Dominion Energy Kewaunee, Inc. N490 Highway 42, Kewaunee, WI 54216-9511



## SEP 2 9 2005

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555 Serial No. 05-633 KPS/LIC/RR: RO Docket No. 50-305 License No. DPR-43

## DOMINION ENERGY KEWAUNEE, INC. KEWAUNEE POWER STATION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 2005-005-01

Dear Sirs:

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73, Dominion Energy Kewaunee, Inc., hereby submits the following Licensee Event Report applicable to Kewaunee Power Station.

Report No. 50-305/2005-005-01

This report has been reviewed by the Plant Operating Review Committee and will be forwarded to the Management Safety Review Committee for its review.

If you have any further questions, please contact Rick Repshas at (920) 388-8217.

Very truly yours,

Michael G. Gaffiley Site Vice President, Kewaunee Power Station

Attachment

Commitments made by this letter: NONE

Jeda

Serial No. 05-633 Page 2 of 2

cc: Mr. J. L. Caldwell Administrator Region III U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352

-

Mr. J. F. Stang Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-8-H-4a Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. S. C. Burton NRC Senior Resident Inspector Kewaunee Power Station

•						s. <b>4</b> 5).				•					
NF	NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 6-30-2007														
(6-2	(6-2004) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block) (See reverse for each block) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block) (See reverse for required number														
FA	FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3)														
Kewaunee Power Station 05000305							<b>1</b> of	4							
E	nergenc	y Diesel (	Generat	or Exha	aust Ductwo	ork No	ot Ad	equat	ely Prote	ecto	ed from Po	otential	Torr	nado Winds	& Missiles
	EVE	INT DATE (5)		<u>_</u>	ER NUMBER (6)		RE	PORTE	ATE (7)			OTHER FA	CILITI	ES INVOLVED (	8)
	мо	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO	мо	DAY	YEAR	FA			DOC		
	03	24_	2005	2005	005	01	09	29	2005	FA			DOC	KET NUMBER	
	OPERA	TING	N		THIS REPORT I	SSUB	MITTE	PURSI	JANT TO TH	IE R	EQUIREMENT	S OF 10 C	FR:	(Check all that ap	ply) (11)
	MODE	: (9)		20.2	2201(b)		20.22	203(a)(3	9)(ii)	X	50.73(a)(2)(	ii)(B)	┝─┼	50.73(a)(2)(ix)(	(A)
	POW	ER (10)	000	20.2	2201(d)		20.22	203(a)(4	<u>)</u>	<u> </u>	50.73(a)(2)	iii)	┼─┼	50.73(a)(2)(x)	
			<b></b> _	20.	2203(a)(1)		50.36	S(c)(1)(i	)(A)		50.73(a)(2)	v)(A)	┢╌╂	73.71(a)( <del>4</del> )	
Ĺ				20.2	2203(a)(2)(ii)		50.36	6(c)(2)	<u></u>	1-	50.73(a)(2)	v)(B)		OTHER	
1.				20.2	2203(a)(2)(iii)		50.46	6(a)(3)(i	i)		50.73(a)(2)(	v)(C)		Specify in Abst	ract below or in
				20.2	2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.73	8(a)(2)(i	)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(	v)(D)		NRC Form 366	5A
