uno s		LIA MUNICIPA DEGLI ATORY COMMISSION	EOIA/DA	DECEMBER NUMBER	
(6-1998)	ORM 464 Part I	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	POINTA	RESPONSE NUMBER	
	MICLEAR REGULATOR		2004-0234	5	
STAY	FORM 464 Part I	RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST	RESPONSE FINAL		
REQU	ESTER		DATE .		
		Matthew S. Hammel	SEP 26	2005	
		PART I INFORMATION RELEASED)		
	No additional	agency records subject to the request have been located.			
	Requested re	cords are available through another public distribution program.	See Comments section.		
	APPENDICES	Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Ro	listed appendices are alreadom.	dy available for	
V	APPENDICES M, N	Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Ro	listed appendices are being om.	made available for	
	Enclosed is in Document Ro	formation on how you may obtain access to and the charges for com, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC.	copying records located at the	ne NRC Public	
\square	APPENDICES M, N	Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.			
	Records subjected to the	ect to the request that contain information originated by or of inter at agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination	est to another Federal agen and direct response to you.	cy have been	
\mathbf{V}	We are contir	nuing to process your request.			
	See Commen	ts.			
		PART I.A FEES			
AMOL	UNT *	You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed.	None. Minimum fee thresh	old not met.	
\$		You will receive a refund for the amount listed.	Fees waived.		
* See for	e comments details				
		PART I.B - INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELD	FROM DISCLOSURE		
	No agency re	cords subject to the request have been located.			
V	Certain inform the reasons s	nation in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure plated in Part II.	oursuant to the exemptions of	described in and for	
V	This determin Washington,	ation may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA on the country of the FOIA/PA on the envelope and in the letter the country of	Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regula nat it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."	tory Commission,	
		PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continu	ation page if required)	`	
The incoming FOIA/PA request is located in ADAMS at ML041314167.					
1					
l					
8167775	INC EDEEDOMOS	NECONIATION AND DOLLOW AND DESIGNATION			
<i>/</i>	nauce	NFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER			
Rušse	ell A. Nichols		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
I					

NRC FORM 464 Part II

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION FOIA/PA

DATE

	REEDOM OF INFORMATION VACY ACT (PA) REQUEST	2004-0234 Resp 5	SEP 26	2005	
APPENDICES Records sub Exemption N	PART II.A — APPLICABL eject to the request that are described in the encl do.(s) of the PA and/or the FOIA as indicated belong.	osed Appendices are being wit	hheld in their entirety or S.C. 552(b)).	in part ur	nder the
Exemption 1: The withho	eld information is properly classified pursuant to l	Executive Order 12958.			
Exemption 2: The withho	eld information relates solely to the internal perso	onnel rules and procedures of l	NRC.		
Exemption 3: The withhou	eld information is specifically exempted from pub	lic disclosure by statute indical	ed.		
Sections 141-145 (2161-2165).	of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disc	closure of Restricted Data or F	ormerly Restricted Data	(42 U.S.0	C.
· — ·	Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosur	e of Unclassified Safeguards I	nformation (42 U.S.C. 2	167).	
41 U.S.C., Section agency to any pers	253b, subsection (m)(1), prohibits the disclosure son under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA e proposal.	e of contractor proposals in the A), except when incorporated in	possession and control nto the contract betweer	of an exe the ager	ecutive ncy and
Exemption 4: The withh	neld information is a trade secret or commercial o	r financial information that is b	eing withheld for the rea	ison(s) in	dicated.
The information is	considered to be confidential business (proprieta	ry) information.			
The information is accounting program	considered to be proprietary because it concerns m for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFI	s a licensee's or applicant's ph R 2.790(d)(1).	ysical protection or mate	erial contr	ol and
The information wa	as submitted by a foreign source and received in	confidence pursuant to 10 CF	R 2.790(d)(2).		
Exemption 5: The withh Applicabl	neld information consists of interagency or intraaç e privileges:	gency records that are not avai	lable through discovery	during liti	gation.
Deliberative proces deliberative proces There also are no predecisional proc	ss: Disclosure of predecisional information woul ss. Where records are withheld in their entirety, t reasonably segregable factual portions because ess of the agency.	d tend to inhibit the open and f he facts are inextricably interty the release of the facts would	rank exchange of ideas vined with the predecision permit an Indirect inquin	essential onal inform y into the	to the mation.
Attorney work-prod	duct privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorn	ey in contemplation of litigatio	n)		
Attorney-client priv	rilege. (Confidential communications between ar	attorney and his/her client)			
Exemption 6: The withh invasion of	neld information is exempted from public disclosu of personal privacy.	re because its disclosure would	d result in a clearly unw	arranted	
Exemption 7: The withh indicated.	neld information consists of records compiled for	law enforcement purposes and	is being withheld for th	e reason((s)
focus of enforce	I reasonably be expected to interfere with an enfo ment efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipi im investigators).	orcement proceeding (e.g., it we ents to take action to shield po	ould reveal the scope, d tential wrongdoing or a	lirection, a violation o	and of NRC
(C) Disclosure would	d constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal	privacy.			
	consists of names of individuals and other informidential sources.	nation the disclosure of which o	could reasonably be exp	ected to r	reveal
(E) Disclosure would reasonably be ex	d reveal techniques and procedures for law enfor expected to risk circumvention of the law.	cement investigations or prose	ecutions, or guidelines th	nat could	
I · ·	reasonably be expected to endanger the life or p	physical safety of an individual			
OTHER (Specify) Ex. 4: Disclosure will har	m and identifiable private or governmental interes	ıt.			
	PART II.B DENYING	OFFICIALS			
Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), that the information withheld interest. The person respon- denials that may be appealed	9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear is exempt from production or disclosure, a sible for the denial are those officials identid to the Executive Director for Operations (Regulatory Commission rend that its production or dis	egulations, it has bee closure is contrary to als and the FOIA/PA	n detern the pub Officer (nined olic for any
DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS	DENIED APPE	LLATE OF	FICIAL
	Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safey as Safeguards	Appendix N and		525,	
	***************************************			1	
Appeal must be made in writi U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Con	ing within 30 days of receipt of this respons	se. Appeals should be mai	led to the FOIA/Priva	ov Act C	Officer

APPENDIX M RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY (If copyrighted identify with *)

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)
1.	04/16/1980	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc, from A. B. Davis-NRC, re: IE Inspection Report No. 70-036/80-01; (7 pages)
2.	07/11-15/80	An Aerial Radiological Survey of Hematite, Missouri and Surrounding Area; (12 pages)
3.	07/30/1980	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc, from A. B. Davis-NRC, re: IE Inspection Report No. 70-036/80-02; (13 pages)
4.	09/04/1980	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc, from A. B. Davis-NRC, re: IE Inspection Report No. 700-036/80-04; (8 pages)
5.	12/08/1980	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc, from James G. Keppler, re: call to inform Mr. Pianki of program for Systematic Appraisal of Licnesee Performance and results, IE Inspection Report No 70-36; (7 pages)
6.	03/02/1981	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc, from C. E. Norelius-NRC, re: IE Inspection Report No. 70-036/81-01; (8 pages)
7.	09/08/1982	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc, from J. R. Miller-NRC, re: Inspection Report No. 70-36/82-04(DETP); (8 pages)
8.	04/15/1983	Letter to H. Lichtenberger, CE, from C. J. Paperiello, NMSS, regarding inspection March 15-18, 1983 (9 pages)
9.	07/1983	NUGEG/CR-3387, Radiological Survey of the Combustion Engineering Burial Site Hematite, Missouri (39 pgs)
10.	09/16/1983	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc, from C. J. Paperiello-NRC, re: Inspection Report No. 70-036/83-03(DRMSP); (9 pages)
11.	10/11/1983	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc from C. J. Paperiello, re: Addendum to IE Inspection Report No. 70-036/83-01; (2 pages)
12.	03/27/1984	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc, from C. J. Paperiello-NRC, re: Inspection Report No. 70-036; (10 pages)

APPENDIX M - continued RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY (If copyrighted identify with *)

<u>NO.</u>	DATE	DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)
13.	11/19/1986	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc, from Jack A. Hind-NRC, re: Inspection Report No. 70-36/86003(DRS); (13 pages)
14.	12/19/1986	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc, from Jack A. Hind-NRC, re: Inspection Report No. 70-36/86004(DRSS); (26 pages)
15.	05/22/1987	Ltr to Combustion Engineering, Inc from W. D. Shafer-NRC, re: Inspection Report No. 70-36/87001(DRSS); (16 pages)
16.	10/18/1988	Ltr to Bruce Mallett-NMSS from H. E. Eskridge re: Description of core sampling and counting techniques for the new building construction area; (7 page)
17.	10/19/1989	Letter to Combustion Engineering from A. Bert Davis, Regional Administrator, RIII, Subj: August/September 1989 augmented inspection re an August 28, 1989 incident (74 pgs)
18.	12/07/1989	Memorandum from E. M. McKenna, to L. C. Rouse, (NRC), Responds to request to review proposed purchase of C-E by ASEA Brown Boveri (3 pgs)
19.	06/27/1991	Letter from C. J. Haughney, NRC, to J. A. Rode, ABB Combustion Engineering Nuclear Fuel, re submission of clarifying information for 10 CFR 70.25 requirements for decommissioning financial assurance and emergency plans (2 pages)
20.	01/24/1992	Memorandum from G. H. Bidinger, to J. W. Hickey, (NRC), Recommends staff positions on licensing at Hematite & requests staff guidance re: Windsor site before 920130 meeting (7 pgs)

APPENDIX M - continued RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY (If copyrighted identify with *)

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>		ESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)
21.	07/18/1996		etter from R. C. Pierson, NRC to R. W. Sharkey, ABB ombustion Engineering Nuclear Fuel, forwards Amendment 14 License SNM-33 & 951204 safeguards evaluation report pgs)
	attach.	saf	718/96 9607240172 Amendment 14 to SNM-33, adding feguards Condition SG-3.1 for implementation of Transitional acility Attachment (6 pgs)
	attach.	: 07/	7/18/96 Safeguards evaluation report supporting Amendment to License SNM-33 (1 pg)
22.	, 07/21/03	[ML03210	Memo from Chris Miller to Marc Dapas (RIII), Subj: Report of Meeting Between NRC and Westinghouse Electric Company (1 page)
23.	12/12/2003	[ML03349	Letter from C. Miller, RIII, to Mr. Hank Sepp, Westinghouse Electric Co., LLC, Subj: Westinghouse/Hematite - NRC Special Inspection Report No. 070-00036/2003-006(DNMS) (12 pgs)

APPENDIX N RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN PART

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS
1.	04/27/78	Letter to Mr. L. Rouse from H. Eskridge forwarding C-E Hematite's Emergency Plan (61 pgs) [Ex. 6]
2.	10/03/79	Letter to Robert Stevenson from H. Eskridge concerning safety of the operation of a new waste incinerator in area 240-3 of the Hematite plant. (5 pgs) [Ex. 6]
3.	01/27/82	Letter to R. Page from H. Eskridge with Combustion Eng. Hematite Radiological Contingency Plan (161 pgs) [Ex. 6]
4.	08/16/82	Letter to H.V. Lichtenberger, Combustion Engineering, Subj: Analysis of 70 samples sent to New Brunswick Laboratory (3 pgs) [2 pgs released; 1 pg withheld, Ex. 4]
5.	02/21/83	Letter to W. Crow from H. Eskridge forwarding application for renewal of SNM-33 (97 pgs) [Ex. 6]
6.	01/31/85	Letter to Willard Brown from H. Eskridge enclosing revised pages to the Fundamental Nuclear Material Control Plan (29 pgs) [1 pg released; 29 pgs withheld, Ex. 4]
7.	05/30/85	Letter to Mr. Eskridge from W. Axelson acknowledging receipt of letter (3 pgs) [2 pgs released; 1 pg withheld, Ex. 4]
8.	09/23/85	Letter to W. Brown from H. Eskridge forwarding revised chapter of Fundamental Nuclear Material Control Plan (8 pgs) [1 pg released; 7 pgs withheld, Ex. 4]
9.	03/19/86	Letter to Ray Jackson from H. Eskridge revision to our Fundamental Nuclear Material Control Plan (11 pgs) [1 pg released; 10 pgs withheld, Ex. 4]
10.	09/11/87	Letter to Ray Jackson from H. Eskridge, request for additional information and revisions of 10 CFR 74.31 (43 pgs) [3 pgs released; 40 pgs withheld, Ex. 4]
11.	10/09/87	Letter to G. Gundersen from H. E. Eskridge, Subj: Security Plan (2 pgs) [1 pg released; 1 pg withheld, Ex. 4]

APPENDIX N - continued RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN PART

<u>NO.</u>	DATE	DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS
12.	11/13/87	Letter to Gordon Gunderson from H. Eskridge, Subj: Measurement Standard Error (4 pgs) [1 pg released; 3 pgs withheld, Ex. 4]
13.	10/27/88	Letter to G. E. Gundersen from James Rode, Subj: Revised Security Plan (1 pg released)
	Attach:	10/1988 Physical Security Plan for Protection of Nuclear Material of Low Strategic Significance, Combustion Engineering, Inc., Hematite, Missouri [19 pgs withheld, Ex. 4]
14.	11/23/88	Letter to C. N. Smith from A. E. Scherer, Subj. Accountability for Transfer of Empty Pellet Tray and Powder Cans [5 pgs released; 2 pgs withheld, Ex. 4]

APPENDIX O RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN THEIR ENTIRETY

<u>NO.</u>	DATE	DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS
1.	01/01/86	Fundamental Nuclear Material Control Plan (141 pgs) [Ex. 4]
2.	04/93	International Atomic Energy Agency Design information questionnaire Conversion and/or fuel fabrication plants (127 pgs) [Ex 4]