## g:\alleg\panel\20020113arb7.wpd ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

	n No.: RI-2002-A-0113 lity: Salem & Hope Creek	Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer Acknowledged: Yes
	e: <u>1/8/04</u>	Confidentiality Granted: No
Issue discussed: The alleger was originally concerned with ability to perform duties in accordance with the Transient Assessment Response Plan (TARP) team formation procedure. When contacted by Olchose not be interviewed and stated thatwould try to resolveconcerns within the PSEG corrective action process. The NRC issued a conditional closeout letter to the alleger stating that the NRC will consider this allegation closed unless contacts us within 30 days of the date of the letter did contact NRC in a November 20, 2002, letter and stated that wanted the NRC to keep the issue open.		
In a telephone call and subsequent letter dated 12/20/02, the alleger wanted the NRC to pursue H&I claim and wanted to address the TARP issue.		
DRP reviewed a 2/19/03 OI transcript (previously completed) and the final OI Report (OI Case no.1-2003-010) and did not identify any new technical issues. In their final report, OI did not substantiate that H&I took place.		
In a 9/2/03 information package, the alleger indicated that PSEG is showing a continuing pattern of discrimination since he/she is taking medication that precludes him/her from being on the TARP team (Medical Department at PSEG is aware) but yet the individual received an unsatisfactory mid-year performance appraisal on 8/28/03.		
Ol completed their final review of the alleger's discrimination case ((1-2003-010S) and did not find sufficient evidence to prove that discrimination occurred.		
Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Yes		
ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS		
Attendees: Chair - Blough Branch Chief (AOC) - Meyer SAC - Vito Ol Rep Wilson, Neff, Teator RI Counsel - Farrar Others - Barber, Holody, Eichenholz		
DISPOSITION ACTIONS:		
	ORP completed review of the final discrimination ca any new technical issues.	ase (1-2003-010s) and did not identify
	Responsible Person: <u>Barber</u> Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>01/08/04</u> Completed: <u>01/06/04</u>
2) 3	3 Week OE Memo - Supplemental OI Investigation 1-2002-010S	
	Responsible Person: <u>SAC</u> Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>1/16/2004</u> Completed:
3) (	Closeout letter to alleger and licensee with OI summary.	
	Responsible Person: <u>SAC</u> Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>1/30/2004</u> Completed:
SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT:		
•		Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions 5 100 101
ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB		

## **PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:**

Alleger initially declined to have the NRC pursue his discrimination issue. Thus, the original OI Case (1-2002-033) was closed since the alleger did not want to be interviewed and agreed no immediate safety issues existed when was contacted by OI. In 12/20 letter, no new technical information was provided to warrant raising OI's priority on this case. Later, he/she requested that OI pursue H&I. In their final report, OI did not substantiate that H&I took place.

Exh

Regional Counsel made determination that

[K5