemus Point, NY 14712 9120 Columbia Way, Munster, IN REPORT 2005/006	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4351	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-35802	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 31-30666-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 11/ 28 /2005

LICENSEE:
 The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.

2. Previous violation(s) closed.

3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Tony S. Go		12/12/05

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION			
1. LICENSEE Digirad Imaging Solution, Inc. REPORT NUMBER(S) <u>2005/006</u>		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-35802	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 31-30666-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 11/ 28/2005	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES 87130	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07		
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION			
1. PROGRAM 2220	2. PRIORITY 3	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Paul Early	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 412-427-1051
<input type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection Date: 11/08	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Office 9120 Columbia Way, Munster, IN			
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site			
PROGRAM SCOPE			

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2003)

Program Scope

This licensee is a large mobile service company based in California and NY that services many clients throughout United States. This was an inspection at the licensee's client facility located in Munster, Indiana. This clinic provided only routine diagnostic nuclear medicine studies mainly in cardiac imaging. At this location, the licensee's mobile service performed approximately 30 diagnostic nuclear medicine procedures per month. There were only one technologist and one radiologist based in Illinois performed the mobile service at this client's facility.

Performance Observations

This mobile nuclear medicine licensee ordered only unit dose packages from an Illinois based pharmacy that were transported directly to the Illinois storage facility located in Crest Wood. The storage facility in Crest Wood was listed on the Illinois license. The licensee transported these packages containing unit doses from the storage facility to ~~the~~ Munster, IN, in a small mobile van.

During the inspection, the licensee's technologist demonstrated the daily radiation and removable contamination surveys to the inspector at the client's facility. The inspector also observed that the technologist use whole body and extremity dosimeters during the handling and administration of the unit doses containing the licensed materials. In addition, the technologist wore a lab coat and used a pair of latex gloves while administering unit doses to patients at the facility. The inspector did not identify violations of NRC requirements or DOT requirements during the performance based inspection.