

Allegation No.: RI-2002-A-0113
Site/Facility: Salem & Hope Creek
ARB Date: 3/11/2003

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: Yes
Confidentiality Granted: No

Ex 7c

Issue discussed: The allegor was originally concerned with [redacted] ability to perform duties in accordance with the Transient Assessment Response Plan (TARP) team formation procedure. When contacted by OI [redacted] chose not be interviewed and stated that [redacted] would try to resolve [redacted] concerns within the PSEG corrective action process. The NRC issued a conditional closeout letter to the allegor stating that the NRC will consider this allegation closed unless [redacted] contacts us within 30 days of the date of the letter. [redacted] did contact NRC in a November 20, 2002, letter and stated that [redacted] wanted the NRC to keep the issue open.

In a telephone call and subsequent letter dated 12/20/02, the allegor wants the NRC to pursue his H&I claim and address the TARP issue.

DRP reviewed a 2/19/03 transcript (OI Case No.1-2003-010) to determine if any new technical issue could be identified.

Allegor contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Yes

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Rogge Branch Chief (AOC) - Meyer SAC - Vito
OI Rep. - Wilson RI Counsel - _____ Others - White, McFadden

DISPOSITION ACTIONS: (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

- 1) DRP completed review of the 2/19/03 transcript and did not identify any new technical issues.

Responsible Person: Barber/Meyer
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: _____
Completed: 3/11/03

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT:

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:

Allegor initially declined to have the NRC pursue [redacted] discrimination issue. Thus, OI Case 1-2002-033 was closed since the allegor agreed no immediate safety issues existed when [redacted] was contacted by OI. During this initial contact [redacted] told OI [redacted] did not want to be interviewed. In [redacted] 12/20 letter, no new technical information was provided to warrant raising OI's priority on this case.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Distribution: Panel Attendees; Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions _____
FOIA- 2004-0191

F/9

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB