From:

Neil Sheehan

To:

INTERNET: SNelson@IOEnergy.Com

Date:

9/14/04 11:22AM

Subject:

Copy of report on Vermont Yankee transformer fire

Susan,

Attached is a copy of the report submitted by Entergy to us regarding the June 18th transformer fire at Vermont Yankee.

Please let me know if you have any questions after reviewing it.

Neil Sheehan NRC Public Affairs Officer (610) 337-5331

C-139



Entergy Nuclear Northeast Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Vermont Yankee 185 Old Ferry Rd. P.O. Box 500 Brattleboro, VT 05302 Tel 802-257-5271

August 16, 2004 BVY 04-080

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject:

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station License No. DPR-28 (Docket No. 50-271) Reportable Occurrence No. LER 2004-003-00

As defined by 10CFR50.73, we are reporting the attached Reportable Occurrence LER 2004-003-00. No Regulatory Commitments have been generated as a result of this event.

Sincerely.

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.

worten

Vermont Yankee

Kevin Bronson General Manager

com

cc: USNRC Region I Administrator

USNRC Resident Inspector - VYNPS USNRC Project Manager - VYNPS Vermont Department of Public Service

IE22

NAC - (7-2001)		(See reve	VENT rse for req naracters f	RE	PORT (LEI	OMMIS	SION	Estimated lessons le estimate to 0001, er brococco (315 collection)	burden arned ar o the Re y Interne 50-0104) does not	per resident per r	orated into the ficens lanagement Branch (to bis 1 Gerc.gov, and of Management and I	h this mandak ing process and T-6 E6), U.S. N to the Desk Of Budget, Washin 3 control numbe	d led ba luclear l licer, Ol agion, D	ick to Ind Regulator fice of Ind IC 20503	collection requirestry. Send conviction of commission and the means up to the conviction and the conviction	RIES 7-31-2004 est. 50 hours. Reported mments regarding burden I, Washington, DC 20555- Regulatory Alfairs, NEOB- sed to impose Information r aponsor, and a person is
	ITY NAME		UCLE	AR P	OWER STAT	rion	(VY)	2. DOC	KET	NUM	BER 050002	71				3. PAGE 1 of 4
4. TITLE Autor		actor Scr	ram du	e to	a Main Gene	rator	Trip as	a resu	ult of	an Is	so-Phase B	us Duct	Two	-Pha	se Elec	trical Fault
5. EVEN	T DATE		6. LE	RNU	MBER			7. REF	ORT	DATE					IES INVO	
МО	DAY	YEAR	YE/	AR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REV NO	МО		DAY	YEAR	FACILITY N/A				OSOOO -
06	18	2004		04		-	00	0 8		16	2004	FACILITY N/A				CKET NUMBER 05000 -
9. OPER MODE		N		7	HIS REPORT IS 201(b)	SUBA		3(a)(3)(i		OTH T	50.73(a)(2)(i		100		(Check a) 73(a)(2)(i)	
10. POW		100		20.2	2201(d)	靣	 	3(a)(4)		后	50.73(a)(2)(i		Ĺ	3-	73(a)(2)(x	
		100		20.2	2203(a)(1)		50.36(c)(1)(I)(/	4)	N N	50.73(a)(2)(l	v)(A)		73.	71(a)(4)	
				}	2203(a)(2)(i)			c)(1)(li)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(v				71(a)(5) HER	
			 _ _		2203(a)(2)(ii)	띭	50.36(빌	50.73(a)(2)(1		_ _	= Sp	ecify in At	stract below or in
			#	 	2203(a)(2)(iii)	쁜		a)(3)(ii)		H	50.73(a)(2)(-{	NA	C Form 3	66A
			片	 	2203(a)(2)(īv)	片		a)(2)(1)(/		붜	50.73(a)(2)(v		-			
			片	!	2203(a)(2)(v)	岩		a)(2)(I)(E		믬	50.73(a)(2)(v		-		- keri mesi	
			Ή		203(a)(2)(vi)	붜		a)(2)(1)(0		띩	50.73(a)(2)(\		- 8			
389.53E		Contact Control		20.2	203(a)(3)(i)	<u> </u>	50.73(i	a)(2)(ii)(TWE	50.73(a)(2)(\	/iii)(B)	里		14 20 4	CAPACITY CONTRACTOR
NAME					12.	LICE	ISEE CC	MIACI	FUH		EPHONE NU	MBER (Inc	dude.	Area (Code)	
Kevin B	ronson,	General	Manac	er	201515 5441						<u>2) 257-7711</u>					
3. COMP	LETE ONE	LINE FOI	HEACH	COM	PONENT FAIL	JHE D	ESCHIBI	וו או עם	HIS H	EPO		,		_		
CAUSE			СОМРО		MANU- FACTURER	TO	O EPIX	<u> </u>	CAUSE		SYSTEM	COMPO		FA	MANU- CTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX
<u>E</u> _			FCO		P295	_	Yes	-₩	Ē		EL	IPBI			P295	Yes
E		EL	BDU BD EME		P295 REPORT EXP		Yes	Ш	E	ᆛ	EL. 15. EXPEC	LAF		NTH I	G066 DAY	Yes YEAR
	(EC III was				SUBMISSION			<u>.</u>	NO		SUBMISS		20		DAT	iew.
└	rea (n yes	, complex	B EXFE		00DM1331011 (JA16)			110		DATE		N	/A	N/A	N/A
16. ABS	TRACT	(Limit to	1400 s	space	es, i.e., appro	xima	tely 15	single	-spa	ced t	lypewritten	lines)				
					plant at full											
					22 kV iso-j											
					incident. Of											
					Arcing and											
					nsformer lov											
					oil conserv											
					suppressio r than 10 m											
					7. At 1245, t											
					by loose m											
					s raised the											
			ster. 7	The r	oot causes	of th	e even	it were	e d el	term	ined to be	inadeq	uate	e pre	ventati	ve
main	tenance	on port	ster. 7 ions o	The r of the		of th	e even and fail	it were lure to	e del	term nitor	ined to be age relate	inadeq ed degra	uate	e pre	ventati	ve

NRCFORM 366A (1-2001) LICE	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)							
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBE	R	3. PAGE			
VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
POWER STATION (VY)	05000271	2004	003 -	- 00	2 OF 4			

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

DESCRIPTION:

On 06/18/04 at 0640, with the plant operating at full power, a two-phase electrical fault-to-ground occurred on the 22kV System (EIIS=IPBU, BDUC). The "B" phase faulted to ground in the low voltage bushing box on top of the Main Transformer (EIIS=XFMR), and the "A" phase faulted to ground in the surge arrester cubicle of the Generator Potential Transformer (PT) Cabinet through the "A" phase surge arrester (EIIS=LAR).

Within less than one cycle (11 milliseconds) of the initial electrical fault, the Main Generator protective relaying sensed the condition and isolated the generator from the grid within the following 5 cycles (80 milliseconds). A generator load rejection reactor scram then occurred. Approximately 400 milliseconds following the initial electrical faults to ground from "A" and "B" phases, arcing and ionization in the "B" phase low voltage bushing box carried over to the "C" phase low voltage bushing box on top of the Main Transformer. The electrical faults disrupted a flange in the oil piping between the Main Transformer oil conservator (expansion tank) and the "C" phase low voltage bushing box. The arcing or heat from the fault ignited the oil, resulting in a fire. Fire suppression systems activated automatically as expected.

The plant response following the scram was as expected, with the exception that both Recirculation pumps tripped and other AC voltage effects were observed as a result of the voltage transient associated with the high fault current. All safety systems functioned as designed and the reactor was shutdown without incident. There was no release of radioactivity and no personnel injuries.

The VY fire brigade was dispatched at 0641. An Unusual Event was declared at 0650 due to "Any unplanned on-site or in-plant fire not extinguished within 10 minutes". The VY fire brigade initiated fire hose spray from a nearby hydrant and quenched the fire. Local fire departments began arriving at 0705. The fire was completely extinguished at approximately 0717and re-flash watches were established. Offsite power sources and station emergency power sources were available at all times throughout the event.

The States of Vermont, New Hamnshire and Massachusetts were provided with initial notification of the event

NRC,FORM 366A (1-2001)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6, LE	3. PAGE	
VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION (VY)			UENTIAL REVISION NUMBER	
	05000271	2004 0	003 00	3 OF 4

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

inspecting the flexible connectors was identified during a recent review of industry operating experience (OE). This OE is being included as recommended preventative maintenance for future outages; however, it was not included in the preventative maintenance inspection performed during RFO-24.

The "A" surge arrester failure was the result of the combination of a ground occurring on the "B" iso-phase bus that caused an increase in voltage on the "A" iso-phase bus and not performing preventative maintenance necessary to monitor age related degradation of the "A" surge arrester. Industry experience has revealed that surge arrestors degrade over time due to a combination of age, service environment and service conditions. Periodic inspection/testing could have detected degradation and allowed replacement prior to failure.