				20.2	2203(a)(2)(v)	_ _	50.73	8(a)(2)(i	)(B) ·		50.73(a)(2)(	vii)			
				20.2	2203(a)(2)(vi)		50.73	$\frac{B(a)(2)(i)}{B(a)(2)(i)}$	)(C) ()(A)		50.73(a)(2)(	Viii)(A)			
·	i	· · · · ·		20.2		NSEE	CONT		OR THIS L	ER	(12)	viii)(b)			
NA	ME									TE	LEPHONE NU	MBER (Incl	ude A	rea Code)	
Da	David Lohman / Richard Repshas (920) 388-8368 / (920) 388-8217														
<b> </b>			COMPL	ETE ONI	E LINE FOR EA	CH CO	OMPO	NENT I	AILURE D	DES	CRIBED IN T	HIS REPO	ORT	(13)	
	CAUSE	SYSTEM		PONENT	MANU- FACTURER	REPO TO	EPIX	:   ;     ;	CAUSE		SYSTEM	COMPON	ENT	MANU- FA CTURER	REPORTABLE
		<u> </u>								$\downarrow$		l		<u> </u>	
-		รบ	IPPLEME	NTAL RE	PORT EXPECT	r <u>ed (1</u>	<u>4)</u>	· · ·		-	EXPECT SUBMISS		MO	NTH DAY	YEAR
	YES (I	If yes, compl	lete EXPE	CTED SI	JBMISSION DA	TE).	]	x			DATE (	15)		_	
ABSTRACT On March 24, 2005, with unit in the refueling shutdown condition, a walk-down was being conducted in the Kewaunee Power Station (KPS) Turbine Building, as part of an evaluation of the Turbine Building response to design basis tornado winds. Sections of sheet metal panel siding on the Turbine Building are designed to blow out / blow in due to tornado wind loading, as given in Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR) Appendix B. However, loss of this siding would expose the Class 3 portion of the A and B Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) exhaust ductwork to tornado wind loads. The response of the sheet metal panels would be that the ductwork could be subjected to full design basis tornado force. Exposure to tornado winds would likely result in deformation of the ductwork for each EDG. On April 19, 2005, during the evaluation to determine a resolution to the tornado wind EDG ductwork deformation problem, it was determined that the EDG exhaust ductwork was also susceptible to turbine and tornado missiles. Appendix B of the USAR evaluates turbine and tornado missiles for Class 1 portion of the EDG. It does not address how the Class 3 structures and components associated with the EDG could impact its operation if impacted by a missile. Deformation of the EDG exhaust ducts could result in some reduction of EDG capacity, due to postulated increase in exhaust backpressure. The apparent cause relative to this condition is the original design of the plant, which did not take these effects into account. Design Change Request DCR-3582 was completed and it reinforced the guides for the EDG exhaust ducts and reinforced the existing structural steel to carry the guide loads. A probabilistic evaluation of tornado															
m	issiles, ι	utilizing th	ie TOR	MIS co	omputer pro	gran	n, wa	s per	formed.	Α	n evaluati	on of th	ie e	ffects of tur	bine

