

From: Allen Howe *NRC*
To: Burnell, Scott *OPA*
Date: 06/03/2004 1:53PM
Subject: Fwd: [Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate] NRC to VT Senate-Go "pound sand" but stay out of the NRC's sand box

E-46

From: Stuart Richards
To: Allen Howe; Donna Skay; Tad Marsh 
Date: 06/03/2004 11:14AM
Subject: Fwd: [Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate] NRC to VT Senate-Go "pound sand" but stay out of the NRC's sand box

From: pmlanch@comcast.net
To: <Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate@yahoo.com>
Date: 06/02/2004 8:10PM
Subject: [Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate] NRC to VT Senate-Go "pound sand" but stay out of the NRC's sand box

Senators: NRC gave state brushoff on Yankee -

Jun. 1, 2004

<<https://www.vermonttoday.com/subscribe/>>

By SUSAN SMALLHEER Herald Staff

Nuclear Regulatory Commission to Vermont Senate: "Drop dead."

That's how Sen. Peter Welch, D-Windsor, Senate president pro tempore, reads it.

"The NRC took six pages to say, 'NRC to Vermont Senate: Drop dead,' that's what it basically says," Welch said Tuesday.

Welch, one of the authors of the Senate resolution asking for a more detailed study of the Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant by federal regulators said he was very disappointed by the NRC's response.

Welch said the letter did nothing to address the safety concerns the Senate raised in its March 17 resolution, which passed the state Senate unanimously.

The Senate had asked for a detailed "independent safety assessment" of Vermont Yankee by the NRC prior to the federal regulators giving approval to Entergy Nuclear to increase power by 20 percent.

"The staff believes that the specific actions requested by the Senate are already satisfied in one way or another through current or planned NRC processes," wrote J.E. Dyer, director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

"We believe the NRC's program of review and oversight is comprehensive, effective and responsive to the needs of the Vermont Senate," Dyer concluded.

The Senate resolution followed closely the request from the state Public Service Board for an "independent engineering assessment," of Vermont Yankee prior to any power increase approval by federal regulators. The engineering assessment was described as not as extensive as what the Senate wanted.

Welch said that since the letter was sent to the NRC, the problems at Vermont's only nuclear reactor have only gotten worse: Two pieces of irradiated fuel have turned up missing, and about 20 cracks were discovered in a key component, the steam dryer.

"The letter doesn't provide any assurance that they are going to treat this differently," Welch said. "It's business as usual."

Sen. Roderick Gander, D-Windham, said his reading of the NRC letter was disappointing. "It was a brushoff, and they're not taking us very seriously."

Gander said that people in Brattleboro and Windham County were "waiting for the next shoe to drop" at the Vernon reactor, and remained skeptical that the plant was capable of handling the additional stresses associated with the power increase.

Sen. Jeannette White, D-Windham, said she was very disappointed with the NRC's response. "We wanted an independent study. We did not want them to do what they always do," she said.

"They're putting their own spin on it," she said.

White said the sponsors of the resolution had worked hard to get a unanimous vote from the Senate, and she said that some controversial clauses of the original resolution were deleted in the interest of unanimous support.

White said the biggest concern at the time was that the Senate was interfering in a process that was the domain of the Public Service Board.

She said the resolution was being discussed at the time the Public Service Board came out with its conditional approval of the power increase, or uprate.

"The biggest concern was we were interfering with a process that we shouldn't," White said.

"I'm not satisfied. I don't think it's adequately reviewed," White said. "I don't think they're being genuine, they're just dismissing us."

A month ago, the NRC told the PSB that it would perform a "detailed engineering inspection" of Vermont Yankee in light of the request to change its license to increase power production.

Nils Diaz, chairman of the NRC, said that was part of a new engineering inspection program the NRC had been developing.

Contact Susan Smallheer at susan.smallheer@rutlandherald.com.

Senators: NRC gave state brushoff on Yankee -

Jun. 1, 2004

By SUSAN SMALLHEER Herald Staff

Nuclear Regulatory Commission to Vermont Senate: "Drop dead."

That's how Sen. Peter Welch, D-Windsor, Senate president pro tempore, reads it.

