

---

# **Gamma Survey Data Evaluation Report**

**Rev 1**

prepared for



**WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COMPANY**  
Hematite, Missouri

prepared by



**SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**  
St. Louis, Missouri

**MAY 2004**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 SURVEY PURPOSE.....	1
1.2 SURVEY SCOPE.....	2
2.0 SURVEY DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY.....	4
3.0 SURVEY QUALITY CONTROL .....	9
3.2 INSTRUMENTATION QUALITY ASSURANCE.....	9
3.2.1 Radiological Instrumentation Quality Assurance/Quality Control .....	9
3.2.2 Global Positioning System Quality Assurance/Quality Control .....	10
3.3 SURVEY QUALITY ASSURANCE.....	11
3.4 DATA MANAGEMENT.....	12
4.0 SURVEY RESULTS.....	13
4.1 BACKGROUND VALUE DETERMINATION.....	13
4.2 AREA A.....	21
4.3 AREA B .....	22
4.4 AREA C.....	27
4.5 AREA D.....	31
4.6 AREA E .....	32
4.7 AREA F .....	35
4.8 AREA G.....	36
5.0 CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS .....	37
6.0 REFERENCES.....	42

ATTACHMENT 1 – SURVEY INSTRUMENTATION QA RECORDS  
ATTACHMENT 2 – CONTAMINATION SURVEY RECORD

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
Table 3-1	Data Quality Objectives .....	9
Table 3-2	Instrument Background Comparison.....	10
Table 4-1	Reference Area Measurements.....	19

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
Figure 1.	– Westinghouse Property Map .....	3
Figure 2.	– Initial Survey Plan .....	5
Figure 3.	– Final Survey Map .....	7
Figure 4.	– Westinghouse GWS (Soil Background 10001 – 12000 cpm) .....	15
Figure 5.	– Westinghouse GWS (Soil Background 11001 – 13000 cpm) .....	16
Figure 6.	– Westinghouse GWS (Soil Background 10001 – 13000 cpm) .....	17
Figure 7.	– Westinghouse GWS (Two Color Illustration).....	18
Figure 8.	– Area 'A' GWS (Soil Background 10001 – 12000 cpm).....	23
Figure 9.	– Area 'A' GWS (Two Color Illustration) .....	24
Figure 10.	– Area 'A' GWS (Modified Ranges).....	25
Figure 11.	– Area 'B' GWS (Soil Background 10001 – 12000 cpm).....	27
Figure 12.	– Area 'B' GWS (Two Color Illustration).....	28
Figure 13.	– Area 'C' GWS .....	31
Figure 14.	– Area 'D' GWS .....	32
Figure 15.	– Area 'D' Isolated Elevated Areas .....	33
Figure 16.	– Area 'E' GWS.....	35
Figure 17.	– Area 'F' GWS.....	37
Figure 18.	– Area 'G' GWS .....	38

ACRONYMS	
<sup>99</sup> Tc	Technicium-99
AOC	Area of concern
ATV	All terrain vehicle
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
Cm	Centimeter
cpm	Counts per minute
DQOs	Data quality objectives
ft	Feet
GIS	Geographic information system
GPS	Global positioning system
GWS	Gamma walkover survey
HSA	Historical Site Assessment
HEU	High enriched uranium
HF	Hydrogen fluoride gas
LEU	Low enriched uranium
MARSSIM	Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual
MDNR	Missouri Department of Natural Resources
QA	Quality assurance
QC	Quality control
RI	Remedial Investigation
RI/FS	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
UF <sub>6</sub>	Uranium hexafluoride

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

The Westinghouse Electric Corporation, LLC (Westinghouse) nuclear fuel manufacturing facility at Hematite, Missouri ceased operation in June 2001 after nearly 47 years under various owners and operators. Westinghouse now seeks to decommission the plant and release the property. The United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is the primary agency for the plant decommissioning. The Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) is the primary regulatory agency for the remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) that is being performed in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP). Both agencies are expected to provide critical roles in defining the regulatory path to decontamination and decommissioning, site assessment and remediation, and eventual release.

This gamma walkover survey was conducted as an initial phase of the RI/FS at the site and, as such, MDNR provided oversight for this work. MDNR representatives were on-site on a daily basis, attended the daily meetings, and observed work being performed. Typically there was only one representative present at a time and there were times when activities were occurring in more than one location.

The plant is located on approximately 228 acres of property (Property) that is currently owned by Westinghouse. The plant and production related activities are located on approximately 8 acres of the Property.

### **1.1 SURVEY PURPOSE**


Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) performed a Gamma Walkover Survey (GWS) at the Hematite Facility (Figure 1) during the period April 7-24, 2003 in accordance with the *Gamma Survey Plan for the Hematite Site* (Survey Plan (Rev 0)). The purpose of the GWS was to identify the presence of low level gamma radiation that could indicate the presence of uranium including natural uranium, low enriched uranium (LEU), high enriched uranium (HEU), and thorium 232 (Th-232) and progeny in surface soils. For the purposes of this report, surface soils are defined as the thickness of soil that can be measured using direct measurement or scanning techniques (MARSSIM). Typically, this layer is represented as the top 15cm (6 inches) of soil (40 CFR 192). This information will be used to aid in area classification and future characterization planning at the site.

The survey was conducted with the intent of maximizing the use of all data collected in future site evaluations, specifically the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility study (RI/FS). The GWS has been designed to follow the guidance for scoping surveys presented in Section 5.2 of the Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual (MARSSIM). Although this survey was conducted to aid in classification of site areas as impacted or non-impacted, all available data must be evaluated prior to classification of the site. The GWS detection ability is limited to the gamma signature of site specific radionuclides and is typically limited to surface soils.

## **1.2 SURVEY SCOPE**

The GWS data will assist Westinghouse in verifying the conclusions of previous Historical Site Assessments (HSA) and provide input for identifying potential sample locations as part of the Remedial Investigation (RI). Other uses of the survey data include:

1. Determining the magnitude of surface contamination in the soils immediately surrounding the plant area.
2. Determining the lateral extent of surface contamination extending out from the plant.



3. Detecting, as is possible with surface gamma detectors, the presence of any burial pits and other areas of concern known or unknown to exist at this time.
4. Determining the extent, if any, of the spread of surface contamination by the existing natural migration pathways (ditches, streams, low points, surface water flow, etc.).
5. Identifying non-impacted areas that may be appropriate for obtaining reference areas samples to be used to estimate the background soil concentration for contaminants of concern at the site.
6. Providing input to future site evaluations to determine the risk posed by the uranium, and/or thorium contamination by locating areas and media impacted by the spread of contamination and determining the magnitude of the contamination present on the site.

## **2.0 SURVEY DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY**

The survey was designed to cover 100% of the areas directly adjacent to the plant as shown on Figure 2, which includes the areas surrounding the buildings and other obstructions. It was expected that 70% of this area would be surveyed using a multi-pass conveyance and 30% by technician-conveyed global positioning system (GPS)/gamma detector assemblies. The multi-pass conveyance was planned for use over most of the grass-covered areas located outside the fence line. Technician-conveyed detectors were planned to survey the evaporation ponds, ditches, mounds, sedimentation pond, and drainages along or through the rail line, and in the densely wooded areas outside the fence line.

The survey was designed to cover approximately 10% of the remaining areas as shown on Figure 2. The survey was originally designed to be a roughly systematic survey of the remaining areas of the site. In densely wooded areas, the surveys were planned to maximize peak periods of satellite availability. The survey in the 10% coverage areas focused on locations with higher potential for detection of elevated gamma radiation levels, such as in drainage ditches, pond banks, and disturbed areas. It was expected that approximately 30% of these areas would be surveyed using a multi-pass conveyance and 70% by technician-conveyed GPS/gamma detector assemblies. The multi-pass conveyance was planned for use over the open farmland located on the eastern side of the site and the semi-open hilly site terrain located north of State Road P. The technician-conveyed GPS/gamma detector assemblies would be used to survey the remainder of these areas.




Figure 2. Initial Survey Plan

C-01

Uranium and its short-lived daughters (e.g., Th-234, Pa-234m, Th-231), as well as Thorium 232 (Th-232) short-lived daughters (e.g. Ac-228, Th-228) have associated gamma radiation. A sodium iodide scintillation detector (NaI 2"x2") was selected to detect the gamma radiation. The NaI 2"x2" was coupled to a rate meter, which transfers detector count-rate data to a Trimble XRS (or equivalent) global positioning system (GPS) data logger. In addition, the scaler/ratemeter combinations were upgraded with Ludlum's "one-second count microchip" to achieve a gamma count for every one-second GPS position location. The 2"x2" NaI detector was selected over other radiological instrumentation for the following reasons:

- It is a multi-purpose detector capable of low and high energy gamma ray detection with no appreciable loss of low level gamma ray detection ability;
- It is rugged and durable and requires less instrument maintenance or surveyor downtime;
- It is a lighter detector resulting in less surveyor fatigue that would otherwise produce a less efficient survey;
- It can be equipped with Ludlum's "one-second count microchip" to achieve a gamma count for every one-second GPS position location;
- It allows an increased ability to pin point the source of elevated gamma signal;
- It is cost effective, less expensive to procure and maintain.

The 2"x2" NaI detector detects gamma levels from surface sources and indicates the presence of these levels in corresponding "cpm" (counts per minute) readings. The 2"x2" NaI detector has varying sensitivities to different gamma ray energies and does not distinguish the "cpm" readings for the various energies. The inability to discriminate between the different energies does not allow a direct correlation of "cpm" readings to activity in a mixed radionuclide field. Therefore, the results of the GWS are reported in "cpm".

Prior to site mobilization, Westinghouse and SAIC, with MDNR in attendance, conducted a kickoff meeting. The scope of the walkover was slightly modified as a result of the meeting in that the Property would be assessed as seven areas identified by surface water drainage boundaries or other physical features. The original 100% coverage area was slightly increased to provide coverage in areas that may have been affected by past activities at the plant. The 10% systematic coverage of the remaining portion of the Property was modified to allow for more investigational coverage. In those areas where plant related contamination was not expected, the area perimeter, disturbed areas, and any internal drainage ways were surveyed to provide data to verify the assumption that the areas were not impacted. In some areas, closer to the plant site, more systematic coverage was performed to provide data to assess potential impacts.

The modified survey areas are described below and are identified along with approximate property lines on Figure 3.

- Area A – 100% Inside Fence
- Area B – 100% Outside Fence
- Area C – 10% South of Southern Drainage
- Area D – 10% South of Rail
- Area E – 10% South State Road P Between Drainages
- Area F – 10% East Farm Area
- Area G – 10% North of State Road P




Figure 3. Final Survey Plan

During the kickoff meeting a decision was made to implement the survey by concentrating the technician-conveyed GPS/gamma detector assemblies in areas with pending overhead tree canopies. The survey team decided to conduct the technician-conveyed GPS/gamma detector survey in areas C, D, E, and G and, finally, A. This would concentrate most of the survey crew in densely wooded areas before the tree canopy developed. In addition, the survey was modified to concentrate the survey in the areas covered with the densest vegetation during times when the most satellites were available to minimize the need for manual recording of data. The all terrain vehicle- (ATV) mounted GPS/gamma detector assemblies would begin in Area B and the 100% coverage area outside the controlled area fence, followed by Areas F, G, and, finally, A.

The ATV-mounted GPS/gamma walkover was conducted by mounting three individual detectors with independent GPS assemblies to the front of the ATV. The detectors were mounted 10 centimeters (cm) from the surface, and 70 cm apart to allow for sufficient overlap of each detector's viewing window of 82 cm at the top of the detector crystal. The viewing window is defined as the area capable of contributing gamma levels to the detector in a specific geometry within acceptable scan minimum detectable concentrations. The viewing window of the 2"x2" NaI detector was based on a detector height of 10 cm, crystal dimension of 5 cm x 5 cm with the ability to accurately detect gamma radiation at a 70 degree angle to the source. The scan minimum detectable concentrations presented in the Survey Plan (Rev 0) were calculated on a postulated hotspot with a radius of 28 cm or diameter of 56 cm. If the postulated hotspot was located directly between any two detectors, each detector could detect or view the elevated gamma radiation. For the purposes of this report "hot spot" is defined as areas that have significantly different count rates than the surrounding area and require additional investigation. The data collected during this investigation will be reevaluated after a determination of the site specific radionuclide ratios. The collective gamma contributions from the site specific radionuclides will be evaluated, modeled and correlated to a site specific scan minimum detectable concentration (MDC). Once the scan MDC and gamma contributions from site specific radionuclides are known, a qualitative concentration to count rate comparison can be made. The ATV was driven at a speed that would roughly equate to 0.5 meter/second or less. The operator continuously monitored at least one of the instrument readouts with frequent monitoring/comparison of all instrument responses.

The technician-conveyed GPS/gamma walkover was conducted by the technician maintaining the detector approximately 10 cm from the surface progressing at a speed of 0.5 meters/second or less. The technicians moved the detectors in a slightly serpentine pattern, where possible, taking care to maintain the 10 cm distance from surface to detector. Frequently, the technicians substituted a controlled side-to-side pattern so the serpentine motion could maintain correct detector alignment with the survey surface. The technicians continuously monitored the audible instrument response.

Outside the 100% coverage area, the survey team was instructed on the general location of the required survey coverage and areas of interest. Technicians were instructed to use their experience to investigate the areas of highest contamination potential encountered in each area. The team used the Trimble mapping tool to maintain roughly parallel paths in areas requiring systematic coverage. Within the 100% coverage area, the survey team attempted to use the ATV-mounted GPS assemblies wherever possible. When technician-conveyed GPS assemblies

were necessary, the survey team used constant communication and the Trimble mapping tool to ensure adequate survey coverage.

Daily tailgate safety/planning meetings were held. The previous day's events, issues, and progress were discussed, in addition to the areas to be surveyed that day. Each technician was assigned to a specific area with coverage instructions, expected progress, and relative background, with an associated investigation level (a scanning response which is detectable above the background level) depending on the area to be surveyed. Upon completion of the survey, the survey team and Westinghouse conducted a daily debrief meeting. The daily debrief consisted of the survey progress, anomalies, issues, concerns, and a discussion of survey progress and areas to be surveyed the following day.

### **3.0 SURVEY QUALITY CONTROL**

#### **3.1 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES**

**Table 3-1 Data Quality Objectives**

<b>DQOs</b>	<b>DQO Attainment</b>
The initial mean background count-rate for each NaI 2"x 2" will be within 10% of the mean background count-rate (at the same location) for all instruments used for the survey.	All instruments used for the survey were within 10% of the mean background count-rate (at the same location). Instrumentation QA records are included in Attachment 1.
All survey instruments will be calibrated at least annually using calibration sources traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).	All instruments were calibrated at least annually in accordance with ANSI N323A, Radiation Protection Instrumentation Test and Calibration-Portable Survey Instruments (ANSI, 1997). Instrumentation QA records are included in Attachment 1.
All survey instruments will be performance checked at the beginning of each survey day to determine the usability of data collected. The established acceptance criteria for background and source response will be $\pm 20\%$ of the mean value determined during the initial instrument setup procedure.	All radiological field instruments were performance checked at the beginning and end of each day. All acceptance criteria checks for all field instruments were met as required by the plan. Instrumentation QA records are included in Attachment 1.

#### **3.2 INSTRUMENTATION QUALITY ASSURANCE**

Each GPS instrument was paired with a survey meter/detector and assigned a pack number. This was accomplished by giving all the GPS packs a letter from A to G and doing the same for the radiological instruments. The GPS packs were then matched up with the radiological instrument that had the same letter. The exception was GPS Pack A. It was paired with Meter H.

##### **3.2.1 Radiological Instrumentation Quality Assurance/Quality Control**

Gamma walkover survey instrumentation was calibrated annually in accordance with ANSI N323A, *Radiation Protection Instrumentation Test and Calibration – Portable Survey Instruments* (ANSI, 1997) for the spectrum of radiation energies expected at the Hematite facility.

Gamma walkover survey instrumentation was operated by qualified personnel in accordance with SAIC's Health Physics Procedure HP-30, *Radiological Instrumentation*, and Health Physics Instruction HPI-001, *Performance of a GPS Gamma Walkover Survey*.

All instruments were initially processed to determine if the general area gamma radiation levels would interfere with the initial instrument setup and the acceptance criteria determined prior to arrival. All instruments were within tolerance of the acceptance range. All instruments were verified to meet the established site-specific background acceptance criteria with the exception of instrument "A". Instrument "A" exceeded the background and source values on the high end during the initial on-site instrument check in. This instrument was tagged out of service and removed from the site. No data was collected with Instrument "A".

**Table 3-2      Instrument Background Comparison**

Instrument	Mean Background cpm
B	5,493
C	5,135
D	5,418
E	5,315
F	5,263
G	5,322
H	5,405
Site Mean	5,343
10% range	4,809-5,877

Daily performance checks were conducted on each instrument as defined in HP-30 and as summarized in the Survey Plan (Rev 0). Only data obtained using instruments that satisfied these performance requirements were accepted for use in this investigation.

### **3.2.2    Global Positioning System Quality Assurance/Quality Control**

The daily QC check of the GPS units was performed and recorded for use post-survey. The accuracy of the GPS system is dependent on many factors, mainly the number of visible satellites, which will vary throughout the day. The manufacturer's stated accuracy is sub-meter; the actual accuracy or Position Dilution of Precision (PDOP) of the GPS units varies and is dependent on satellite visibility. Each data point collected has a PDOP value attached as a measurement of the coordinate accuracy. PDOP simply provides an indication of the expected accuracy of GPS positions based on the relative positions of the satellites. Lower PDOP values provide more accurate data. The accuracy of single data point can be determined by the PDOP value associated with the point.

The daily positions check on a known or identified location assists the project in determining if data files collected on a given day require post processing. The northern most monitoring well located just west of the Building 231 was used as the known location for this survey.

The data collected from each pack at the beginning and the end of each survey day is collected for use post-survey while the data is evaluated. The relative differences between the

pre- and post-survey check, the drift during check, and the relative differences between GPS units are all evaluated. This evaluation helps to quantify the degree of confidence in the reported coordinates for each data point across the project. In addition, the check pinpoints suspect coordinate data associated with a particular GPS unit, a particular GPS unit on a given survey day, or all GPS units on a given survey day.

If one of the GPS units indicates a significant difference in reported locations when compared to known or other GPS units, all position data collected that day with that unit is suspect and is evaluated. The evaluation will inspect the position of all data points in relation to property boundaries, known areas covered that day, and in relation to data points collected on other packs in the general vicinity. In addition, the corresponding radiological count rate for a reported area will be compared to known or collected radiological count rates from other instruments in the same area. If the above data checks indicate an unreasonable amount of error in the reported coordinate data, the specific data files are post-processed to increase coordinate position accuracy.

### **3.3 SURVEY QUALITY ASSURANCE**

The survey team performed numerous performance, operation, and continuity quality checks during implementation of the survey. Instrument response is continuously checked in the field by referencing adjacent meter responses. The technicians, constantly monitoring the instrument response, periodically verify abnormal (either relatively high or low count rates) by comparing their instrument output with other instruments in the general vicinity. This check occurred frequently as the observed "relative background" count rate decreased as the technicians moved from the plant site toward the Joachim Creek. The technicians also perform an additional instrument response check during data evaluation. Instruments within the same general proximity should have recorded relatively similar count rates. All data were checked for erroneous data patterns that would suggest a faulty instrument response.

Position accuracy is checked upon completion of each day's survey. The collected data is downloaded and the data plotted on the site map. The site map, which was based on a February 2003 aerial flight by Sanborn and included a State plane grid prepared by Metropolitan Engineering, was provided by Westinghouse. Each technician verified that all the data they collected were captured and that the data were in the correct general area. The position is further verified during data evaluation by comparing data collected by adjacent technicians and instruments. This check is easily accomplished for the data collected with the ATV-mounted GPS assemblies. The three data streams are plotted and the plots are evaluated for erroneous or out-of-place data points. This check is more difficult to quantify for the data collected by the technician-conveyed GPS assemblies. The check, in this case, is performed by having the technician who collected the data review a plot of all collected data. Technicians check the location of their plotted data in relation to other data collected at the same time by other technicians. Technicians note the position of their data streams in relation to the adjacent data streams to determine if data is missing or erroneous coordinates have been recorded.

Data accuracy/reproducibility is checked during data evaluation. If relatively low or high-count rates that do not adhere to the surrounding data are identified in the data, additional

investigation is warranted in these areas. These areas are identified during data evaluation and performance of an additional gamma walkover to verify the abnormality is conducted.

Survey accuracy is checked by evaluating the entire data set for gamma radiation trends and patterns. If the patterns or trends do not make sense considering the topography, known operating history, field observations, or experience of the field team, additional investigation is warranted. For instance, high gamma radiation levels adjacent to a drainage ditch should indicate a high potential for elevated gamma radiation levels within the ditch. Conversely, small areas of elevated gamma radiation levels surrounded by large areas of background or near background gamma radiation levels with no obvious transport mechanism are suspect and are further investigated.

### **3.4 DATA MANAGEMENT**

#### *Pre-Survey*

- Prior to the start of the survey, the site was divided into seven survey areas with common geographic features, such as roads, railroads, creeks, and drainages, which provide easily recognized boundaries. The survey areas are described below and are shown on Figure 3.

A-Inside Fence  
B-100% Area Outside Fence  
C-South of Southern Drainage  
D-South of Rail  
E-South State Road P East of Drain  
F-East Farm Area  
G-North of State Road P

- Once the survey areas were designated and the equipment was labeled, the format for the file naming system was established. File names consisted of seven digits that included GPS pack letter (A-G), (W) for Westinghouse, Survey area letter (A-G), Media type letter, example (S) for soil and (G) for gravel, and a three-digit file number, example (001). An example of a filename follows:

#### **BWDS002**

B-GPS Pack 'B'  
W-Westinghouse  
D-Survey Area 'D'  
S-Soil  
002-File number

#### *Post-Survey*

- At the end of each day of field activities, all gamma walkover data was downloaded from the TDC1 data collector flash memory card to a site computer via Pathfinder software.
- The Pathfinder software was also used to export the raw field data into Microsoft Access. Microsoft Access was used to convert the data into a format that can be imported into Arc View Geographic Information System (GIS) software.
- Once formatted, the survey data was placed into an Arc View project file, and a survey map was created to be presented in the daily post-survey briefing.

Following each day of surveying, the GWS survey files were backed up by copying the data to CD-R disks. After each week, the data was copied to SAIC's St. Louis office server.

## **4.0 SURVEY RESULTS**

Due to various backgrounds, as described below, encountered across the site, it is difficult to provide an accurate visual display of all the data on one map. Figure 4 shows the survey coverage of the entire Property with the data color-coded at 2,000 counts per minute (cpm) increments, starting with 10,000 cpm for soil and 5,000 cpm for gravel and asphalt. This map provides the greatest amount of detail for examining trends and general gamma radiation levels across the Property. Figure 5 shows the survey coverage for the entire Property with the data color-coded at 2,000 cpm increments, starting with 11,000 cpm for soil and 6,000 cpm for gravel and asphalt. This figure, when used in conjunction with Figure 4, provides evidence of a gradual decrease in count rate from the railroad south to Joachim Creek. Figure 6 shows the survey coverage for the entire Property with data color-coded at 3,000 cpm increments, starting with 10,000 cpm for soil and 5,000 cpm for gravel and asphalt. The figure proves that the majority of the collected data is between 10,000 – 13,000 cpm. The reference area average was approximately 10,500 cpm. Figure 7 shows the survey coverage of the entire Property in two colors, with the discriminator at 16,000 cpm. This figure is designed to show the areas of the Property that are significantly above background.

### **4.1 BACKGROUND VALUE DETERMINATION**

SAIC used three types of background values: instrument, reference, and relevant, to fulfill the objectives of the survey. SAIC evaluated all the collected data to determine the background value to be applied during data evaluation.

Individual instrument background values were determined to verify that the gamma detectors being used for the survey are responding similarly to low gamma flux levels. All individual instrument mean background values were within 10% of the mean for all detectors, ensuring all data collected could be evaluated and depicted on one color-coded map without data misrepresentations resulting from variations in detector response.

SAIC performed this background check by collecting individual instrument background values prior to mobilization to the site. The average or mean value of all the individual instrument values was calculated, in addition to the 10% range around the mean. All instruments

were checked upon arrival at the site to verify not only that the instruments were still within 10% of each other but also to verify that the "site" instrument background was not significantly different than initial instrument background calculation. The "site" background was not significantly different upon initial check and all instruments were well within the 10% range of the mean, with the exception of Instrument "A", which was removed from service. The individual instrument background values were on average 1.67% of the mean with the largest deviation individual instrument background being 3.89% below the mean. All of the instruments were determined to be responding similarly within tolerance to low level gamma flux radiation, and as such, were acceptable for use on this project.




Figure 4. Westinghouse Gamma Walkover Survey (Soil Background 10001-12000 cpm)




Figure 5. Westinghouse Gamma Walkover Survey (Soil Background 11001-13000 cpm)




Figure 6. Westinghouse Gamma Walkover Survey (Soil Background 10001-13000 cpm)




Figure 7. Westinghouse Gamma Walkover Survey (Two Color Illustration)

Reference background gamma count rates of soil and other media with similar physical and geological characteristics as the soil/material being investigated were collected in non-impacted areas surrounding the site. The reference background count rate was used to determine which areas on the Property had gamma count rates in excess of the local background gamma levels. No reference area measurements were initially going to be obtained unless media or background count rate fluctuations indicated that multiple background populations were present on the Property. We determined on the first day of surveying that reference area measurements would be beneficial and should be collected as time allowed. It was apparent that the background gamma flux radiation levels for the railroad rock, the asphalt, the gravel, the grassy soil, and the soil background in the tree-covered areas were all significantly different. There was an obvious difference in the count rates between the different media types, with gravel/asphalt being on the low end and the railroad rock being on the high end. It was also noted that the general trend of gamma count rates tended to decrease as the survey progressed from the site or railroad, down the hill toward Joachim Creek. There may be different soil background count rates present on the site due to the varying soil types. The gamma count rate was relatively low near the creek in the presence of coarse-grained soils (i.e. sand and gravel); the gamma count rate had a tendency to decrease in direct proportion to the amount of visible sand-like material in the surveyed soil.

After discussion with the project team, it was decided to attempt to locate a suitable reference area south of the site, preferably south of State Road P. The need for quick access and the ability to obtain the numerous media and soil type backgrounds limited the potential sites. A limited amount of effort was expended to locate a suitable reference area that met the initial criteria. No suitable area was identified that met all the criteria. The closest reference area that met most of the criteria was the U.S. National Guard Armory Site, located east and north of the site near the junction of State Road P and State Road A.

Access was requested and granted. SAIC mobilized two individuals to the site for reference area measurements to obtain at least 100 measurements in each media type: asphalt, gravel, soil adjacent to railroad under trees, uncultivated grass covered soil, and rhyolite (non-native rock used to support railroad tracks).

After obtaining these measurements, SAIC also gathered additional measurements adjacent to Joachim Creek at the bridge on State Road A. These measurements were obtained on the east side of the creek, just upstream of the bridge. Table 4-1 summarizes the results of these measurements.

**Table 4-1      Reference Area Measurements**

	Asphalt	Gravel	Grass Soil	Soil Adjacent to Railroad	Rhyolite Railroad Rock	Sandy Soil
# measurements	371	407	171	250	262	352
Mean	4,904	4,360	9,415	10,402	14,867	7,624
Std Dev	391	416	1,012	836	874	1,507

Further evaluation of this reference may be necessary during later site investigations to verify that it is an appropriate reference area based on soil classification of on-site surface soils conducted during the Remedial Investigation. The evaluation of the gamma walkover data was performed primarily using relevant background not reference area background. The use of relevant background allows the evaluator to determine which locations within an area exhibit higher gamma levels when compared to surrounding soils. The reference data collected was only used to establish the baseline count rate expected within a given area.

Report results primarily addressed areas that contain elevated activity significantly above background. Reference area measurements were taken to provide a basis for comparison with gamma survey readings collected on-site.

The basis for acceptance of the area selected for reference area measurements was based on accessibility, like terrain the presence of most of the media encountered on the site (gravel, asphalt, rhyolite, grass covered soil, tree covered soil, and sandy soil) and may require further evaluation based on actual classification of on-site surface soils conducted during the Remedial Investigation.

The reference data collected was only used to establish the base line count rate expected within a given area.

Other locations were investigated for inclusion as potential reference areas within several miles surrounding the site; however, no other area was located that provided all media types, open access or similar terrain as compared to the area selected for reference area gamma measurements.

Survey area relevant background was used for evaluation of specific areas within the site. Relevant background is the comparison of count rates to count rates in the surrounding immediate area of impacted locations on site. Relevant background is used during performance of the gamma survey to alert the technician to isolated "hot spot" areas that are different from the surrounding area and require additional investigation.

Relevant background was used during this survey, primarily in the 100% covered area and to a limited extent on the remainder of the Property. All the surveyors consistently monitored their instrument's audible and visible response. The technician noted any appreciable increase or decrease in count rate and additional data were collected in the area of concern to investigate the abnormality.

Not all instruments were continuously monitored during the use of the ATV; however, the operator did monitor at least one instrument and periodically compare the readings of the instrument to adjacent meters. The data collected by the ATV-mounted instruments were evaluated in comparison to data collected by the adjacent instruments to detect deviation in relevant background.

The technicians performing the survey in the heavily vegetated areas monitored the audible response of their instruments as conditions allowed. There were times that the thorny vegetation, briars, tree limbs, or other obstructions removed the headphones from the technicians' range of hearing and some portion of the survey continued until it was possible to replace the headphones to within hearing range.

Relevant background was used during the evaluation of the collected data. Data points that were either relatively high or low were scrutinized to determine the deviation in background. If evidence could not be produced to explain the deviation, a survey team was mobilized back to the location to investigate the deviation in relevant background.

For the purposes of data evaluation, SAIC assigned the following background values for the various media types:

Gravel	5,000 cpm
Asphalt	5,000 cpm
Soil	10,000 cpm
Rhyolite	15,000 cpm

It should be noted that applying a single soil background count rate could potentially lead to misrepresentation of the soil data due to the various apparent fluctuations in the soil media background count rate.

#### 4.2 AREA A

The gamma walkover survey in this area was designed to provided 100% coverage of all accessible areas that were not beneath buildings or covered with asphalt or concrete. Other ground covering includes gravel, soil, and water. This area consisted of all accessible areas within the outer boundary fence or controlled area. There were numerous areas of concern within Area A, including the evaporation ponds, Deul's Mountain, the fenced-off restricted area, the area surrounding the spent limestone piles, and drainage ditches.

Approximately 50% of the surveying was performed by the use of an ATV with three front-mounted GPS/gamma detector assemblies. The remaining 50% of the area was performed by technician-conveyed GPS/gamma detector assemblies.

The spent limestone piles were not surveyed as part of this walkover effort, although no significant readings were found in the vicinity of these features. Small drainages in this area that led under the fence were noted and surveyed as well, with no significant readings observed at the surface. Also, no elevated readings were observed in the northeast corner of Area A.

As expected, there were many areas of elevated gamma radiation identified within Area A. Areas with elevated gamma readings were centered within the restricted areas, around the evaporation ponds, and near the foot of Deul's Mountain. Although, the portion of Deul's Mountain that was covered with plastic was excluded from the effort, the highest reading obtained within Area A was collected at the foot of Deul's Mountain where a piece of sheet plastic was found protruding from the soil. Readings at this location were as high as 874,000 cpm.

Figure 8 depicts the survey coverage of Area A with the standard 2,000 cpm increment. Figure 9 depicts the survey coverage of Area A with a two-color coding, separated at 18,000 cpm. The purpose of Figure 9 is to show the areas that clearly have elevated gamma levels above background, additional investigation will be required in the other areas to determine if radiological contamination above background is present. Due to the relatively high levels of gamma radiation detected within this area, Figure 10 depicts Area A survey data with 20,000 cpm increments.

#### 4.3 AREA B

This area is bounded to the north and west by Highway P, to the south by the railroad, and to the east by a tributary that separates it from Area E. Area B does not include anything located within the facility security fence, as this is designated as Area A. The terrain of Area B is relatively level and covered primarily by grass. Other surface features include trees, brush, buildings, trailers, an asphalt parking area, gravel roads, a small pond, ditches, monitoring wells, and air sampling stations.

Complete coverage was provided in Area B. Most of the surveying was performed by the use of an ATV with three front-mounted GPS/gamma detector assemblies. In areas where the use of the ATV was not practical, manual surveying was performed by technicians.

No significant areas of elevated gamma radiation were identified in the large grassy area to the west of the pond and east of Highway P. In fact, only one small area of elevated readings west of the pond was identified toward the northern end of the area. This location was less than  $0.5 \text{ m}^2$  in size and was found to be approximately 24,000 cpm above local background.

The area just north of the pond and west of the Tile Barn had several areas of elevated readings. This was especially true around the cistern burn pit area. Areas of elevated readings ranged in size from less than  $0.5 \text{ m}^2$  to several square meters. Two very small areas north of the Tile Barn and west of the silo were also found to show elevated readings. Although the glazing material used on the Tile Barn bricks is suspected to contain uranium, this did not appear to




Figure 8. Area 'A' Gamma Walkover Survey (Soil Background 10001-12000 cpm)





Figure 9. Area 'A' Gamma Walkover Survey (Two Color Illustration)



**Legend:**

Soil CPM

- 0 - 20000
- 20001 - 40000
- 40001 - 60000
- 60001 - 80000
- 80001 - 1000000

Gravel CPM


- 0 - 20000
- 20001 - 40000
- 40001 - 60000
- 60001 - 80000
- 80001 - 1000000

Asphalt CPM

- 0 - 20000
- 20001 - 40000
- 40001 - 60000
- 60001 - 80000
- 80001 - 1000000

■ Area A Boundary

MO-East State Plane  
(NAD 83, Feet)



60 0 60 Feet

Westinghouse Electric Company  
Gamma Walkover Survey  
Hematite, Missouri

**SAIC** Science Applications  
International Corporation  
An Employee-Owned Company

DRAWN BY: REV: DATE:  
David Lawson 0 04/22/03

C-08

Figure 10. Area 'A' Gamma Walkover Survey (Modified Ranges)

increase background gamma radiation levels in the area immediately surrounding the barn at the plane where the gamma survey was conducted (10 cm above ground surface). A contact reading of the bricks was taken for informational purposes and only a slight increase of approximately 1,000 cpm was detected. Just a few inches away from the bricks the instrument readings returned to ambient levels of gamma radiation.

A grassy area between the barns was surveyed and found to contain several areas of elevated readings. This grassy area is surrounded to the east, south, and west by an asphalt walkway and to the north by a wooden fence. One small area less than 0.5 m<sup>2</sup> was identified just off the northeast corner of the east barn.

A ditch southwest of Building 231 and north of the rail line showed an increase in count rate at the bottom of the ditch. This ditch was surrounded in dense vegetation and the actual bottom was physically quite shallow; therefore, the increase in count rate is not expected to be caused by geometry issues between the detector and the soil surface. A section of old fencing ran along a portion of the north slope of this ditch. A small area less than 0.5 m<sup>2</sup> north of the fence was also identified.

An asphalt drive to the west of Building 230 was initially thought to have significantly elevated gamma radiation levels. Upon further investigation it was discovered that containers of radioactive material had been stored inside Building 230 in close proximity to the western wall. It cannot be determined, however, that these containers are the sole contributor to the increased gamma radiation levels outside of Building 230. Upon removal of the containers, additional investigation in this area is warranted to verify the actual gamma levels present.

A survey along the southern fence line of the facility revealed a narrow strip of elevated readings just east of the two evaporation ponds. A count rate of almost 200,000 cpm was noted in this area. Drainages originating from within the fenced area were noted along the southern fence line and also surveyed. No significant increase in gamma levels was noted in these drainages outside the fence.

In the area of the burial pits, east of the facility, several limited areas of elevated readings were identified as expected. Also, the wooded areas in the western portion of Area B contained several piles of debris such as concrete, wood, asphalt, and sheet plastic. A piece of metal protruding from the ground was found in this wooded area. The metal showed gamma radiation levels of approximately 24,000 cpm. This location was flagged for future reference and later Westinghouse employees retrieved this metal, which turned out to be what was left of a severely rusted metal bucket with soil residue. The bucket appeared to be painted white and showed no identifiable markings. Westinghouse performed a contamination survey of the bucket, which is included as Attachment 2. The area where the bucket was removed was resurveyed and found to contain residual gamma radiation levels of approximately 16,000 cpm.

Figure 11 depicts the survey data with the standard 2,000 cpm increment starting at 10,000 cpm for soil. Figure 12 depicts the survey data on a two-color map with the discriminator at 18,000 cpm. The purpose of this map is to show the areas that clearly have elevated gamma levels above background, additional investigation will be required in the other areas to determine if radiological contamination above background is present.




Figure 11. Area 'B' Gamma Walkover Survey (Soil Background 10001-12000 cpm)




Figure 12. Area 'B' Gamma Walkover Survey (Two Color Illustration)

C-10

#### 4.4 AREA C

This area is bounded to the north by a drainage ditch and to the east by Joachim Creek; the area extends south slightly past the bridge, then the Property boundary roughly follows a fence back north until it runs into a small drainage. The area boundary then turns at a right angle and heads due west to the railroad. The extreme western boundary of this area is the railroad. The terrain of Area C is generally wooded with limited underbrush; the brush, briars, and thickets tend to increase near the boundary in cleared areas, with some open areas located in the extreme southern part of Area C.

The gamma walkover of this area was designed to provide limited coverage in the areas of higher probability of contamination deposition. The survey was to provide coverage adjacent to all boundaries of the area with more concentrated coverage in the area near the bridge. Local citizens had reported that material might have been buried on or near the southwest portion of the property, in the vicinity of Joachim Creek bridge.

The survey was conducted initially by concentrating on the northern drainage. The team concentrated on benches, low areas of sedimentation deposits, erosion ditches, and potential areas for silt deposition during flooding. All areas of high probability were adequately covered in addition to varying distances (10-30 feet [ft]) from the drainage. An area surrounded by drainages and Joachim Creek was identified at the discharge of the northern drainage into Joachim Creek. This area was thought to have a high probability of contamination and was given thorough systematic coverage. The bank of Joachim Creek along the eastern boundary of this area is vertical in most places with a deep drop to the creek bottom. Limited coverage was used in this area, concentrating on the upper creek bank edge, drainage or erosion ditches going to Joachim Creek, and any areas of obvious flood deposition.

The exact site boundaries were not known to the survey team at the time of the survey, so a limited amount of coverage was conducted south of the bridge in potential dumping areas that are actually outside the Westinghouse property boundary. Concentrated systematic coverage was performed in the area just north of bridge in areas of obvious dumping. The concentrated coverage continued to the north until there was no further evidence of dumping that is, trash was not present. The survey continued along the perimeter fence, focusing the effort around a small drainage, once again concentrating on potential areas of contamination deposition as mentioned above. The survey team performed limited coverage adjacent to the railroad. This area is sparsely populated with mature trees and many briars, and follows the power lines. The extreme northern portion of Area C consists of a small triangle of land bounded on one side by the railroad and drainages on the other two sides. Gamma walkover coverage was concentrated in this area due to close proximity to the plant and relatively higher probability of contamination from flood deposition.

