

From: Neil Sheehan *RS*
To: Beth Siemel; Raymond Lorson
Date: 4/19/04 11:52AM
Subject: Re: VY Why Inspect? answer

Yes, Beth, thanks for providing this.

>>> Raymond Lorson 04/19/04 11:06AM >>>
Beth:

Thanks for finding out the answer to this question.

Ray

RS
>>> Beth Siemel 04/16/04 05:39PM >>>
Neil,

I understand you got a question from a reporter and wanted to know why the licensee did this dryer inspection.

As I'm not sure the answer was received earlier on voice mail, I wanted to get it out this way so we're all on the same page.

Per the licensee, GE put out a Rev. 1 to SIL 644 dealing with dryer cracks found. In answer to the question, due to the SIL (industry information), even if they weren't going for a power uprate, they would have performed inspections at a level that they believe would have found these indications. However, because they are going for an uprate the inspections actually performed this outage were more detailed than they would have performed otherwise.

If you have any questions, please give me a call.

have a great weekend,
Beth

CC: Cliff Anderson; David Pelton; Diane Screnci

C-89

Mail Envelope Properties (4083F5B4.D4B : 9 : 35118)

Subject: Re: VY Why Inspect? answer
Creation Date: 4/19/04 11:52AM
From: Neil Sheehan

Created By: NAS@nrc.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov

kp1_po.KP_DO

BEK (Beth Siemel)

CJA CC (Cliff Anderson)

DLP1 CC (David Pelton)

DPS CC (Diane Screnci)

RKL (Raymond Lorson)

Post Office

kp1_po.KP_DO

Route

nrc.gov

Files

MESSAGE

Size

2182

Date & Time

04/19/04 11:52AM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard