UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION I
475 ALLENDALE ROAD
KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406-1415

November 29, 2005

Docket No. 03020725 License No. 29-20690-01

Richard Epstein
Vice President of Operations
Ocean Medical Center
425 Jack Martin Boulevard
Brick, NJ 08724

SUBJECT: CORRECTION TO NOTICE OF VIOLATION DATED NOVEMBER 23, 2005

Dear Mr. Epstein:

On November 23, 2005, we sent you a letter and attached a Notice of Violation for two violations which occurred at Ocean Medical Center. The Notice contained two administrative errors in the description of the second violation. The reference to 10 CFR 35.644(b) was incorrect; the correct reference is 10 CFR 35.633(b). In addition the Severity Level was inadvertently omitted for this violation. Enclosed is a revised copy of the Notice of Violation which corrects the administrative errors. When responding to the Notice of Violation as directed in our November 23, 2005, letter, please refer to the revised Notice.

We apologize for any inconvenience.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Pamela J. Henderson

Pamela J. Henderson, Chief
Medical Branch
Division of Nuclear Materials Safety

Enclosure:
Corrected Copy of Notice of Violation dated November 23, 2005

cc:
Robert Monaco, M.D., Radiation Safety Officer
State of New Jersey

Distribution:
D.J. Holody, RI

DOCUMENT NAME: E:\Filenet\ML053330577.wpd

SISP Review Complete: SXu
After declaring this document "An Official Agency Record" it **will** be released to the Public.

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "**C**" = Copy w/o attach/encl "**E**" = Copy w/ attach/encl "**N**" = No copy

OFFICE	DNMS/RI	N	DNMS/RI		DNMS/RI			
NAME	S Xu SLG2 FOR		S Gabriel SLG2		PHenderson PJH1			
DATE	11/29/05		11/29/05		11/29/05			

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

CORRECTED COPY

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Ocean Medical Center
Brick, NJ

Docket No. 03020725
License No. 29-20690-01

During an NRC inspection conducted on November 8 and 9, 2005, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy, the violations are listed below:

A. 10 CFR 35.40(a) requires, in part, that a written directive must be dated and signed by an authorized user before the administration of I-131 sodium iodide greater than 30 microcuries.

Contrary to the above a written directive was not dated and signed by an authorized user before the administration of I-131 sodium iodide greater than 30 microcuries. Specifically, on February 28, 2005, the licensee administered 105.9 millicuries of I-131 sodium iodide without a written directive dated and signed by an authorized user.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VI).

B. 10 CFR 35.633(a) requires, in part, that a licensee authorized to use a remote afterloader unit for medical use perform full calibration measurements on each unit following replacement of the source.

10 CFR 35.633(b) requires, in part, that full calibration measurements include determination of the length of the source transfer tubes, timer accuracy and linearity over the typical range of use, and length of the applicators.

Contrary to the above, as of November 8, 2005, the licensee's full calibration measurements of the remote afterloader unit following replacement of the source did not include determination of the length of the source transfer tubes, timer accuracy and linearity over the typical range of use, and length of the applicators. Specifically, the licensee's full calibration following replacement of the source on September 1, 2005 did not include determination of these measurements.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VI).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Ocean Medical Center is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region I, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full

compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

If you contest this enforcement action, you should also provide a copy of your response to the Director, Office of Enforcement, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Under the authority of Section 182 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 2232, any response which contests an enforcement action shall be submitted under oath or affirmation.

Your response will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) and on the NRC Web site. To the extent possible, it should, therefore, not include any personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so that it can be made publically available without redaction. However, if you find it necessary to include such information, you should clearly indicate the specific information that you desire not to be placed in the PDR, and provide the legal basis to support your request for withholding the information from the public.

In accordance with 10 CFR 19.11, you may be required to post this Notice within two working days.

Dated This _29th_ day of _November_ 2005