From:

"Mark Thiesse" <MTHIES@state,wy.us>
"Stephen Cohen" <SJC7@nrc.gov>

To: Date:

11/11/05 12:20PM

Subject:

Re: Lucky Mc Site - Eliminating Wells AL-8 and AL-9

Howdy Steve, I was not sure what you meant by regulatory requirements vs a guideline, but in your scenario you provide, yes - I think we would change the classification of that aquifer from a Class 3 to a Class 1 due to its use as a domestic supply.

Holler if anything else comes up....

Mark

>>> "Stephen Cohen" <SJC7@nrc.gov> 11/10/2005 >>>

## Mark:

As you know, Pathfinder applied for a license amendment to remove the two offsite wells (AL-8 and AL-9) from the current monitoring network at their Lucky Mc site. We are reviewing this request. To help with our review, I had a question regarding WDEQ's regulation of aquifer class of use.

I wanted to confirm that WDEQ's aquifer class of use categories are solely guidelines not regulatory requirements. Therefore, if someone decides to install a drinking water well in a Class III aquifer, that aquifer is then considered a Class I aquifer. Please, at your earliest convenience, confirm my understanding, as this point is an important factor in my analysis.

Thank you for your help.

Steve

CC:

"Kevin Frederick" <KFREDE@state.wy.us>

Mail Envelope Properties (4374D2CF.359:8:41817)

Subject:

Re: Lucky Mc Site - Eliminating Wells AL-8 and AL-9

**Creation Date:** 

11/11/05 12:19PM

From:

"Mark Thiesse" <MTHIES@state.wy.us>

**Created By:** 

MTHIES@state.wy.us

## Recipients

nrc.gov

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01 SJC7 (Stephen Cohen)

state.wy.us

KFREDE CC (Kevin Frederick)

**Post Office** 

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

state.wy.us

Files MESSAGE Size 1055 **Date & Time** 11/11/05 12:19PM

TEXT.htm 1455 Mime.822 4247

**Options** 

**Expiration Date: Priority:** 

None Standard

Reply Requested:
Return Notification:

No None

Concealed Subject:

No

Security:

Standard