"The NRC took six pages to say, 'NRC to Vermont Senate: Drop dead,' that's what it basically says," Welch said Tuesday.

Welch, one of the authors of the Senate resolution asking for a more detailed study of the Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant by federal regulators said he was very disappointed by the NRC's response.

Welch said the letter did nothing to address the safety concerns the Senate raised in its March 17 resolution, which passed the state Senate unanimously.

The Senate had asked for a detailed "independent safety assessment" of Vermont Yankee by the NRC prior to the federal regulators giving approval to Entergy Nuclear to increase power by 20 percent.

"The staff believes that the specific actions requested by the Senate are already satisfied in one way or another through current or planned NRC processes," wrote J.E. Dyer, director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

"We believe the NRC's program of review and oversight is comprehensive, effective and responsive to the needs of the Vermont Senate," Dyer concluded.

The Senate resolution followed closely the request from the state Public Service Board for an "independent engineering assessment," of Vermont Yankee prior to any power increase approval by federal regulators. The engineering assessment was described as not as extensive as what the Senate wanted.

Welch said that since the letter was sent to the NRC, the problems at Vermont's only nuclear reactor have only gotten worse: Two pieces of irradiated fuel have turned up missing, and about 20 cracks were discovered in a key component, the steam dryer.

"The letter doesn't provide any assurance that they are going to treat this differently," Welch said. "It's business as usual."

Sen. Roderick Gander, D-Windham, said his reading of the NRC letter was disappointing. "It was a brushoff, and they're not taking us very seriously."

Gander said that people in Brattleboro and Windham County were "waiting for the next shoe to drop" at the Vernon reactor, and remained skeptical that the plant was capable of handling the additional stresses associated with the power increase.

Sen. Jeannette White, D-Windham, said she was very disappointed with the NRC's response. "We wanted an independent study. We did not want them to do what they always do," she said.

"They're putting their own spin on it," she said.

White said the sponsors of the resolution had worked hard to get a unanimous vote from the Senate, and she said that some controversial clauses of the original resolution were deleted in the interest of unanimous support.

White said the biggest concern at the time was that the Senate was interfering in a process that was the domain of the Public Service Board.

She said the resolution was being discussed at the time the Public Service Board came out with its conditional approval of the power increase, or uprate.

"The biggest concern was we were interfering with a process that we shouldn't," White said.

"I'm not satisfied. I don't think it's adequately reviewed," White said. "I don't think they're being genuine, they're just dismissing us."

A month ago, the NRC told the PSB that it would perform a "detailed engineering inspection" of Vermont Yankee in light of the request to change its license to increase power production.

Nils Diaz, chairman of the NRC, said that was part of a new engineering inspection program the NRC had been developing.

Contact Susan Smallheer at susan.smallheer@rutlandherald.com.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

- To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate/
- To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
- Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the [Yahoo! Terms of Service](#).

Received: from igate.nrc.gov
by nrcgwia.nrc.gov; Wed, 02 Jun 2004 20:10:03 -0400
Received: from n28.grp.scd.yahoo.com (n28.grp.scd.yahoo.com [66.218.66.84])
by smtp-gateway SMTP id i5309Fp1024346
for <sar@nrc.gov>; Wed, 2 Jun 2004 20:09:16 -0400 (EDT)
X-eGroups-Return: sentto-12434999-251-1086221395-sar=nrc.gov@returns.groups.yahoo.com
Received: from [66.218.66.94] by n28.grp.scd.yahoo.com with NNFP; 03 Jun 2004 00:09:56 -0000
X-Sender: pmblanch@comcast.net
X-Apparently-To: Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate@yahoogroups.com
Received: (qmail 89939 invoked from network); 3 Jun 2004 00:09:52 -0000
Received: from unknown (66.218.66.172)
by m1.grp.scd.yahoo.com with QMQP; 3 Jun 2004 00:09:52 -0000
Received: from unknown (HELO rwormhc12.comcast.net) (216.148.227.85)
by mta4.grp.scd.yahoo.com with SMTP; 3 Jun 2004 00:09:51 -0000
Received: from vaio (c-24-2-238-83.client.comcast.net[24.2.238.83])
by comcast.net (rwormhc12) with SMTP
id <2004060300094901400ntv72e>; Thu, 3 Jun 2004 00:09:50 +0000
To: <Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate@yahoogroups.com>
Message-ID: <000a01c448ff\$12071190\$6501a8c0@VAIO>
X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook, Build 10.0.4024
Importance: Normal
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1409
X-eGroups-Remote-IP: 216.148.227.85
From: "Paul Blanch" <pmblanch@comcast.net>
X-Yahoo-Profile: pm_blanch
MIME-Version: 1.0
Mailing-List: list Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate@yahoogroups.com; contact
Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate-owner@yahoogroups.com
Delivered-To: mailing list Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate@yahoogroups.com
Precedence: bulk
List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Wed, 2 Jun 2004 20:09:34 -0400
Subject: [Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate] NRC to VT Senate-Go "pound sand" but stay out of the NRC's
sand box
Reply-To: Vermont_Yankee_Power_Uprate@yahoogroups.com
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_000B_01C448DD.8AF57190"