missiles on the 'B' EDG exhaust duct was also performed.

 $\widehat{V}$ 

•												
?	NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION											
•												
	TEXT CONTINU	ATION	()									
	FACILITY NAME (1)	NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)								
	Kewaunee Power Station 0500	00305	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER 2005 005 01	2 of 4								
	TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION T (LER) T (LER) T (LER) T (LER) VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER (b) PAGE (3) VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER 2 of 4 2005 - 005 - 01 Valk-down was being conducted in the Jation of the Turbine Building response to fication building, according to Appendix B, that regard, the Turbine Building has to sopardizing the Class 1 equipment housed A recognized industry expert in tornados ed that the Turbine Building response to nent housed in the building. Sections of pred to blow out / blow in due to tornado siding would expose the Class 3 portion of ctwork [DUCT] to tornado wind loads. aring in reaction to the approaching DG exhaust ductwork (each located just design basis tornado force winds (300 sure to winds of that magnitude would the anchor [SPT] located between the s would also likely result in deformation of igh the Turbine Building roof. the tornado wind EDG ductwork work was also susceptible to turbine and tr (USAR) evaluates turbine and tornado e Class 3 structures and components a missile. (2)(ii)(B), "Any event or condition that ignificantly degraded plant safety". the atmosphere. They are constructed es, springs, and anchors. The function of the turbine missile effects at KPS. The components. The USAR makes little									
	Event Description:	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION <b>EPORT (LER)</b> ATION DIABLER (2) <u>VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION</u> 2 of 4 2005 - 005 - 01 2 of 4 UMBER (2) <u>VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION</u> 2 of 4 UMBER (2) <u>VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION</u> 2 of 4 tion, a walk-down was being conducted in the an evaluation of the Turbine Building response to d classification building, according to Appendix B, AR). In that regard, the Turbine Building has to thout jeopardizing the Class 1 equipment housed In with a recognized industry expert in tornados attermined that the Turbine Building response to equipment housed in the building. Sections of re designed to blow out / blow in due to tornado of this siding would expose the Class 3 portion of aust ductwork [DUCT] to tornado wind loads. Ing (clearing in reaction to the approaching ass 3 EDG exhaust ductwork (each located just to full design basis tornado force winds (300 Exposure to winds of that magnitude would EDG at the anchor [SPT] located between the Is. This would also likely result in deformation of as through the Turbine Building roof. ution to the tornado wind EDG ductwork st ductwork was also susceptible to turbine and s Report (USAR) evaluates turbine and tornado how the Class 3 structures and components ted by a missile. ure. 0.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), "Any event or condition that in that significantly degraded plant safety". pases to the atmosphere. They are constructed of guides, springs, and anchors. The function of it is free to move vertically at the guides and ors and spring supports. ormed for turbine missile effects at KPS. The res and components. The USAR makes little										
	On March 24, 2005 with unit in the refueling shutdown cond Kewaunee Power Station (KPS) Turbine Building as part of design basis tornado winds. The Turbine Building is a mixe Section B.2 of the KPS Updated Safety Analysis Report (US be able to withstand design basis tornado wind conditions v in the building.	ition, a w an evalu d classifi SAR). In <i>v</i> ithout jeo	alk-down was being conducted i ation of the Turbine Building resp cation building, according to App that regard, the Turbine Building opardizing the Class 1 equipmen	n the ponse to pendix B, has to has to thoused								
	Through study of design information for KPS and consultation (including response of structures to tornados), it has been do design basis tornado winds would not jeopardize the Class sheet metal panel [PL] siding on the KPS Turbine Building a wind loading, as given in USAR Appendix B. However, loss the 'A' and 'B' Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) [DG] ext The response of the sheet metal panels in the Turbine Build tornado to vent the building) would likely be such that this C inboard of the Turbine Building east wall) could be subjecte mph plus 60 mph translational speed for a total of 360 mph likely result in deformation of the exhaust ductwork for each Turbine Building east wall and the Turbine Building crane ra the guide channel beams where the exhaust ductwork pass	on with a etermine 1 equipm are design of this sinaust duc ling (clea lass 3 ED d to full d b. Expose EDG at 1 ills. This es throug	recognized industry expert in tor d that the Turbine Building respo- tent housed in the building. Sect ned to blow out / blow in due to t iding would expose the Class 3 p etwork [DUCT] to tornado wind lo ring in reaction to the approachin OG exhaust ductwork (each local esign basis tornado force winds ure to winds of that magnitude with the anchor [SPT] located betwee would also likely result in deform the Turbine Building roof.	rnados onse to tions of ornado oortion of oads. ng ted just (300 ould en the nation of								
!	On April 19, 2005, during the evaluation to determine a resolution to the tornado wind EDG ductwork deformation problem, it was determined that the EDG exhaust ductwork was also susceptible to turbine and tornado missiles. Appendix B of the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR) evaluates turbine and tornado missiles for Class 1 portion of the EDG. It does not address how the Class 3 structures and components associated with the EDG could impact its operation, if impacted by a missile.											
	This report does not identify a Safety System Functional Fa	lure.										
	Event Analysis:											
	This condition is being reported in accordance with 10CFRE resulted in the nuclear plant being in an unanalyzed condition	0.73(a)(2 on that sig	2)(ii)(B), "Any event or condition to gnificantly degraded plant safety"	that "•								
.	The function of EDG exhaust ducts is to vent EDG exhaust of ¼" thick carbon steel plate and are supported by a series the guides is to provide lateral support to the duct. Each du spring supports. The duct is vertically supported at the ancl	gases to of guides ct is free nors and	the atmosphere. They are cons s, springs, and anchors. The fur to move vertically at the guides a spring supports.	tructed action of and								
	USAR Appendix B Section B.9.1 discusses the analysis per focus of the text contained in the USAR is on Class I structu	formed fo	or turbine missile effects at KPS. components. The USAR makes	The little								

mention of Class 3 structures and components, and does not specifically address the EDG exhaust ductwork. It has been determined that the EDG exhaust ductwork was not considered in the analysis.