No significant areas of elevated gamma radiation were identified in Area C. The relative count rates did tend to decrease as the survey progressed east or downhill from the railroad to the creek. The decrease in count rate was noticeable but not significant during the transition. However, the count rate decrease was significant when comparing the relative count rates adjacent to the creek to the count rates adjacent to the railroad. The survey team verified the

count rate decrease across the three meters and commented on the obvious decrease. The gradual decrease could be due to a number of various reasons, but is most likely due to a change in soil type. Figure 13 depicts the survey data with the standard 2,000 cpm increments starting at 10,000 cpm for soil.

#### 4.5 AREA D

Area D is located directly south and east of the main site facility. This area is bounded by the railroad to the north, Joachim Creek to the south, and on the east and west by drainages. The farm is located east of this area and Area C is located to the west. The terrain in this area was very similar to Area C. The northern portion of Area D is a gradual hill that flattens nearing Joachim Creek. The area adjacent to the railroad was heavily covered with briars and underbrush. The underbrush gradually decreased as the survey moved south toward Joachim Creek, giving way to mature trees with limited underbrush.

The gamma walkover of this area was designed to provide roughly systematic coverage of the entire area. The survey initially concentrated on the boundaries, with an emphasis on areas that were more likely to be contaminated. After the boundaries were established, the rest of Area D was adequately covered by surveying with varying distances (10-30 ft) between surveyors.

In general, the count rates observed in Area D ranged from 6,000 cpm to 14,000 cpm. The highest count rates were observed in the northern portion adjacent to the railroad and decreased in a roughly uniform pattern as the survey progressed south and east toward Joachim Creek. The most abrupt or noticeable count rate deviation was observed at the foot of the small hill that lies adjacent to the railroad in the north portion of Area D. The roughly uniform deviation in count rates tends to indicate a gradually changing background or potentially systematic windblown contamination. However, if the area had been contaminated by windblown contamination, localized elevated readings in the low areas where water tends to collect and evaporate would be expected. We identified many low areas and identified no localized elevated count rates.

There were two isolated areas within Area D that require additional investigation. The first area is located in the northwest corner of Area D at the culvert outlet from the site pond. The culvert allows for flow of water from the site pond under the railroad. The increase in gamma activity in this area could be due to the presence of Rhyolite rock that had fallen from the railroad roadbed. Rhyolite was observed and an increase in count rate was detected in the presence of this material; however, additional increases were noted without visible Rhyolite. It is possible that the increases were due to the presence of Rhyolite slightly beneath the surface, but this could not be confirmed in all cases. The second location requiring additional investigation is slightly southwest of the first location near the convergence of the two drainages. The spot is roughly in the middle of converging ATV paths prior the stream crossing. A sustained count rate of 14,000-15,000 cpm was identified in this area. The relative background in this area ranged from 11,000-13,000 cpm. This area with elevated gamma radiation readings was fairly small: 1-2 square meters, and is only slightly elevated above relative background. Figure 14 depicts the entire Area D with the standard 2,000 cpm increment starting at 10,000 cpm. Figure 15 depicts an enlarged view of the two local isolated elevated readings.




Figure 13. Area 'C' Gamma Walkover Survey




Figure 14. Area 'D' Gamma Walkover Survey



Figure 15. Area 'D' Isolated Elevated Areas

#### 4.6 AREA E

Area E is the area located just east of the facility. Area E is bordered on the west and east by drainages, to the south by the railroad, and to the north by Hwy P. Area E was densely covered with trees, briars, and brush. This area was generally level, with intermittent ditches and drainages. The area did not appear to drain well, as evidenced by the presence of standing water in numerous locations and generally muddy terrain.

The gamma walkover of this area was designed to provide roughly systematic coverage of the entire area. The survey was conducted by initially concentrating on the boundaries, with an emphasis on areas that were more likely to be contaminated. After the boundaries were established, we attempted the survey of the remaining portion of Area E by surveying with varying distances (10-30 ft) between surveyors. Due to the very thick underbrush and briars, systematic coverage was difficult to obtain. The initial attempt failed to provide adequate coverage, the second attempt resulted in GPS cord damage, and the majority of the data from the walkover was not collected. If additional walkovers are to be conducted in this area, some limited amount of clearing and grubbing will be required.

In general, the gamma radiation levels in this area ranged from 8,000-14,000 cpm. The count rate was relatively lower adjacent to and around the western drainage. This was the area expected to have the highest probability of contamination due to its proximity to the site. Additional walkovers were performed adjacent to the drainage that verified the decreased count rate. One area, slightly south of the gravel-parking pad in the northeast portion of the area, indicated a single elevated data point. The count rate associated with this data point was not verified by the surrounding count rates of the surrounding data points. An additional gamma walkover was conducted in the vicinity of the original data point to verify the existence of elevated gamma radiation. No elevated gamma radiation was detected at the point or the area surrounding the original data point.

The map of this area indicates one isolated elevated reading adjacent to Area B along the bank of the west drainage of Area E. This location has been included in the Area B evaluation. It consists of the metal debris that was removed by Westinghouse.

Figure 16 depicts the data collected for Area E with the standard 2,000 cpm increment starting at 10,000 cpm.




Figure 16. Area 'E' Gamma Walkover Survey

#### **4.7 AREA F**

Area F is comprised primarily of the farm that is to the east of Area E and the facility. Most of Area F consists of grassy grazing areas, a pond, and a small wooded area. The railroad splits this area in two. The area is bordered in the south by Joachim Creek. Hwy P is the boundary to the north. Area E and Area D make up the boundary to the west. Additional farmland, not part of the Property, is located to the east.

As in Area C and D, relative elevated count rates are identified just south of the railroad in areas covered with mature timber. A drainage ditch was identified during the survey on the far southeast portion of Area F. The survey of this ditch resulted in two areas of interest. The first area was further investigated and the increase in count rate was proved to be due to a change in the geometry of the survey. As the ditch deepened and sidewalls became closer to the detector, an increased count rate was observed. The survey identified elevated gamma radiation levels at the extreme northern portion of the same drainage. This apparent increase was investigated and determined to be due to the presence of Rhyolite.

Figure 17 depicts the data collected for Area F with the standard 2,000 cpm increment starting at 10,000 cpm.

#### **4.8 AREA G**

Area G is divided into two sections that are north of the facility. Both sections are mostly tree covered and are more elevated in topography than the rest of the areas.

The gamma walkover of this area was designed to provide limited coverage. The survey was to provide coverage adjacent to all boundaries, roads, and drainages within the area. Additional coverage was requested in the flat areas adjacent to the drainages in the western parcel.

In general, the survey of this area showed only a slight deviation in count rates. The largest deviation occurred due to changing media, switching between gravel, gravel/soil mixture, and soil. One area of interest was discovered on the power line road in the western parcel. This area exhibited count rates around 8,000 cpm with the relative background in this area ranging from 4,000-6,000 cpm. There was no logical explanation or recognized transport mechanism for contamination to have reached this location. The area was resurveyed and the original count rates were verified as accurate.

Figure 18 depicts the data collected for Area G with the standard 2,000 cpm increment starting at 10,000 cpm.



Figure 17. Area 'F' Gamma Walkover Survey



Legend:

Area G Gravel CPM

- 0 - 5000
- 5001 - 7000
- 7001 - 9000
- 9001 - 11000
- 11001 - 1000000

Area G Soil CPM

- 0 - 10000
- 10001 - 12000
- 12001 - 14000
- 14001 - 16000
- 16001 - 1000000

■ Area G Boundary

MO-East State Plane  
(NAD 83, Feet)



290 0 290 Feet

Westinghouse Electric Company  
Gamma Walkover Survey  
Hematite, Missouri

**SAIC** Science Applications  
International Corporation  
An Employee-Owned Company

DRAWN BY: David Lawson	REV.: 1	DATE: 06/09/03
---------------------------	------------	-------------------

C-16

Figure 18. Area 'G' Gamma Walkover Survey

## **5.0 CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS**

The survey progressed as planned with limited unexpected discoveries. The elevated gamma radiation identified within the 100% coverage area was not significantly greater in magnitude or extent than what was anticipated. Areas of interest or concern did in fact prove to have elevated levels of gamma radiation. The evaluation of the collected data did not reveal a significant amount of elevated gamma radiation beyond the anticipated area.

Areas of concern for the survey were identified in the plan and during the kickoff meeting. The areas of concern that were investigated follow:

### ***Burial Pits***

The burial pits were actively used from the late 1950s to 1970, and they are reportedly located to the east of the plant. Individual elevated count rates were identified and confirmed in the general vicinity of the area where the burial pits are expected to be located. Based on the equipment utilized for this survey, the burial pits cannot be confirmed to be present, but the locations of the elevated gamma radiation on the east side of the plant in Area B are potential starting points for the burial pits investigations.

### ***Limestone Storage and Limestone Fill Areas***

Limestone (calcium carbonate) was used to capture hydrogen fluoride gas (HF) from the uranium hexafluoride ( $\text{UF}_6$ ) conversion facility. Spent limestone was generated from 1968 to 1998. Currently the spent limestone is stored in one pile within the fenced area of the plant. At least two other areas, one near the site spring and the other in the northeast section of the burial pits, may have been filled with the limestone. No indication of elevated gamma radiation was identified due to the existence of the spent limestone pile within the fenced area or in either location outside the fence. A gamma walkover survey was not specifically performed on the spent limestone pile within the fence, but only along the edges of the pile.

### ***Outdoor and Shallow Surface Areas***

Several areas around the site (soils within the fence line and soil adjacent to the barns) are known to have surface uranium contamination. Adjacent to the Tile Barn is an area that was used to store excess contaminated equipment. Several isolated areas of elevated gamma radiation were detected around the Tile Barn, adjacent to the fence line, and in drainage ditches located within Area B. The presence of these elevated gamma radiation measurements confirms the presence of gamma emitting radionuclides in excess of background values at these locations.

### ***Railroad***

The railroad easement that cuts through the site is not considered a potential AOC; however, a portion of the ballast used to construct the railroad is Rhyolite. The Rhyolite is known to have naturally occurring radioactivity. Increased gamma radiation levels were confirmed to be associated with the Rhyolite. The railroad easement that cuts through the site exhibited elevated count rates in the range of 14,000-16,000 cpm. In addition, the railroad adjacent to the United States National Guard Armory exhibited similar count rates. The

measurements from the Rhyolite in the vicinity of the site are consistent with those from the railroad adjacent to the armory.

#### ***Red Room Roof Burial Area/Cistern Burn Pit Area***

The roof of the Red Room (Building 240) was reportedly buried in an area south of the Tile Barn. Elevated gamma radiation readings were identified south-southwest of the Tile Barn; however, we could not conclude that this was due to the presence of the Red Room roof burial. Additional investigation to determine the cause of the elevated gamma radiation is required to confirm or negate the presence of this burial. The elevated gamma radiation readings may be due to Cistern Burn Pit Area.

#### ***Deul's Mountain***

During the construction of the Building 256 warehouse, a large area of potentially contaminated soil was removed and stored along the southeast corner of the fence line. No elevated gamma radiation levels were obtained during the walkover of part of Deul's Mountain; however, an area with elevated gamma radiation readings was identified at the foot of the mountain.

#### ***Joachim Creek Bridge***

Citizens have reported that material may have been buried on or near the southwest portion of the property, in the vicinity of the Joachim Creek bridge. No abnormal or elevated gamma radiation levels were obtained during the investigation of this area.

Potential additional investigations that may be beneficial based on the results of this survey outside the 100% coverage area are to:

- Investigate the obvious difference in general gamma radiation levels south of the railroad tracks decreasing toward Joachim Creek. Although the "reference area" walkover did confirm a decrease in count rates with proximity to Joachim Creek, a few soil samples analyzed for gamma emitters would verify the actual gamma emitters present adjacent to the railroad. The analysis of these samples could confirm the gamma emitters as naturally occurring, or link them to potential contamination from site activities.
- Investigate the isolated spot identified in Area G on the power line road. This area should be sampled for gamma emitters. It may be prudent to obtain a soil sample in adjacent, lower reading areas to verify which gamma emitters are contributing to the difference between the two areas.
- Investigate and discover the cause of the elevated readings in the two isolated areas within Area D.
- Verify the area at the outlet of the culvert by physically removing all Rhyolite and performing an additional gamma survey, or obtaining a soil sample free of Rhyolite residue.

- Sample the area near the convergence of the drainages. The depth of the elevated gamma readings should be verified during the sampling to obviate subsequent field mobilizations.

Potential additional investigations that may be beneficial based on the results of this survey within the 100% coverage area are:

- Investigate a specific number of identified “hotspots” to determine magnitude and depth of contamination.
- Obtain soil samples at various “hotspot” locations with varying count rates. This sampling effort would attempt to quantify the range of contamination across the site, the deviation of the radionuclide ratio, and the resultant count rates associated with the various radionuclide ratios.
- Obtain samples at specific “hotspots” based on process knowledge to attempt to establish if the radionuclide ratios are significantly different between locations based on site historical uses.
- Use the information obtained from this survey with historical information to guide future subsurface investigation activities.
- Confirm that elevated gamma radiation readings adjacent to Building 230 are due to waste stored within the building. This could be accomplished by performing a gamma walkover survey upon removal of the waste.

