

From: Patricia Milligan, *NSFR*
To: Scott Burnell
Date: 4/13/04 10:13AM
Subject: Re: Questions from N.H.

#21
OPA
release

She had a lot of questions on health effects, cancer causation, cancer risk, siting criteria for reactors, relative risk, plume behavior, Chernobyl vs US reactors, cancer incidence in Chernobyl, DBAs vs SAMAs, basis for EPZ. We talked about valley effects vs seabreezes, terrain impacts on plume travel, and the impact on dose to population, we talked about natural background radiation, and compared natural gammas to machine produced xrays for example. She seemed very receptive and not agenda driven/
Trish

S/S

>>> Scott Burnell 04/13/04 10:06AM >>>
Once again, I am in your debt. Thanks.

>>> Patricia Milligan 04/13/04 09:37AM >>>
I spoke with her and answered her questions.
Trish

>>> Scott Burnell 04/13/04 08:44AM >>>
Erika Cohen called again on these questions. Debra, if Trish isn't available, could you help Erika out?
Thanks.

Scott

4/7 @ 10:50

Trish;

Erika Cohen from the Keene Sentinel called. Sam, she has a couple of historical questions for you:

How were testing and evaluation of emergency preparedness plans carried out prior to TMI?
What was the status of the resident inspector program prior to TMI?

Trish, she's also interested in talking about the basis for the EPZs, the definition of a "large" release, etc.

Her phone number's 603/352-1234 (switchboard, just ask for her) and her e-mail is ecohen@keenesentinel.com. She's writing for a piece the weekend of the 17th, she said, so not a great rush in responding to her. Thanks!

Scott

E-19