A contributing cause to both of the conditions previously described was Identified by the Investigation team as a failure to effectively use industry OE to prevent similar events from occurring at VY. Specifically, it was noted that; the actions taken by VY in response to recommendations provided within the INPO Significant Operating Experience Report (SOER) 90-01 for "Ground Faults on AC Electrical Distribution" were inadequate. In addition to the SOER, guidance provided within EPRI's "Isolated Phase Bus Maintenance Guide" TR-112784 (1999) for the 22 kV flexible connectors and periodic inspections/testing was not utilized.

ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES:

All safety systems and fire suppression systems responded as designed. The reactor was shutdown without incident. Offsite power sources and station emergency power sources were available at all times throughout the event. Emergency reponse personnel acted promptly to prevent the fire from significantly damaging or breeching the adjacent turbine building. There was no release of radioactivity or personnel injury during this event. Therefore, this event did not significantly increase the risk to the health and safety of the public.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

Immediate:

- 1. An Unusual Event was declared at 0650.
- 2. The station fire brigade on scene to combat the fire at 0652. Local fire departments arrived on-site at 0705 to provide assistance. The fire was extiguished at 0717.
- 3. Completed the initial notification to the States of Vermont, New Hampshire and Massachusetts at 0721.
- 4. Notifed the NRC Operations Center of the Unusual Event at 0748.
- 5. Secured all affected site and plant areas for personnel safety and isolated affected equipment as necessary to maintain investigation integrity.
- 6. Condition Reports were generated for this event and potentially associated issues as appropriate for entry into the Corrective Actions Program.
- 7. A Root Cause Investigation team was established to assess damage and to secure the area.
- 8. Initial testing was completed on the main transformer, station auxiliary transformer, and main generator with no indication of damage that would affect the operation of the transformers or generator.
- 9. A Preliminary Nuclear Network Entry was completed to inform the industry of the initial findings and conditions of the event.

NRC FORM 356A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (1-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) 1. FACILITY NAME 6. LER NUMBER 3. PAGE 2. DOCKET SEQUENTIAL REVISION YEAR NUMBER NUMBER VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION (VY) 4 OF 4 05000271 2004 --003 00

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Prior to Plant Start Up:

- 1. The phase A, B, and C 22 kV surge arresters and capacitors were replaced prior to energizing the 22kV bus.
- 2. The phase A, B, and C 22 kV flexible connectors were replaced with an upgraded design supplied by Delta-Unibus prior to energizing the 22kV bus.
- 3. A cleanliness inspection was performed and documented as part of Iso-Phase Bus Duct Modification.
- 4. Maintenance department personnel inspected the cooler and leads fans for foreign material. Following operation of the fans, an additional inspection of the fans and coolers was performed.
- 5. Operator Alarm response sheets were revised to enhance operator actions in the event of future ground faults.
- 6. A preventative maintenance schedule was established for increased sampling of transformer oil for the main, auxiliary, and two startup transformers for four weeks after start-up.
- 7. The isophase bus duct system was monitored after assembly with the fans running to ensure that vibration levels are acceptable.
- 8. VY discussed this event and associated issues with the Entergy Fleet and industry experts as necessary to gather information pertinent to the root cause investigation and equipment recovery.

Long Term:

- 1. Include the 22kV surge arresters and capacitors in the preventative maintenance program and define periodic testing requirements.
- 2. Revise the 22kV isophase bus preventative maintenance program and periodic inspection requirements as necessary to improve performance and to prevent recurrence of this event.
- 3. Complete the testing of selected components involved in the event to validate the initial conclusions of the root cause investigation team, and revise the root cause analysis report if needed.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

No similar events with a related cause have occurred at Vermont Yankee.

Mail Envelope Properties (41470C9D.646 : 9 : 35118)

Subject:

Copy of report on Vermont Yankee transformer fire

Creation Date:

9/14/04 11:22AM

From:

Neil Sheehan

Created By:

NAS@nrc.gov

Recipients

Action

Date & Time

IOEnergy.Com

IOEnergy.Com

Transferred

09/14/04 11:22AM

SNelson (INTERNET: SNelson@IOEnergy.Com)

Post Office

Delivered

Route

INTERNET

Files

Size

Date & Time

MESSAGE

979

09/14/04 11:22AM

VYTransformerFireLER.8-16-2004.pdf

387268

09/13/04 11:37AM

Options

Auto Delete:

No

Expiration Date:

None

Notify Recipients:

Yes

Priority:

Standard

Reply Requested:

No

Return Notification:

None

Concealed Subject:

No

Security:

Standard

To Be Delivered:

Immediate

Status Tracking:

All Information