In addition:

- A limited number of investigational samples may need to be obtained from the banks of the site evaporation ponds. All the soils surrounding the ponds tend to indicate slightly higher gamma radiation levels. This could be due to a number of reasons, but could be answered by obtaining soil samples in this area.

In general, contamination appears to be limited to the area in the vicinity of the plant site, which was investigated within the 100% coverage area. Contamination does not appear to have significantly migrated from the site by any of the normal transport modes (i.e. airborne release or migration with surface water). There is no general observable pattern of a decrease of gamma readings with distance from the plant. Joachim Creek does not indicate the presence of elevated gamma levels. With the exception of a few anomalies described herein, the survey data indicate that there is no obvious surficial contamination north of Hwy P, south of the railroad, in the farm area, or east of the first drainage.

## **6.0 REFERENCES**

Westinghouse, 2003. *Gamma Survey Plan for the Hematite Site Rev 0.* St. Louis, MO, April 2003.

SAIC, 2002. Radiological Instrumentation HP-30 Rev 1. St. Louis, MO, December 2002.

**ATTACHMENT 1**  
**SURVEY INSTRUMENT QA RECORDS**

**INSTRUMENT #    AVERAGE BKG    AVERAGE SOURCE**

A	86306	5391	145052
B	117336	5493	146025
C	154232	5135	145862
D	117652	5418	135118
E	117634	5315	140510
F	105934	5263	143012
G	127217	5322	146113
H	154196	5405	129385

<b>MEAN</b>	5343	141385
<b>10% RANGE</b>	4809 - 5877	127246 - 155523

All readings in cpm.

Performed by: J. M. Massey Date: 4/5/03

Reviewed by: P. J. Glew Date: 4/5/03



Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
12809 Arroyo de Vista, NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111  
(505) 288-4224

## EQUIPMENT PACKING SLIP

<i>Company Name:</i>	SAIC	<i>Order Number:</i>	167
<i>Contact Name:</i>	Bob French	<i>P.O. or Reference Number:</i>	4500666160
<i>Contact Telephone</i>	314-486-6908		
<i>Date Ordered:</i>	4/1/2003	<i>Shipping Method:</i>	Fedex Standard Overnight
<i>Date Shipped:</i>	4/1/2003	<i>Shipping Number:</i>	2458-3753-4
<i>Date of Delivery:</i>	4/2/2003		
<i>Ship To Information:</i>		<i>Billing Address:</i>	
Bob French 9921 St. Charles Rock Rd. St. Ann, MO 63074 314-486-6908		SAIC Central Accounts Payable 10260 Campus Point Dr., MS E-2 San Diego, CA 92121	

### Equipment Enclosed:

<i>Instrument</i>	<i>Serial Number</i>
Ludlum 2221r	86306
Ludlum 2221r	117336
Ludlum 2221r	117652
Ludlum 2221r	117634
Ludlum 2221r	105934
Ludlum 44-10	PR122628
Ludlum 44-10	PR118986
Ludlum 44-10	PR122613
Ludlum 44-10	PR154615
Ludlum 44-10	PR122612
Ludlum 44-10	PR150642
Ludlum 44-10	PR112840
Ludlum 44-10	PR150786
Eberline Cs-137	4054-02

### Special Instructions:

*Order continued on next page*

# Certificate of Calibration

## Ratemeter / Scaler Certificate of Calibration

**ERG**

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
12809 Arroyo De Vaca NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111  
(505) 298-4224

Manufacturer: Ludlum Model: 2221 Serial No.: 86306

All Ranges Calibrated Electronically; Ludlum Pulser Generator S.N. 97743

Temp.: 70 °F Rel. Humidity 18 % Bar. Pressure 30.1 in. of Hg

Reset  Audio  Mechanical  Battery  Window Operation

High Voltage 500v  1000v  1500v

Instrument found within tolerance (+/- 10%) Yes  No

Reference Setting	Ratemeter	Instrument "As found reading"
400 Kcpm	<u>400 KCPM</u>	<u>+/- 10%</u>
100 Kcpm	<u>100 KCPM</u>	<u>(</u>
40 Kcpm	<u>40 KCPM</u>	<u>)</u>
10 Kcpm	<u>10 KCPM</u>	<u>(</u>
4 Kcpm	<u>4 KCPM</u>	<u>)</u>
1 Kcpm	<u>1 KCPM</u>	<u>(</u>
400 cpm	<u>400 CPM</u>	<u>)</u>
100 cpm	<u>100 CPM</u>	<u>(</u>

Reference Setting	Integrated Counts (1-minute count)	Log Scale Count Rate	Instrument "As found reading"
400 Kcpm	<u>400357</u>	<u>400 KCPM</u>	<u>+/- 10%</u>
40 Kcpm	<u>40004</u>	<u>40 KCPM</u>	<u>(</u>
4 Kcpm	<u>4001</u>	<u>4 KCPM</u>	<u>)</u>
400 cpm	<u>400</u>	<u>400 CPM</u>	<u>(</u>

Calibrated By: Charles P. Furr Calibration Date: 4/1/03

Calibration Due: 4/1/04

Reviewed By: Kenneth R. Bahn Date: 4/1/03

# Certificate of Calibration

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
 12809 Arroyo De Vista  
 Albuquerque, NM 87111  
 (505) - 298 - 4224

Manufacturer: LUDLUM Model: 2221 Serial No.: 117336  
 All Ranges Calibrated Electronically: Ludlum Pulser Generator S.N. 927743  
 Temp.: 70 F Rel. Humidity 18 % Bar. Pressure 30.1 in. of Hg

FUNCTION CHECKS:

Reset  Audio  Window Operation  Mechanical  Battery   
 High Voltage 500v  1000v  1500v

Instrument found within tolerance (+/- 10%) YES  NO

COMMENTS:

Reference Setting	Ratemeter	Instrument "As found reading"
<u>400 Kcpm</u>	<u>400 Kcpm</u>	<u>+/- 10%</u>
<u>100 Kcpm</u>	<u>100 Kcpm</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>40 Kcpm</u>	<u>40 Kcpm</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>10 Kcpm</u>	<u>10 Kcpm</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>4 Kcpm</u>	<u>4 Kcpm</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>1 Kcpm</u>	<u>1 Kcpm</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>400 cpm</u>	<u>400 cpm</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>100 cpm</u>	<u>100 cpm</u>	<u>/</u>
Reference Setting	Digital Readout	Log Scale
<u>400 Kcpm</u>	<u>400.790</u>	<u>400 Kcpm</u>
<u>40 Kcpm</u>	<u>400.57</u>	<u>40 Kcpm</u>
<u>4 Kcpm</u>	<u>400.2</u>	<u>4 Kcpm</u>
<u>400 cpm</u>	<u>400</u>	<u>400 cpm</u>
Calibrated By: <u>Charles P. Farn</u>	Calibration Date: <u>4/1/03</u>	
	Calibration Due: <u>4/1/04</u>	
Reviewed By: <u>Kenneth C. Baker</u>	Date: <u>4/1/03</u>	

## Certificate of Calibration

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
 12809 Arroyo De Vista  
 Albuquerque, NM 87111  
 (505) - 298 - 4224

Manufacturer: LUDLUM Model: 2221 Serial No.: 117652  
 All Ranges Calibrated Electronically; Ludlum Pulser Generator S.N. 93743  
 Temp: 70 F Rel. Humidity 18 % Bar. Pressure 30.1 in. of Hg

### FUNCTION CHECKS:

Reset  Audio  Window Operation  Mechanical  Battery   
 High Voltage 500v  1000v  1500v

Instrument found within tolerance (+/- 10%) YES ✓ NO

### COMMENTS:

AUDIO NOT VERY LOUD

Reference Setting	Ratemeter	Instrument "As found reading"
<u>400 Kcpm</u>	<u>400 KCPM</u>	<u>+/- 10 %</u>
<u>100 Kcpm</u>	<u>100 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>40 Kcpm</u>	<u>40 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>10 Kcpm</u>	<u>10 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>4 Kcpm</u>	<u>4 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>1 Kcpm</u>	<u>1 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>400 cpm</u>	<u>400 CPM</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>100 cpm</u>	<u>100 CPM</u>	<u>/</u>

Reference Setting	Digital Readout	Log Scale	Instrument Received
<u>400 Kcpm</u>	<u>399362</u>	<u>400 KCPM</u>	<u>+/- 10 %</u>
<u>40 Kcpm</u>	<u>39908</u>	<u>40 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>4 Kcpm</u>	<u>3990</u>	<u>4 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
<u>400 cpm</u>	<u>399</u>	<u>400 CPM</u>	<u>/</u>

Calibrated By: <u>Charles P. Fox</u>	Calibration Date: <u>4/1/03</u>
	Calibration Due: <u>4/1/04</u>
Reviewed By: <u>Kenneth Robison</u>	Date: <u>4/1/07</u>

## Certificate of Calibration

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
 12809 Arroyo De Vista  
 Albuquerque, NM 87111  
 (505) - 298 - 4224

Manufacturer: LUDLUM Model: 2221 Serial No.: 117634  
 All Ranges Calibrated Electronically; Ludlum Pulser Generator S.N. 97743  
 Temp: 70 F Rel. Humidity 18 % Bar. Pressure 30.1 in. of Hg

### FUNCTION CHECKS:

Reset  Audio  Window Operation  Mechanical  Battery  
 High Voltage 500v  1000v  1500v

Instrument found within tolerance (+/- 10%) YES  NO

### COMMENTS:

Reference Setting	Ratemeter	Instrument "As found reading"
400 Kcpm	<u>400 KCPM</u>	<u>+/- 10 %</u>
100 Kcpm	<u>100 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
40 Kcpm	<u>40 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
10 Kcpm	<u>10 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
4 Kcpm	<u>4 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
1 Kcpm	<u>1 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
400 cpm	<u>400 CPM</u>	<u>/</u>
100 cpm	<u>100 CPM</u>	<u>/</u>

Reference Setting	Digital Readout	Log Scale	Instrument Received
400 Kcpm	<u>400.743</u>	<u>400 KCPM</u>	<u>+/- 10 %</u>
40 Kcpm	<u>39.75</u>	<u>40 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
4 Kcpm	<u>3.998</u>	<u>4 KCPM</u>	<u>/</u>
400 cpm	<u>400</u>	<u>400 CPM</u>	<u>/</u>

Calibrated By: Charles P. Fair Calibration Date: 4/1/03

Calibration Due: 4/1/04

Reviewed By: Kenneth A. Rohr Date: 4/1/03

## Certificate of Calibration

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
 12809 Arroyo De Vista  
 Albuquerque, NM 87111  
 (505) - 298 - 4224

Manufacturer: LUDLUM Model: 2221 Serial No.: 105934  
 All Ranges Calibrated Electronically; Ludlum Pulser Generator S.N. 87743  
 Temp.: 70 F Rel. Humidity 18 % Bar. Pressure 30.1 in. of Hg

### FUNCTION CHECKS:

Reset  Audio  Window Operation  Mechanical  Battery   
 High Voltage 500v  1000v  1500v

Instrument found within tolerance (+/- 10%) YES  NO

### COMMENTS:

Reference Setting	Ratemeter	Instrument "As found reading"
400 Kcpm	<u>400 KCPM</u>	<u>+/- 10 %</u>
100 Kcpm	<u>100 KCPM</u>	<u>(</u>
40 Kcpm	<u>40 KCPM</u>	<u>)</u>
10 Kcpm	<u>10 KCPM</u>	<u>(</u>
4 Kcpm	<u>4 KCPM</u>	<u>)</u>
1 Kcpm	<u>1 KCPM</u>	<u>(</u>
400 cpm	<u>400 cpm</u>	<u>)</u>
100 cpm	<u>100 cpm</u>	<u>(</u>

Reference Setting	Digital Readout	Log Scale	Instrument Received
400 Kcpm	<u>3993.20</u>	<u>400 KCPM</u>	<u>+/- 10 %</u>
40 Kcpm	<u>3993.5</u>	<u>40 KCPM</u>	<u>(</u>
4 Kcpm	<u>400.1</u>	<u>4 KCPM</u>	<u>)</u>
400 cpm	<u>401</u>	<u>400 cpm</u>	<u>(</u>

Calibrated By: <u>Charles P. Four</u>	Calibration Date: <u>4/1/03</u>
	Calibration Due: <u>4/1/04</u>
Reviewed By: <u>Kenneth R. Salas</u>	Date: <u>4/1/03</u>

# Certificate of Calibration

Voltage Plateau Form

**ERG**

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
12809 Arroyo De Vista NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111  
(505) 298-4224

Detector Mfg.: <u>Ludlum</u>	Model: <u>44-10</u>	Serial No.: <u>PR 150786</u>
Counter Mfg.: <u>Ludlum</u>	Model: <u>2221</u>	Serial No.: <u>86306</u>

Temp.: 70 °F Rel. Humidity 18 % Bar. Pressure 30.1 in. of Hg

Counter Threshold Setting: 10 mV Geometry / Distance to source: 6-inches

Source:  Th230 @ 13,500 dpm sn: 4098-03  Tc99 @ 18,100 dpm sn: 4099-03

Cs137 @ 8.5 µCi sn: 4054-02

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Count Time: 1 minute(s)

High Voltage	Gross Source Counts	Background Counts
600	47360	
700	81460	
800	91596	
900	98708	
1000	99379	
825	93572	11683

