November 23, 2005

Mr. J. A. Stall
Senior Vice President, Nuclear and
Chief Nuclear Officer
Florida Power and Light Company
P.O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

SUBJECT: ST. LUCIE, UNIT 1 - CORRECTION TO SAFETY EVALUATION FOR

AMENDMENT NO. 196 REGARDING EXTENSION OF REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE CURVES (TAC NO. MC5580)

Dear Mr. Stall:

On September 21, 2005, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission issued Amendment No. 196 to Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-67 for the St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 1. The amendment revised applicability of the Reactor Coolant System Pressure and Temperature curves from 23.6 Effective Full Power Years (EFPY) to 35 EFPY. Due to an administrative error, the 23.6 EFPY number was incorrectly stated as 26 EFPY on 2 pages of the safety evaluation (SE). The error did not affect the conclusions of the safety evaluation.

Enclosed are revised Pages 2 and 3 of the SE with the revisions noted by a bar in the right margin. Please substitute the revised pages for those originally provided. We are sorry for any inconvenience this may have caused.

Sincerely,

Brendan T. Moroney, Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch D Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-335

Enclosures: Revised SE pages

cc w/enclosures: See next page

November 23, 2005

Mr. J. A. Stall
Senior Vice President, Nuclear and
Chief Nuclear Officer
Florida Power and Light Company
P.O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

SUBJECT: ST. LUCIE, UNIT 1 - CORRECTION TO SAFETY EVALUATION FOR

AMENDMENT NO. 196 REGARDING EXTENSION OF REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE CURVES (TAC NO. MC5580)

Dear Mr. Stall:

On September 21, 2005, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission issued Amendment No. 196 to Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-67 for the St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 1. The amendment revised applicability of the Reactor Coolant System Pressure and Temperature curves from 23.6 Effective Full Power Years (EFPY) to 35 EFPY. Due to an administrative error, the 23.6 EFPY number was incorrectly stated as 26 EFPY on 2 pages of the safety evaluation (SE). The error did not affect the conclusions of the safety evaluation.

Enclosed are revised Pages 2 and 3 of the SE with the revisions noted by a bar in the right margin. Please substitute the revised pages for those originally provided. We are sorry for any inconvenience this may have caused.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Brendan T. Moroney, Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch D Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-335

Enclosures: Revised SE pages

cc w/enclosures: See next page

Distribution:

PUBLIC RidsNrrLABClayton (paper copy) LLois MMitchell LPLII-2 Reading RidsNrrPMBMoroney JMedoff JNakoski RidsNrrDlpmLpdii2 RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter RidsRgn2MailCenter TBoyce

RidsNrrDlpmLpdii GHill (2 paper copies) RidsOgcRp

Package No.: ML053130370 Amendment No. 196: ML052450426

ADAMS Accession No.: ML053120095 NRR-106

OFFICE	LPLII-2/PM	LPLII-2/LA	LPLII-2/BC
NAME	BMoroney	BClayton	MMarshall
DATE	11/14/05	11/14/05	11/23/05

The method to predict the reactor vessel material irradiation damage is provided in Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.99, Rev. 2, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials."

RG 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence," describes methods and assumptions acceptable to the NRC staff for determining the pressure vessel neutron fluence, and is intended to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the fluence determination required by GDC 14, 30, and 31.

3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

The purpose of this review and the following evaluation is to establish the validity of the fluence value and the correctness of the 35 EFPY estimate.

Westinghouse Commercial Atomic Power report, WCAP-15446, Revision 1, "Analysis of Capsule 284E from the Florida Power and Light St. Lucie Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program," dated January 2002, includes updates that reflect data from two additional surveillance capsules that were removed since the original fluence evaluation. Review of WCAP-15446, Revision 1, by the NRC staff indicated that the calculations were carried out using the correct methodology, correct approximations and correct cross sections.

The original Adjusted Reference Temperature (ART) is 191EF at 1/4T (reactor vessel wall thickness) and 137EF at 3/4T for the lower shell axial welds. The licensee calculated projected values of vessel fluence for the lower shell axial welds utilizing the maximum fluence value for Operating Cycle 15 as the benchmark, a conservative assumption for future fuel loadings, and a 10 percent increase to cover unforseen variations. These calculations are conservative with respect to the guidance in RG 1.190 and are acceptable. The new critical weld fluence for 35 EFPY is 1.88x10¹⁹ neutrons per square centimeter (n/cm²), which was reduced from the maximum value of 2.85x10¹⁹ n/cm² in the original assessment.