-----_NextPart_000_000B_01C448DD.8AF57190
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Senators: NRC gave state brushoff on Yankee -

Jun. 1, 2004

<<https://www.vermonttoday.com/subscribe/>>

By SUSAN SMALLHEER Herald Staff

Nuclear Regulatory Commission to Vermont Senate: "Drop dead."

That's how Sen. Peter Welch, D-Windsor, Senate president pro tempore, reads it.

"The NRC took six pages to say, 'NRC to Vermont Senate: Drop dead,' that's what it basically says," Welch said Tuesday.

Welch, one of the authors of the Senate resolution asking for a more detailed study of the Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant by federal regulators said he was very disappointed by the NRC's response.

Welch said the letter did nothing to address the safety concerns the Senate raised in its March 17 resolution, which passed the state Senate unanimously.

The Senate had asked for a detailed "independent safety assessment" of Vermont Yankee by the NRC prior to the federal regulators giving approval to Entergy Nuclear to increase power by 20 percent.

"The staff believes that the specific actions requested by the Senate are already satisfied in one way or another through current or planned NRC processes," wrote J.E. Dyer, director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

"We believe the NRC's program of review and oversight is comprehensive, effective and responsive to the needs of the Vermont Senate," Dyer concluded.

The Senate resolution followed closely the request from the state Public Service Board for an "independent engineering assessment," of Vermont Yankee prior to any power increase approval by federal regulators. The engineering assessment was described as not as extensive as what the Senate wanted.

Welch said that since the letter was sent to the NRC, the problems at Vermont's only nuclear reactor have only gotten worse: Two pieces of irradiated fuel have turned up missing, and about 20 cracks were discovered in a key component, the steam dryer.

"The letter doesn't provide any assurance that they are going to treat this differently," Welch said. "It's business as usual."

Sen. Roderick Gander, D-Windham, said his reading of the NRC letter was disappointing. "It was a brushoff, and they're not taking us very seriously."

Gander said that people in Brattleboro and Windham County were "waiting for the next shoe to drop" at the Vernon reactor, and remained skeptical that the plant was capable of handling the additional stresses associated with the power increase.

Sen. Jeannette White, D-Windham, said she was very disappointed with the NRC's response. "We wanted an independent study. We did not want them to do what they always do," she said.

"They're putting their own spin on it," she said.

White said the sponsors of the resolution had worked hard to get a unanimous vote from the Senate, and she said that some controversial clauses of the original resolution were deleted in the interest of unanimous support.

White said the biggest concern at the time was that the Senate was interfering in a process that was the domain of the Public Service Board.

She said the resolution was being discussed at the time the Public Service Board came out with its conditional approval of the power increase, or uprate.

"The biggest concern was we were interfering with a process that we shouldn't," White said.

"I'm not satisfied. I don't think it's adequately reviewed," White said. "I don't think they're being genuine, they're just dismissing us."

A month ago, the NRC told the PSB that it would perform a "detailed engineering inspection" of Vermont Yankee in light of the request to change its license to increase power production.

Nils Diaz, chairman of the NRC, said that was part of a new engineering inspection program the NRC had been developing.