Recommended Operating Voltage: 825 volts

Calibrated By: Charles P. Furr

Calibration Date: 4/1/03

Reviewed By: Kenneth R. Sall

Calibration Due: 4/1/04

Date: 4/1/03

# Certificate of Calibration

Voltage Plateau Form



Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
12809 Arroyo De Vista NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111  
(505) 298-4224

Detector Mfg.: <u>Ludlum</u>	Model: <u>44-10</u>	Serial No.: <u>PR112840</u>
Counter Mfg.: <u>Ludlum</u>	Model: <u>2221</u>	Serial No.: <u>117336</u>

Temp.: 70 °F Rel. Humidity: 18 % Bar. Pressure: 30.1 in. of Hg

Counter Threshold Setting: 10 mV Geometry / Distance to source: 6-inches

Source:  Th230 @ 13,500 dpm sn: 4098-03  Tc99 @ 18,100 dpm sn: 4099-03

Cs137 @ 8.5 µCi sn: 4054-02  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Count Time: 1 minute(s)

High Voltage	Gross Source Counts	Background Counts
600	26181	
700	56800	
800	79600	
900	87779	
1000	93711	
1100	94676	12154
1200	96013	

Recommended Operating Voltage: 1100 volts

Calibrated By: Charles P. Farn

Calibration Date: 4/1/03

Calibration Due: 4/1/04

Reviewed By: Kenneth R. Baker

Date: 4/1/03

# Certificate of Calibration

## Voltage Plateau Form

**ERG**

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
12809 Arroyo De Vista NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111  
(505) 298-4224

Detector Mfg.: <u>Ludlum</u>	Model: <u>44-10</u>	Serial No.: <u>PR150642</u>
Counter Mfg.: <u>Ludlum</u>	Model: <u>2221</u>	Serial No.: <u>149938</u>

Temp.: <u>70</u> °F	Rel. Humidity: <u>18</u> %	Bar. Pressure: <u>30.1</u> in. of Hg
Counter Threshold Setting: <u>10</u> mV		Geometry / Distance to source: <u>6-inches</u>
Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Th230 @ 13,500 dpm sn: 4098-03 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cs137 @ 8.5 µCi sn: 4054-02		<input type="checkbox"/> Te99 @ 18,100 dpm sn: 4099-03 <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Count Time: 1 minute(s)

High Voltage	Gross Source Counts	Background Counts
600	23819	
700	56602	
800	83456	
900	92988	
1000	99617	
950	95131	11854

Recommended Operating Voltage: 850 volts

Calibrated By: Charles P. Farn

Calibration Date: 4/1/03

Calibration Due: 4/1/04

Reviewed By: Kenneth R. Baker

Date: 4/1/03

# Certificate of Calibration

## Voltage Plateau Form

**ERG**

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
12809 Arroyo De Vida NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111  
(505) 298-4224

Detector Mfg.: <u>Ludlum</u>	Model: <u>44-10</u>	Serial No.: <u>PR154615</u>
Counter Mfg.: <u>Ludlum</u>	Model: <u>2221</u>	Serial No.: <u>149938</u>

Temp.: <u>70</u> °F	Rel. Humidity: <u>18</u> %	Bar. Pressure: <u>30.1</u> in. of Hg
Counter Threshold Setting: <u>10</u> mV		Geometry / Distance to source: <u>6-inches</u>
Source : <input type="checkbox"/> Th230 @ 13,500 dpm sn: 4098-03 <input type="checkbox"/> Tc99 @ 18,100 dpm sn: 4099-03 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cs137 @ 8.5 µCi sn: 4054-02 <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		

Count Time: 1 minute(s)

High Voltage	Gross Source Counts	Background Counts
600	52245	
700	84124	
750	93013	11403
800	95218	
850	100075	
900	100944	

Recommended Operating Voltage: 750 volts

Calibrated By: Charles P. Farn

Calibration Date: 4/1/03

Calibration Due: 4/1/04

Reviewed By: Kenneth Bahm

Date: 4/1/03

# Certificate of Calibration

## Voltage Plateau Form

**ERG**

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
12809 Arroyo De Vista NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111  
(505) 298-4224

Detector Mfg.:	Ludlum	Model:	44-10	Serial No.:	PR 122613
Counter Mfg.:	Ludlum	Model:	2221	Serial No.:	117652

Temp.:	70	°F	Rel. Humidity	18	% Bar. Pressure	30.1	in. of Hg
--------	----	----	---------------	----	-----------------	------	-----------

Counter Threshold Setting:	10	mV	Geometry / Distance to source:	6-inches		
----------------------------	----	----	--------------------------------	----------	--	--

Source:	<input type="checkbox"/> Th230 @ 13,500 dpm sn: 4098-03			<input type="checkbox"/> Tc99 @ 18,100 dpm sn: 4099-03		
---------	---	--	--	--	--	--

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cs137 @ 8.5 µCi sn: 4054-02	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		
---	---------------------------------------	--	--

Count Time:	1	minute(s)
-------------	---	-----------

High Voltage	Gross Source Counts	Background Counts
700	44688	
800	72978	
900	83968	
1000	90457	
1100	92956	
1200	94396	12295
1300	94023	
1400	113123	

Recommended Operating Voltage: 1200 volts

Calibrated By: Charles P. Farn

Calibration Date: 4/1/03

Reviewed By: Kenneth D. Bohm

Calibration Due: 4/1/04

Date: 4/1/03

# Certificate of Calibration

## Voltage Plateau Form

**ERG**

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
12809 Arroyo De Vista NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111  
(505) 298-4224

Detector Mfg.: Ludlum Model: 44-10 Serial No.: PA 118986  
Counter Mfg.: Ludlum Model: 2221 Serial No.: 117634

Temp.: 70 °F Rel. Humidity: 18 % Bar. Pressure: 30.1 in. of Hg

Counter Threshold Setting: 10 mV Geometry / Distance to source: 6-inches

Source:  Th230 @ 13,500 dpm sn: 4098-03  Tc99 @ 18,100 dpm sn: 4099-03

Cs137 @ 8.5 µCi sn: 4054-02

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Count Time: 1 minute(s)

High Voltage	Gross Source Counts	Background Counts
500	3281	
600	49052	
700	79498	
800	88012	
900	94234	
1000	94783	12579
1100	95491	
1200	87678	

Recommended Operating Voltage: 1000 volts

Calibrated By: Charles P. Farn

Calibration Date: 4/1/03

Reviewed By: Kenneth R. Bohn

Calibration Due: 4/1/04

Date: 4/1/03

# Certificate of Calibration

## Voltage Plateau Form

**ERG**

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
12809 Arroyo De Vista NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111  
(505) 298-4224

Detector Mfg.:	Ludlum	Model:	44-10	Serial No.:	PR122628
Counter Mfg.:	Ludlum	Model:	2221	Serial No.:	105934

Temp.:	70	°F	Rel. Humidity	18	% Bar. Pressure	30.1	in. of Hg
--------	----	----	---------------	----	-----------------	------	-----------

Counter Threshold Setting:	10	mV	Geometry / Distance to source:	6-inches
----------------------------	----	----	--------------------------------	----------

Source:	<input type="checkbox"/> Th230 @ 13,500 dpm sn: 4098-03	<input type="checkbox"/> Tc99 @ 18,100 dpm sn: 4099-03
---------	---	--

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cs137 @ 8.5 µCi sn: 4054-02	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
---	---------------------------------------

Count Time:	1	minute(s)
-------------	---	-----------

High Voltage	Gross Source Counts	Background Counts
500	35818	
600	76338	
700	88480	
800	93538	
900	94449	12627
1000	95749	

Recommended Operating Voltage: 900 volts

Calibrated By: Charles P. F.

Calibration Date: 4/1/03

Reviewed By: Kenneth Bahl

Calibration Due: 4/1/04

Date: 4/1/03

# Automated Engineering & Electronic Services Inc.

AEES Inc. 165 Deer Run Ridge Road Kingston TN 1-865-378-0220 www.radprobe-aees.com

## Calibration Certificate

Received Within Tolerance

Instrument Model No.	2221	Instrument Serial No.	154232	Misc1 EDI	Misc2 MB
----------------------	------	-----------------------	--------	-----------	----------

Battery Check  Batt. Voltage: 5.8

Scale Range Testing						
Test Range	As Found				As Left	
	100	200	400	1000	200	400
1	100	200	400	100	200	400
10	1000	2000	4000	1000	2000	4000
100	10000	20000	40000	10000	20000	40000
1000	100000	200000	400000	100000	200000	400000
10000						

High Voltage Test		
Test Point	As Found	As Left
500	507	507
1000	1005	1005
1500	1508	1508
2000	2015	2015

Logarithmic Meter Test				
Range	1	10	100	1000
As Found	400	4000	42500	400000
As Left	400	4000	42500	400000

Time Tests		
Test Point	Count	Results
0.1	100	
0.2	200	
0.5	499	
1	999	
2	1999	
5	4997	

Time base testing default = 1000 CPM

Functional Tests		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fast/Slow	<input type="checkbox"/> Thermo Dynamic	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reset	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geotropism	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HV Push Button	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Zero Push	<input type="checkbox"/> Over Range	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Count Push	<input type="checkbox"/> Alarm Ack	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hold Push		

Electronic Checks / Set Points		
	As Found	As Left
Mechanical Zero:	0	0
High Voltage:	750	750
Threshold 1	8.5	10
Threshold 2	na	na
Threshold 3:	na	na
Over Load	na	na

Audio Tests		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Audio Test	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Audio Divide	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Audio Volume	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Audio Alarm	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HeadPhone	

Parts Replaced during Calibration and or Repair.

Calibration Date: 4/2/03 Cal Cycle / Months 12

Calibration Due Date: 4/2/04

Calibrated By: K Murphy

Signature: Kenneth Murphy

Remarks: ESV#917231 Due 3-3-04

## **Certificate**

Automated Engineering & Electronic Services			
105 Deer Run Ridge RD. Kingston Tennessee 37763		1-423-378-0228 Fax 1-423-378-0222 <a href="http://www.ediprobe-aees.com">www.ediprobe-aees.com</a>	
<b>Certificate of Calibration</b>			
For			
<b>Ratemeter/Scalar</b>		<b>Type:</b>	
Model Number: <u>2221</u>		Serial Number: <u>127217 EDI# 232</u>	
Probe No.: <u>NA</u>		Serial Number: <u>NA</u>	
Client : <u>EDI</u> PO #: <u>COLONIE</u>			
The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specifications using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specifications upon its return to the submitter.			
Upon receipt the instrument was found: <u>Within Specs.</u>			
AEEES Inc. calibrations control system complies to the guides lines of ANSI N323-1997, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1-1994 and MIL Std 45802A			
Electronic files are identified by MDL SN DATE PROBE MDL PROBE SN			
Calibration Data			
Scale / Test		As Found Variance As Left Variance Calib.	
Range Value		0.1 Max Value 0.1 Max. Tol.	
1 100 100 0.00 100 0.00 0.1			
1 400 400 0.00 400 0.00 0.1			
10 1000 1000 0.00 1000 0.00 0.1			
10 4000 4000 0.00 4000 0.00 0.1			
100 100 9900 -0.01 9900 -0.01 0.1			
100 400 40000 0.00 40000 0.00 0.1			
1000 100 99000 -0.01 99000 -0.01 0.1			
1000 400 399000 -0.00 399000 -0.00 0.1			
		Log Test Point As Found As Left	
		X1 400 400 400 400	
		X10 4000 4000 4000 4000	
		X100 40000 40000 40000 40000	
		X1000 400000 400000 400000 400000	
Test Point Data			
NA 1000 volts		NA	
NA		ERR	
NA		Voltage Increment	
NA		00 Volts	
NA		Time Test Date	
NA		Time Test Val. As Found Variance As Left Variance	
NA		0.1 1000 100 0.00 100 0.00	
NA		1 1000 993 -0.00 993 -0.00	
NA		5 1000 4998 -0.00 4998 -0.00	
NA		10 1000 9998 -0.00 9998 -0.00	
PROB-100 Amplifier Calibrations			
PROB-200 Counter Calibrations			
PROB-300 Support Circuit Tests			
PROB-400 - Not Required			
PROB-500 Geotropics Tests			
PROB-600 - Not Required			
PROB-800 - Not Required			
Source SN DPM Cal Date			
Source SN DPM Cal Date			
Source SN DPM Cal Date			
NA			
Remarks: HV as found @1020 HV as left @1050 Threshold as found 10 mv =100 Threshold as left 10 mv = 100			
Performed By: <u>Craig Luef</u> Date: <u>05-06-2002</u> <u>13</u> Not Used/Not Applicable or needed			
Reviewed By: <u>                  </u> Date: <u>                  </u> <u>                  </u>			

## Certificate

## Automated Engineering &amp; Electronic Services

165 Deer Run Ridge RD.

Kingston Tennessee 37763

1-423-376-0229 Fax 1-423-376-0229

www.redprobe-aees.com

## Certificate of Calibration

For

Ratemeter/Scalar

Type

Model Number: 2221

Serial Number: 154196

Client: EDI

Probe No.: NA

Serial Number: NA

PO #: CISS

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specifications using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constraints. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specifications upon its return to the submitter. Upon receipt the instrument was found: **Within Specs.** **[As Found]**

AEES Inc. calibrations control system complies to the guidelines of ANSI N323-1997, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1-1994 and MIL-STD 45562A

Electronic files are identified by MDL SN DATE [PROBE MDL PROBES SN]

## Analog Cal Data

Scale / Range	Test Value	As Found Value	Variance As Left	Variance	Calib							
1	100	100	0.00	0.00	0.1							
1	400	400	0.00	0.00	0.1							
10	100	1000	0.00	0.00	0.1							
10	400	4000	0.00	0.00	0.1							
100	100	10000	0.00	0.00	0.1							
100	400	40000	0.00	0.00	0.1							
1000	100	100000	0.00	0.00	0.1							
1000	400	400000	0.00	0.00	0.1							

Not Required

## Efficiency

NA

ERR

Time	Test	Data	Simple Plateau		Dual Plateau	
			Digital Cal	HV	As Found As Left	
0.1	1000	100	0.00	100	0.00	500 400 400
1	1000	897	-0.00	897	-0.00	1500 1500 1500
5	1000	4999	-0.00	4999	-0.00	2000 1996 1996
10	1000	8998	-0.00	8998	-0.00	2500 NA NA

PROS-100 Amplifier Calibrations

## Procedures

Test	MATE	SN	Out Date

PROS-200 Counters Calibrations

Com Port tested: No

Geotopic Tested:	Yes		

PROS-300 Support Circuits Tests

Repairs performed: No

Thermo Tested:	No		

PROS-400 - Not Required

Response Test: Yes

Func/Sys Test:	Yes	MP-1	132	1-8-2003	

PROS-500 Geotopic Tests

Speaker Tested: Yes

Timer Tested:	Yes	ESV	817231	2-18-2003	Temperature in Deg F

PROS-600 - Not Required

Battery Level: 7.8

Alpha threshold:	100				

PROS-800 - Not Required

Flow Rate [cc/min]: 88

Beta threshold:	NA				

Sources

Source SN DPM Cal Date

Source SN DPM Cal Date

Source SN DPM Cal Date

Non Required

Remarks: HV As Found @ 885 As Left @ 800

Threshold As Found @ 100 As Left @ 100

Not Used/No/NA = Not Req. or needed

Performed By: Karen MurphyDate: 9-12-07  
Page: 14



**EBERLINE**  
SERVICES

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

### Gamma Standard

S.O. # 3951

P.O. # N/A

#### Description of Standard:

Model No. CS-7AS Serial No. 4054-02 Isotope Cs-137  
The source of gamma radiation is mounted on a 2.54 cm diameter PLASTIC  
disc, 3 mm thick and sealed in a PLASTIC RESIN.

#### Measurement Method:

The gamma ray emission rate was compared with a similar standard, which was calibrated at NIST S/N 2752-91. The comparison of relative gamma ray emission rates was accomplished using a high resolution gamma-ray detector (nominal active volume 100 cm<sup>3</sup>) and a multichannel pulse height analyzer.

#### Measurement Result:

The gamma ray activity of the standard on 10-03-2002 was 8.5  $\mu\text{Ci}$ .  
The uncertainty of the measurement is 5 %, which is the sum of the uncertainty assigned to the NIST reference (2.2 %), random counting error at the 99% confidence level, and the estimated upper limit of systematic errors.

Calibrated by: ART REUST Reviewed by: M. D. Deem

Calibration Technician: Anthony W. Roth Q.A. Representative: Anthony W. Roth

Calibration Date: 10-03-2002 Reviewed Date: 10-4-02

### Initial 44-10 A Instrument Check In

Meter Number:

86306

Detector Number:

PR150786

Meter Model:

2221

Detector Model:

44-10

Cal. Due:

4/1/2004

Cal. Due:

4/1/2004

Source=Cs 137

Threshold = 10mV

S/N-4054-02

High Voltage = 825V

Source GCPM	BKG CPM
146277	5430
144275	5321
145516	5281
145180	5420
145093	5221
145525	5478
145118	5461
143585	5392
144740	5392
145209	5516

Average Bkg. (CPM):

5391

Average Source (GCPM):

145052

Average Net Source (NCPM):

139661

Source Range (GCPM):

116041

to 174062

Background Range (CPM):

4313

to 6469

Source Range +/- 20%

Background Range +/- 20%

Performed By:

Date:

4/5/03

Reviewed By:

Date:

4/7/03

### Initial 44-10 B Instrument Check In

Meter Number:

117336

Detector Number:

PR112840

Meter Model:

2221

Detector Model:

44-10

Cal. Due:

4/1/2004

Cal. Due:

4/1/2004

Source=Cs 137

Threshold = 10mV

S/N-4054-02

High Voltage = 1100V

Source GCPM	BKG CPM
146655	5440
146217	5412
146755	5542
146289	5416
145407	5569
146713	5512
145978	5494
145590	5561
145272	5487
145373	5494

Average Bkg. (CPM):

5493

Average Source (GCPM):

146025

Average Net Source (NCPM):

140532

Source Range (GCPM):

116820

to 175230

Background Range (CPM):

4394

to 6591

Source Range +/- 20%

Background Range +/- 20%

Performed By:

Date: 4/5/03

Reviewed By:

Date: 4/7/03

### Initial 44-10 C Instrument Check In

Meter Number:

154232

Detector Number:

PR150642

Meter Model:

2221

Detector Model:

44-10

Cal. Due:

4/2/2004

Cal. Due:

4/1/2004

Source=Cs 137

Threshold = 10mV

S/N-4054-02

High Voltage = 750V

Source GCPM	BKG CPM
145727	5178
145126	5193
145614	5203
145834	5147
146496	5135
145830	5175
146327	5121
145854	5037
145861	5010
145955	5146

Average Bkg. (CPM):

5135

Average Source (GCPM):

145862

Average Net Source (NCPM):

140728

Source Range (GCPM):

116690

to 175035

Background Range (CPM):

4108

to 6161

Source Range +/- 20%

Background Range +/- 20%

Performed By:

Date: 4/5/03

Reviewed By:

Date: 4/7/03

### Initial 44-10 D Instrument Check In

Meter Number:

117652

Detector Number:

PR122613

Meter Model:

2221

Detector Model:

44-10

Cal. Due:

4/1/2004

Cal. Due:

4/1/2004

Source=Cs 137

Threshold = 10mV

S/N-4054-02

High Voltage = 1200V

Source GCPM	BKG CPM
134929	5443
134724	5476
135007	5495
135621	5317
135311	5458
135739	5410
135221	5403
135216	5457
134847	5331
134568	5389

Average Bkg. (CPM): 5418

Average Source (GCPM): 135118

Average Net Source (NCPM): 129700

Source Range (GCPM): 108095

to 162142

Background Range (CPM): 4334

to 6501

Source Range +/- 20%

Background Range +/- 20%

Performed By:

Date: 4/5/03

Reviewed By:

Date: 4/7/03

### Initial 44-10 E Instrument Check In

Meter Number: 117634  
Meter Model: 2221  
Cal. Due: 4/1/2004

Detector Number: PR118986  
Detector Model: 44-10  
Cal. Due: 4/1/2004

Source=Cs 137  
S/N-4054-02

Threshold = 10mV  
High Voltage = 1000V

Source GCPM	BKG CPM
139891	5484
140502	5238
140522	5349
139748	5186
140562	5485
141236	5314
141288	5539
141016	5049
140205	5392
140133	5110

Average Bkg. (CPM): 5315  
Average Source (GCPM): 140510  
Average Net Source (NCPM): 135196  
Source Range (GCPM): 112408 to 168612  
Background Range (CPM): 4252 to 6378  
  
Source Range +/- 20%  
Background Range +/- 20%

Performed By:

Date: 4/5/03

Reviewed By:

Date: 4/7/03

### Initial 44-10 F Instrument Check In

Meter Number: 105934  
Meter Model: 2221  
Cal. Due: 4/1/2004

Detector Number: PR122628  
Detector Model: 44-10  
Cal. Due: 4/1/2004

Source=Cs 137  
S/N-4054-02

Threshold = 10mV  
High Voltage = 900V

Source GCPM	BKG CPM
141240	5199
140742	5381
143372	5214
143729	5407
143413	5066
143394	5293
143679	5169
143269	5293
143576	5317
143702	5293

Average Bkg. (CPM): 5263  
Average Source (GCPM): 143012  
Average Net Source (NCPM): 137748  
Source Range (GCPM): 114409 to 171614  
Background Range (CPM): 4211 to 6316  
  
Source Range +/- 20%  
Background Range +/- 20%

Performed By:

Date: 4/5/03

Reviewed By:

Date: 4/7/03

### Initial 44-10 G Instrument Check In

Meter Number: 127217  
Meter Model: 2221  
Cal. Due: 5/6/2003

Detector Number: PR154615  
Detector Model: 44-10  
Cal. Due: 4/1/2004

Source=Cs 137  
S/N-4054-02

Threshold = 10mV  
High Voltage = 1050V

Source GCPM	BKG CPM
144708	5247
144713	5328
144991	5536
144434	5196
144733	5559
144865	5375
147546	5214
147775	5323
149591	5053
147774	5385

Average Bkg. (CPM): 5322  
Average Source (GCPM): 146113  
Average Net Source (NCPM): 140791  
Source Range (GCPM): 116890 to 175336  
Background Range (CPM): 4257 to 6386  
  
Source Range +/- 20%  
Background Range +/- 20%

Performed By: J. M. May Date: 9/5/03

Reviewed By: M. B. B. Date: 9/7/03

### Initial 44-10 H Instrument Check In

Meter Number:

154196

Detector Number:

PR122612

Meter Model:

2221

Detector Model:

44-10

Cal. Due:

9/12/2003

Cal. Due:

4/1/2004

Source=Cs 137

Threshold = 10mV

S/N-4054-02

High Voltage = 900V

Source GCPM	BKG CPM
129217	5354
129351	5433
128991	5493
129534	5419
129035	5436
129555	5577
129554	5401
129713	5442
129388	5105
129514	5390

Average Bkg. (CPM):

5405

Average Source (GCPM):

129385

Average Net Source (NCPM):

123980

Source Range (GCPM):

103508

to 155262

Background Range (CPM):

4324

to 6486

Source Range +/- 20%

Background Range +/- 20%

Performed By:

Date: 4/5/03

Reviewed By:

Date: 4/7/03

# SCALER/RATEMETER ELECTRONIC CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Customer:	SAIC	Order No.:	SAIC0718021
Prog.:	Ludium	Serial No.:	168050
Cal. Interval:	1 yr.	T.	70 °F
		RH	68 %
		Alt	660 ASL

Instrument Received:  Within Toler. + -10%     10-20%     Out of Tol.     Requiring Repair     Other - See comments

Mechanical ck.  
 F/S Resp. ck.  
 Audio ck.

Meter Zeroed  
 Reset ck.  
 Alarm Setting ck.

Background Subtract  
 Window Operation  
 Batt. Ck.  
 Calibrated in accordance with SAIC HP-30 and manufactures calibration instructions.

Input Sens. Linearity  
 Geotropism

Instrument Volt Set	635 V	Input Sens.	comments mV	Det. Oper.	635 V	at	comments mV
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HV readout (2 points)	Ref./Inst.	500 / 521	V	Ref./Inst.	2000 / 1960	V	

COMMENTS: For input sensitivities see detector calibration form

RANGE/MULTIPLIER	REFERENCE CAL. POINT
X 1000	400 K cpm
X 1000	100 K cpm
X 100	40 K cpm
X 100	10 K cpm
X 10	4 K cpm
X 10	1 K cpm
X 1	400 cpm
X 1	100 cpm

INSTRUMENT "AS FOUND READING"	INSTRUMENT "AS LEFT READING"
400 K cpm	400 K cpm
100 K cpm	100 K cpm
40 K cpm	40 K cpm
10 K cpm	10 K cpm
4 K cpm	4 K cpm
1 K cpm	1 K cpm
400 cpm	400 cpm
100 cpm	100 cpm

\*Uncertainty within  $\pm 10\%$  C.F. within  $\pm 20\%$

ALL Ranges(s) Calibrated Electronically

Digital Reading	REFERENCE CAL. POINT	INSTRUMENT RECEIVED	INSTRUMENT METER READING*
	400 K cpm	40034 (0)	40029 (0)
	40 K cpm	4004 (0)	4003 (0)
	4 K cpm	401 (0)	401 (0)
	400 cpm	40 (0)	40 (0)
	40 cpm	4 (0)	4 (0)

Reference Instruments and/or Sources:

Multimeter S/N AA00153723

Oscilloscope S/N \_\_\_\_\_

in 500 S/N

Other

154177

Calibrated By: *J.D. 27 June*

Date: *7/18/02*

Reviewed By: *Thomas Schaefer*

Date: *7/23/02*

Calibration Due Date: *7/18/03*

## FUSRAP Detector Calibration Form

Customer: SAIC

## Section 1:

## General Information

Date: 7/18/02	Location: Holtwick	Technician: B. French
Manufacturer: Ludlum		Model: 43-89 'N'
Serial #: 179856		Last Calibrated: 6/29/01
Reason for re-calibration: X Due for Calibration		Repair
		Other (Enter in remarks)

## Equipment used for Calibration:

Type	Identification	Date due for calibration
Model 2360	168050	7/18/03

## Sources:

Isotope:	Identification:	Current Activity:	Assay Activity	Date of Assay:
Th-230	SAIC-0053	20300	20300	11/16/00
SrY-90	SAIC-0054	16059	16700	11/15/00

