NRC FORM 591M PART 1 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY-COMMISSION (11-2003) 10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE **Daviess Community Hospital REGION III** 1314 E. Walnut St. US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION **801 WARRENVILLE ROAD** Washington, IN 47501 LISLE IL 60532-4351 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2005/001 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 030-10475 13-16138-01 September 23, 2005 LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified. Previous violation(s) closed. The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s): License Condition 15A of NRC License No. 13-16138-01 requires that the licensee perform lart tests of its scaled sciences on a bi-annual basis that is not to exceed amonths Contrary to the above, the herrisce failed to perform a leak test of its scaled sauces from a15102-a19103 and from 2/24/05-418/05. During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11. (Violations and Corrective Actions) Statement of Corrective Actions I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

TITLE	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
LICENSEE	Journ Welle	Closer Wohen	9/23/03
NRC INSPECTOR	Sarah R. Bakhsh	Such R. Oh	09/23/2005

NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (11-2003)

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

NRC FORM 591M PART	3			U.S. N	UCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		
(11-2003) 10 CFR 2.201			ile Informatio				
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION							
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INS	SPECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL (OFFICE			
Daviess Commur	nity Hospital		REGION III				
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2	005/001		1120101111				
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)			4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION		
030-10475			13-16138-01		September 23, 2005		
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED		1	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS				
87131 03.01-03.07							
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	SUPI 2. PRIORITY	PLEMENTAL INS 13. LICENSEE		DRMATION	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER		
02120	3		Man Cha, RSO		812-254-8898		
Main Office Inspection							
_	•	Next IIIs	pection bate.	91/2008			
Field Office Inspection							
Temporary Jo	b Site Inspection						
			RAM SCOPE				
to use byproduct reduced to use byproduct was seconducted approximenth. Diagnosticusing I-131. Dose	materials under Se taffed with one full imately 20 diagnos c procedures were	ections 35.100, 3 -time and two p stic procedures performed using s unit doses fro	35.200, and 35 art-time nuclea a week and ap ng Tc-99m kits. m a licensed ra	300 of 10 CF ar medicine te oproximately o Therapeutic	he licensee was authorized FR. The nuclear medicine chnologists. The licensee one therapeutic procedure a procedures were performed or. All waste was either		
PERFORMANCE OBSERVATIONS							
demonstrate pack (4) conduct daily a calibrator; (6) dem sources. The insp since the last insp 2%-10% from the levels) with the lic	age receipt and re and weekly contam nonstrate radioaction pector reviewed all pection. The insperiescribed dose, a	eturn surveys; (3 nination surveys we spill respons of the written d ctor noticed that and discussed the ne need for more	 conduct daily (5) perform date and (7) conditions irectives involved a few of the date detailed QA/6 	operability chaily constancy duct a physica ing therapeut loses adminis e. the need to	eaving it unattended; (2) necks of survey instruments; c checks of the dose I inventory of its sealed ic I-131 administrations tered differed between establish internal trigger en directives. The next		
	/7/99 and determin				etry test for its dose refore, the previous violation		