Contact Susan Smallheer at susan.smallheer@rutlandherald.com.

-----=_NextPart_000_000B_01C448DD.8AF57190
Content-Type: text/html; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META HTTP-EQUIV="Content-Type" CONTENT="text/html; charset=us-ascii">
<TITLE>Message</TITLE>

<META content="MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=GENERATOR></HEAD>
<BODY>
```

```
<DIV><FONT color=#0000ff></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV align=left><SPAN style="FONT-SIZE: 14px"><B>
<P><FONT face=Verdana><SPAN class=articleTitle><FONT size=5>Senators: NRC gave
state brushhoff on Yankee</FONT></SPAN> <SPAN
class=bluetext>&nbsp;</SPAN></FONT></P>
<P><FONT face=Verdana><SPAN class=bluetext><SPAN
class=218280400-03062004>J</SPAN>un. 1, 2004</SPAN></FONT></P><!--start in-story ad--><A
href="https://www.vermonttoday.com/subscribe/" target=_blank></A><!--end in-story ad--><!-- Print article
content using HTML format :h -->
<P><FONT face=Verdana>By SUSAN SMALLHEER Herald Staff </FONT></P>
<P><FONT face=Verdana>Nuclear Regulatory Commission to Vermont Senate: "Drop
```

dead." </P>

<P>That's how Sen. Peter Welch, D-Windsor, Senate president pro tempore, reads it. </P>

<P>"The NRC took six pages to say, 'NRC to Vermont Senate: Drop dead,' that's what it basically says," Welch said Tuesday. </P>

<P>Welch, one of the authors of the Senate resolution asking for a more detailed study of the Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant by federal regulators said he was very disappointed by the NRC's response. </P>

<P>Welch said the letter did nothing to address the safety concerns the Senate raised in its March 17 resolution, which passed the state Senate unanimously. </P>

<P>The Senate had asked for a detailed "independent safety assessment" of Vermont Yankee by the NRC prior to the federal regulators giving approval to Entergy Nuclear to increase power by 20 percent. </P>

<P>"The staff believes that the specific actions requested by the Senate are already satisfied in one way or another through current or planned NRC processes," wrote J.E. Dyer, director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. </P>

<P>"We believe the NRC's program of review and oversight is comprehensive, effective and responsive to the needs of the Vermont Senate," Dyer concluded. </P>

<P>The Senate resolution followed closely the request from the state Public Service Board for an "independent engineering assessment," of Vermont Yankee prior to any power increase approval by federal regulators. The engineering assessment was described as not as extensive as what the Senate wanted. </P>

<P>Welch said that since the letter was sent to the NRC, the problems at Vermont's only nuclear reactor have only gotten worse: Two pieces of irradiated fuel have turned up missing, and about 20 cracks were discovered in a key component, the steam dryer. </P>

<P>"The letter doesn't provide any assurance that they are going to treat this differently," Welch said. "It's business as usual." </P>

<P>Sen. Roderick Gander, D-Windham, said his reading of the NRC letter was disappointing. "It was a brushoff, and they're not taking us very seriously." </P>

<P>Gander said that people in Brattleboro and Windham County were "waiting for the next shoe to drop" at the Vernon reactor, and remained skeptical that the plant was capable of handling the additional stresses associated with the power increase. </P>

<P>Sen. Jeannette White, D-Windham, said she was very disappointed with the NRC's response. "We wanted an independent study. We did not want them to do what they always do," she said. </P>

<P>"They're putting their own spin on it," she said. </P>

<P>White said the sponsors of the resolution had worked hard to get a unanimous vote from the Senate, and she said that some controversial clauses of the original resolution were deleted in the interest of unanimous support. </P>

<P>White said the biggest concern at the time was that the Senate was interfering in a process that was the domain of the Public Service Board. </P>

<P>She said the resolution was being discussed at the time the Public Service Board came out with its conditional approval of the power increase, or uprate. </P>

<P>"The biggest concern was we were interfering with a


```
<!-- [end egp html banner] -->
```

```
</BODY></HTML>
```

```
-----_NextPart_000_000B_01C448DD.8AF57190--
```