WCAP-15446, Revision 1, provides materials information regarding the critical lower shell axial weld based on limiting weld heat No. 305424, which is part of the Beaver Valley surveillance capsule test program. The licensee examined all of the materials in the belt zone and concluded that the lower shell axial weld remains the critical element.

This material was then used to back-calculate the fluence for which the 1/4T ART is 191EF and this resulted in the proposed value of 35 EFPY. The maximum value of 2.85x10¹⁹ n/cm² was applied to all circumferential welds to assure that the lower shell axial weld is the critical element. The calculation is straight forward and the equations used are in accordance with RG 1.99. The NRC staff verified that the calculations were performed correctly. Therefore, the proposed extension of applicability of the P/T limit curves to 35 EFPY is acceptable. The limiting values in the P/T limit curves, which include the lowest service temperature, minimum boltup temperature, and minimum pressure limits, do not change from the previously approved values, since these limits are not based on fluence.

St. Lucie Unit 1 TS 3.4.9.1 establishes a limiting condition for operation based on the RCS P/T limits, as shown in Figures 3.4-2a, 3.4-2b and 3.4-3. The proposed amendment revises the title of each figure to indicate a change in applicability from 23.6 EFPY to 35 EFPY. The curves are not changed. This is acceptable based on the preceding evaluation. Figures 3.4-2a and 3.4-2b

also have a note added to indicate the limiting material and limiting ART value used in the analysis. The information is consistent with the analysis and has no operational impact and, therefore, the change is acceptable.

The LTOP setpoints are also based on the existing P/T limit analysis and, therefore, do not change. TS Figure 3.1-1b provides limits on maximum allowable RCS heatup and cooldown rates for a single high head safety injection pump in operation during LTOP conditions, The proposed amendment revises the title of Figure 3.1-1b to indicate a change in applicability from 23.6 EFPY to 35 EFPY. Since the curve is not changed and is based on the P/T curves, the proposed change is acceptable.

The licensee proposes to continue the practice of not applying instrument uncertainties to the P/T limit curves. However, as indicated on page 10 of Attachment 1 of the submittal, the licensee accounts for instrument uncertainties in the LTOP analysis for the relief valve enable and pressure lift setpoints. Therefore, they do not need to be accounted for in the P/T limit curves.

In summary, the NRC staff reviewed the submitted information and the request to extend the applicability of the P/T limit curves and the LTOP setpoints for St. Lucie Unit 1. The request is based on vessel fluence conservatism in the existing P/T curves. This was demonstrated by recalculation of the fluence with methods that adhere to the guidance in RG 1.190, and therefore, are acceptable. The ART value for 35 EFPY was calculated to match the existing 1/4T value of 191EF of the current P/T curves. The staff finds this acceptable, because it assures that the proposed extension of the P/T curves is valid. The LTOP setpoints remain unchanged because they are based on the P/T curves.

4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

Based upon a letter dated May 2, 2003, from Michael N. Stephens of the Florida Department of Health, Bureau of Radiation Control, to Brenda L. Mozafari, Senior Project Manager, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the State of Florida does not desire notification of issuance of license amendments.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding (70 FR 9993, dated March 1, 2005). Accordingly, this amendment meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Mr. J. A. Stall Florida Power and Light Company

CC:

Senior Resident Inspector St. Lucie Plant U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 6090 Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

Craig Fugate, Director
Division of Emergency Preparedness
Department of Community Affairs
2740 Centerview Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100

M. S. Ross, Managing Attorney Florida Power & Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Marjan Mashhadi, Senior Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. Suite 220 Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Douglas Anderson County Administrator St. Lucie County 2300 Virginia Avenue Fort Pierce, Florida 34982

Mr. William A. Passetti, Chief Department of Health Bureau of Radiation Control 2020 Capital Circle, SE, Bin #C21 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1741

Mr. William Jefferson, Jr. Site Vice President St. Lucie Nuclear Plant 6351 South Ocean Drive Jensen Beach, Florida 34957-2000

ST. LUCIE PLANT

Mr. G. L. Johnston Plant General Manager St. Lucie Nuclear Plant 6351 South Ocean Drive Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

Mr. Terry Patterson Licensing Manager St. Lucie Nuclear Plant 6351 South Ocean Drive Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

Mark Warner, Vice President Nuclear Operations Support Florida Power and Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. Rajiv S. Kundalkar Vice President - Nuclear Engineering Florida Power & Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. J. Kammel
Radiological Emergency
Planning Administrator
Department of Public Safety
6000 Southeast Tower Drive
Stuart, Florida 34997