## Section 2: As Found / As Left Data

## Physical Condition SAT/UNSAT

As Found BKG. Alpha	As Found BKG. Beta	As Left BKG. Alpha	As Left BKG. Beta
5- 10 MIN. BKG. COUNTS	5- 1 MIN. BKG. COUNTS	5- 10 MIN. BKG. COUNTS	5- 1 MIN. BKG. COUNTS
1. 0.4	1. 141	1. 0.3	1. 153
2. 0.7 Avg. 0.36	2. 134 Avg. 139	2. 0.1 Avg. 0.34	2. 188 Avg. 167
3. 0.3	3. 134	3. 0.3	3. 164
4. 0	4. 146	4. 0.5	4. 186
5. 0.4	5. 141	5. 0.5	5. 146

As Found Source Alpha	As Found Source Beta	As Left Source Alpha	As Left Source Beta
5- 1 MIN. Source Counts	5- .1 MIN. Source Counts	5- 1 MIN. Source Counts	5- 1 MIN. Source Counts
1. 3374	1. 4084	1. 3470	1. 4386
2. 3124	2. 4124	2. 3380	2. 4434
3. 3222 Avg. 3276	3. 4197 Avg. 4107	3. 3474 Avg. 3430	3. 4411 Avg. 4404
4. 3313	4. 4115	4. 3364	4. 4379
5. 3346	5. 4016	5. 3463	5. 4408
Calculated Eff.: 16.14%	Calculated Eff.: 24.71%	Calculated Eff.: 16.90%	Calculated Eff.: 26.38%

## Section 3:

HV SET: 635V Remarks: H.V. SET W/PROBE ATTACHED

Alpha Threshold: 120mV

Beta Threshold: 3.5mV

Beta Window: 30mV

Date Calibrated: 7/18/02 Date Calibration Due: 7/18/03

Preformed by: Dkl 2 Frgne Date: 7/18/02Reviewed by: Plowers Date: 7/23/02

Designer and Manufacturer  
of  
Scientific and Industrial  
Instruments

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

LUDLUM MEASUREMENTS, INC.  
POST OFFICE BOX 810 PH. 915-235-5494  
501 OAK STREET FAX NO. 915-235-4672  
SWEETWATER, TEXAS 79556, U.S.A.

CUSTOMER SAIC

ORDER NO. 286250

Ludlum Measurements, Inc. Model 2929

Serial No. 180850

Mfg. Model

Serial No.

Cal. Date 6-Dec-02 Cal Due Date 6-Dec-03 Cal. Interval 1 Year Meterface 202-014

Check mark  applies to applicable instr. and/or detector IAW mfg. spec. T. 76 °F RH 23 % Alt 710.8 mm Hg

New Instrument  Instrument Received  Within Toler. +10%  10-20%  Out of Tol.  Requiring Repair  Other-See comments

Mechanical ck.  Window Operation

Audio ck.

Meter Zeroed Alpha Sensitivity 175 mV Beta Sensitivity 4 mV Beta Window 50 mV

Calibrated in accordance with LMI SOP 14.8 rev 12/05/89.  Calibrated in accordance with LMI SOP 14.9 rev 02/07/97.

Instrument Volt Set 900 V = 3.63 on High Voltage dial. High Voltage set with detector connected.

HV Readout (2 points) Ref./Inst. 500 / 500 V Ref./Inst. 2008 / 2000 V

### COMMENTS:

Gamma Calibration: GM detectors positioned perpendicular to source except for M 44-9 in which the front of probe faces source.

	REFERENCE CAL POINT	INSTRUMENT RECEIVED	INSTRUMENT METER READING*
Alpha Channel			
Digital Readout	400K cpm		400357
	40K cpm		40039
	4K cpm		4005
	400 cpm		400
	40 cpm		40
Beta/Gamma Channel			
Digital Readout	400K cpm		400296
	40K cpm		40029
	4K cpm		4002
	400 cpm		400
	40 cpm		40

\*Uncertainty within ± 10% C.F. within ± 20%

Ludlum Measurements, Inc. certifies that the above instrument has been calibrated by standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology, or to the calibration facilities of other International Standards Organization members, or have been derived from accepted values of natural physical constants or have been derived by the ratio type of calibration techniques. The calibration system conforms to the requirements of ANSI/NCSL Z540-1-1994 and ANSI N323-1978. State of Texas Calibration License No. LO-1963

### Reference Instruments and/or Sources:

Cs-137 Gamma S/N  1162  G112  M565  5105  T1008  1879  E552  E551

Neutron Am-241 Be S/N T-304

Alpha S/N \_\_\_\_\_  Beta S/N \_\_\_\_\_

Other \_\_\_\_\_

On 500 S/N 141244  Oscilloscope S/N \_\_\_\_\_

Multimeter S/N 68160950

Calibrated By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Model \_\_\_\_\_

## SAE FUSRAP Detector Calibration Form

Customer: SAIC

## Section 1:

## General Information

Date: 2/19/03	Location: Holtwick	Technician: B. French	
Manufacturer: Ludlum		Model: 43-10-1	
Serial #: 194703		Last Calibrated: NEW	
Reason for re-calibration: Due for Calibration		Repair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other (Enter in remarks)

## Equipment used for Calibration:

Type	Identification	Date due for calibration
Model 2929	180850	12/6/03

## Sources:

Isotope:	Identification:	Current Activity:	Assay Activity	Date of Assay:
Th-230	SAIC-0053	20300	20300	11/16/00
SrY-90	SAIC-0054	15833	16700	11/15/00

## Section 2:

## As Found / As Left Data

Physical Condition <u>SAT</u> / <u>UNSAT</u>			
As Found BKG. Alpha	As Found BKG. Beta	As Left BKG. Alpha	As Left BKG. Beta
5- <u>N/A</u> MIN. BKG. COUNTS	5- <u>N/A</u> MIN. BKG. COUNTS	5- <u>10</u> MIN. BKG. COUNTS	5- <u>1</u> MIN. BKG. COUNTS
1. <u>N/A</u>	1. <u>N/A</u>	1. <u>0</u>	1. <u>42</u>
2. <u>N/A</u> Avg. <u>N/A</u>	2. <u>N/A</u> Avg. <u>N/A</u>	2. <u>0.2</u> Avg. <u>0.12</u>	2. <u>41</u> Avg. <u>41</u>
3. <u>N/A</u>	3. <u>N/A</u>	3. <u>0.2</u>	3. <u>40</u>
4. <u>N/A</u>	4. <u>N/A</u>	4. <u>0.1</u>	4. <u>39</u>
5. <u>N/A</u>	5. <u>N/A</u>	5. <u>0.1</u>	5. <u>41</u>
As Found Source Alpha	As Found Source Beta	As Left Source Alpha	As Left Source Beta
5- <u>N/A</u> MIN. Source Counts	5- <u>N/A</u> MIN. Source Counts	5- <u>1</u> MIN. Source Counts	5- <u>1</u> MIN. Source Counts
1. <u>N/A</u>	1. <u>N/A</u>	1. <u>6925</u>	1. <u>6894</u>
2. <u>N/A</u>	2. <u>N/A</u>	2. <u>7122</u>	2. <u>6969</u>
3. <u>N/A</u> Avg. <u>N/A</u>	3. <u>N/A</u> Avg. <u>N/A</u>	3. <u>6968</u> Avg. <u>6977</u>	3. <u>6936</u> Avg. <u>6905</u>
4. <u>N/A</u>	4. <u>N/A</u>	4. <u>6970</u>	4. <u>6836</u>
5. <u>N/A</u>	5. <u>N/A</u>	5. <u>6900</u>	5. <u>6889</u>
Calculated Eff.: <u>N/A</u> %	Calculated Eff.: <u>N/A</u> %	Calculated Eff.: <u>34.37</u> %	Calculated Eff.: <u>43.35</u> %

## Section 3:

HV SET: 675V	Remarks: -NEW INSTRUMENT RECEIVED.
Alpha Threshold: 175mV	
Beta Threshold: 4mV	
Beta Window: 50mV	
Date Calibrated: 2/19/03	Date Calibration Due: 2/19/04

ST. LOUIS

HP-30 Rev 1

---

Attachment 8

Page 2 .

## Daily Check-In of Gamma Scan Instruments

APRIL  
#: 117336  
#: 2221  
ar: 4-1-04

YR: 2003

Detector #:	<u>PR112840</u>
Model #:	<u>44-10</u>
Cal. Due:	<u>4-1-04</u>

Source #:	4054-02
Source Type:	Cs-137
HV:	1100 V
Threshold:	10 mV

*MS*  
5502

Red By: Bob Jollie

Date: 4/16/03

ST. LOUIS

**HP-30 Rev 1**  
**Attachment 8**  
**Page 2**

## Daily Check-In of Gamma Scan Instruments

: APRIL YR: 2003 44-10 "C" Source #: 4054-02  
#: 154232 Detector #: PR150642 Source Type: Cs-137  
#: 2221 Model #: 44-10 HV: 750 V  
ue: 4-2-04 Cal. Due: 4-1-04 Threshold: 10 mV

ived  
ved By: T. J. Alder

Date: 4/16/03

## **Daily Check-In of Gamma Scan Instruments**

APRIL  
117652  
2221  
4-1-04

**YR: 2003**

**Detector #:**  
**Model #:**  
**Cal. Due:**

**44-10 "D"**  
**PR122613**  
**44-10**  
**4-1-04**

**Source #:** 4054-02  
**Source Type:** Cs-137  
**HV:** 1200 V  
**Threshold:** 10 mV

iced  
ved By

Pass Out

Date: 9/16/03

## **Daily Check-In of Gamma Scan Instruments**

: APRIL  
#: 117634  
#: 2221  
ue: 4-1-04

**YR: 2003**

**44-10 "E"**

---

**Detector #:** PR118986

---

**Model #:** 44-10

---

**Cal. Due:** 4-1-04

Source #:	4054-02
Source Type:	Cs-137
HV:	1000 V
Threshold:	10 mV

*AB*  
4/7/03

Ised  
ved By

Poly 3 Old

Date: 9/16/03

ST. LOUIS

HP-30 Rev 1

Attachment 8

Page 2

## **Daily Check-In of Gamma Scan Instruments**

: APRIL  
#: 105934  
#: 2221  
ue: 4-1-04

YR: 2003

**44-10 "F"**

Source #:	4054-02
Source Type:	Cs-137
HV:	900 V
Threshold:	10 mV

AB  
#1703

Used  
ved By:

Ruth J. Alder

Date: 4/16/13

ST. LOUIS

HP-30 Rev 1

---

Attachment 8

Page 2

## Daily Check-In of Gamma Scan Instruments

APRIL  
127217  
2221  
5-6-03

YR: 2003

Detector #:  
Model #:  
Cal. Due:

44-10 "G"  
PR154615  
44-10  
4-1-04

Source #:	4054-02
Source Type:	Cs-137
HV:	1050 V
Threshold:	10 mV

AB  
4/26

ved By:

Dr. J. G. Allen

Date: 4/17/03

ST. LOUIS

HP-30 Rev 1

---

**Attachment 8**

Page 2

## **Daily Check-in of Gamma Scan Instruments**

APRIL  
154196  
2221  
9-12-03

YR: 2003

**44-10 "H"**

**Source #:**

4054-02

**Detector #:**

PR122612

### Source Type

Cs-137

**Model #:**

---

44-10

HV:

900 V

**Cal. Due:**

4-1-04

MR  
#7103

ved By:

B. J. C. H.

Date: 4/17/03

# Certificate of Calibration

## Voltage Plateau Form

**ERG**

Environmental Restoration Group, Inc.  
12809 Arroyo De Vista NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111  
(505) 298-4224

Detector Mfg.: <u>Ludlum</u>	Model: <u>44-10</u>	Serial No.: <u>PR122612</u>
Counter Mfg.: <u>Ludlum</u>	Model: <u>2221</u>	Serial No.: <u>149838</u>

Temp.: <u>70</u> °F	Rel. Humidity: <u>18</u> %	Bar. Pressure: <u>30.1</u> in. of Hg
Counter Threshold Setting: <u>10</u> mV		Geometry / Distance to source: <u>6-inches</u>
Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Th230 @ 13,500 dpm sn: 4098-03 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cs137 @ 8.5 µCi sn: 4054-02 <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		

Count Time: 1 minute(s)

High Voltage	Gross Source Counts	Background Counts
<u>700</u>	<u>51281</u>	
<u>800</u>	<u>75637</u>	
<u>900</u>	<u>83689</u>	
<u>1000</u>	<u>91020</u>	
<u>1100</u>	<u>93454</u>	
<u>1200</u>	<u>94529</u>	<u>12916</u>
<u>1300</u>	<u>95111</u>	
<u>1400</u>	<u>117421</u>	

Recommended Operating Voltage: 1200 volts

Calibrated By: Charles P. Farn

Calibration Date: 4/1/03


Calibration Due: 4/1/04

Reviewed By: Kenneth A. Baker

Date: 4/1/03

**ATTACHMENT 2**  
**CONTAMINATION SURVEY RECORD**

## **Westinghouse FFCF Radiological Survey Form**



Limit Exceeded:  $\alpha$  indicates loose alpha  
 $\beta$  indicates inner beta, and  $\Delta$  indicates fixed readiness