NRC FORM 366A								
(1-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)			
Kewaunee Power Station	05000305	YEAR 5	NUMBER	NUMBER	3 of 4			
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (1	7)	2003						
Turbine missiles affect only the 'B' EDG exhaust Low Pressure Turbine.	duct, since it is phys	ically locat	ed directly	opposite <sup>·</sup>	the #1			
Cause:								
The condition whereby the EDG exhaust ductwor winds and missiles has existed since initial opera the original design of the plant did not take these	rk was not adequate ttion of KPS. The ar effects into account	ly protected parent cau	d from the use relative	effects of to this co	tornado Indition is			
Corrective Actions:								
1. Completed Design Change Request DCR-35 Wind Loading).	82 (EDG Exhaust D	uct Reinfor	cement for	<sup>r</sup> Tornado				
The 'A' and 'B' EDG exhaust ducts are locate Building from approximately EL. 608'-4" up to north end of the east Turbine building wall ad located adjacent to the east Turbine Building supported laterally by 3 guides and two ancho lengths. Also, two spring cans support the du	ed immediately inside DEL. 700'. The 'A' E ljacent to column line wall between colum or supports that are uct vertically.	e of the eas EDG exhau e 9. The 'E n lines 5 ar strategicall	st wall of th st line is lo ' EDG exh nd 6. Each y placed al	e Turbine cated on t aust line i duct is ong their	the s			
Detailed analyses of the existing ducts, ducts for the tornado wind loading. The results of the modifications were required to ensure structur systems. More specifically, two guides on ear south duct required modification to increase duct guides for both exhaust ducts, new char distributing the load to stronger parts of the re	supports and suppor he analyses docume iral integrity of the ex ich duct along with o their strength. Addit nel frames and tens pof structure.	ting structu ented concl chaust duct ne structur tionally for t sion rods w	ural steel w uded that a and its sup al steel me the Turbine ere installe	ere perfor a number oporting mber for Building d to supp	rmed of the roof ort			
2. Performed a probabilistic evaluation of tornac	do missiles.							
The TORMIS computer program develops the plant structures and other targets, using prob Report dated October 26, 1983, concluded th demonstrating compliance with 10 CFR 50 Ap Appendix A General Design Criteria 2, regard effects of tornado and high wind generated m	e probability of torna ability techniques. 7 nat TORMIS is an ac ppendix A General [ ling protection of sat nissiles.	do missiles The NRC, in ceptable a Design Critic rety-related	s striking th n a Safety I pproach fo eria 2, rega plant featu	e modele Evaluatior r rding 50 ures from	d า the			
The results of the TORMIS evaluation show t vents is 4.09E-07. This probability is less tha Review Plan, Section 3.5.1.4 – Missiles Gene Regulatory Guides, if the probability of a dam can be considered not credible.	he damage probabi in 1E-06 per year. F erated by Natural Ph aging missile strike	lity per yea Per NUREG enomena, is less thar	r for the E 0800, NR and its ass 1E-06 per	DG exhau C Standa ociated year, the	ust rd n it			

.

• 3

ŝ

NRC FORM	366.6	 
	0004	
(1-2001)		

\_\_\_\_\_

7

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	)	PAGE (3)
Kewaunee Power Station	05000305	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4 of 4
		2005	005	01	
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366.	A) (17)				
3. Performed an evaluation of the effects of	turbine missiles on the '	B' EDG	exhaust duct	•	
The results of this evaluation showed the	'B' EDG exhaust duct h	as suffi	cient turbine n	nissile	
protection based on the criteria of NUREC	G 0800, Section 3.5.1.3.	KPS h	as demonstra	ited turbin	е
disc integrity, the turbine overspeed prote	ction has redundancy a	nd has l	been evaluate	ed to show	that
it minimizes the potential for missile gener	ration due to an overspe	ed con	dition, and a r	edundant	EDG
is available.					
Similar Events:					
None.					
	<u>.</u>				