

EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1

CHIEF:	Mark S. Haire	FACILITY:	ANO 1	DATE of OPERATING EXAM:	09/12/05
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
3/16/05	Activity Schedule Agreement (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	3/1/05	MSH		
3/16/05	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1.c; C.2.e)	3/1/05	MSH		
3/16/05	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's (C.2.c)	3/1/05	MSH		
3/16/05	Corporate Notification Letter (ES-201 Att-3) Sent (C.2.d)	3/29/05	MSH		
5/16/05	Exam Ref. Material Due (if NRC authored) (C.1.d; C.3.c)	N/A	MSH		
5/16/05	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d & e; C.3.d)	5/16/05	MSH		ALL - ELECTRONIC
5/29/05	Outlines Review & Feedback from NRC (c.2.h; C.3.e)	5/27/05	MSH		
6/14/05	Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	7/29/05	MSH		
7/14/05	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/e/f; C.3.d)	7/14/05	MSH		
7/24/05	Peer Reviewer Documents Review of Exam	7/29/05	MSH		
7/24/05	NRC BC Approves Feedback to Facility (C.2.h; C.3.f)	7/29/05	MSH		
7/29/05	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h; C.2.f&h; C.3.g)	7/29/05	MSH		
8/13/05	Preliminary Applications Reviewed (C.1.j; C.2.g; ES202)	8/26/05	MSH		
8/29/05	Final Applications Reviewed (C.1.j; C.2.h; ES202)	8/30/05	MSH		
8/25/05	On-Site Preparatory Week to Validate Operating Exam	8/22-24/05	MSH		
8/23/05	On-Site Audit (10%) of License App's (ES-202 C.2.e)	8/23/05	MSH		SAT
8/31/05	Final Appl. OK'ed & Waivers Sent to Applicants (C.2.g)	8/31/05	MSH		
9/5/05	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i; C.3.h)	8/31/05	MSH		
9/5/05	Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-4) and List of Applicants (ES-201-4) Prepared (C.2.i)	8/31/05	MSH		
9/5/05	Proctor Rules Review w/Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	9/9/05	MSH		
9/5/05	Exam Material to Exam Team (C.3.i)	8/30/05	MSH		
9/12/05	Administer Operating Exam On-Site	9/12-9/15	MSH		9/9/05 WRITTEN
9/24/05	Facility Graded Exam & Comments Received	9/21/05	MSH		
9/27/05	NRC Written Exam Grading Completed	9/21/05	MSH		
9/27/05	Examiners Document Exam Grades on ES Forms	9/21/05	MSH		
10/7/05	NRC Chief Examiner Grading Review Completed	9/21/05	MSH		
10/8/05	NRC BC Review Completed	9/28/05	MSH		
10/13/05	RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	9/27/05	MSH		
10/13/05	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	9/28/05	MSH		
11/3/05	Package Closed Out	9/28/05	MSH		
12/11/05	Chief QA of ADAMS	9/28/05	MSH		

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One Unit One		Date of Examination: 9/9/2005		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	SRP	Rkm	H
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	SRP	Rkm	H
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	SRP	Rkm	H
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	JAP	Rkm	H
2. S I M	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications and major transients.	AS	Rkm	H
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated over subsequent days.	1 AS	1 Rkm	H
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	AS	Rkm	H
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) The number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	1 SRP	1 Rkm	H
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	SRP	Rkm	H
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	SRP	Rkm	H
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	JAP	Rkm	H
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	JAP	Rkm	H
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	JAP	Rkm	H
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	SRP	Rkm	H
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	SRP	Rkm	H
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	SRP	Rkm	H

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	Steve Pullin / Daniel E. Smith	7-13-05
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Randal Martin / Rkm	7-13-05
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Mark Haire / MHA	8/30/05
d. NRC Supervisor	Ryan Lantz / RL	9/31/05

Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

1) Audit exam has not been developed. Licensee will ensure the Audit exam will not duplicate license exam.

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One Unit One		Date of Exam: 09/9/2005		Exam Level: RO/SRO		
Item Description	Initial			a	b	c [#]
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	SRP	Rkm	H			
2. a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available.	SRP	Rkm	H			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with section D.2.d of ES-401	SRP	Rkm	H			
4. The facility licensee's sampling process was random and systematic (i.e., no more than 4 RO and/or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams).			H			
5. Question duplication from the license screening /audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate - the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed - the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started - the examinations were developed independently X - the licensee certifies that there is no duplication - other (explain):	SRP	Rkm	H			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	SRP	Rkm	H
	RO 43% SRO 36%	RO 18% SRO 16%	RO 39% SRO 48%			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO/SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A		SRP	Rkm	H
	RO 41% SRO 0%	RO 59% SRO 100%				
8. References/ handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	SRP	Rkm	H			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	SRP	Rkm	H			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES, Appendix B.	SRP	Rkm	H			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet.	SRP	Rkm	H			
a. Author <u>STEVE Pullin / [Signature]</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>Randall K. Martin / [Signature]</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>MARK HAIRE / [Signature]</u> d. NRC Regional Supervisor <u>RYAN LANTZ / [Signature]</u>				Date <u>8-12-05</u> <u>8-14-05</u> <u>8/30/05</u> <u>9/31/05</u>		
Note: * The Facility reviewer' initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in column "c," chief examiner concurrence required						

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One Unit One		Date of Examination: 9/9/2005		Operating Test Number: 1					
1. GENERAL CRITERIA				Initials					
				a	b*	c#			
a. The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).				DS	Rkm	H			
b. There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.				SRP	Rkm	H			
c. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).				¹ DS	¹ Rkm	H			
d. Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.				SRP	Rkm	H			
e. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.				DS	Rkm	H			
2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA				--	--	--			
a. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:				SRP	Rkm	H			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 									
b. Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and form ES-201-2.									
3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA							--	--	--
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.							DS	Rkm	H
							DS	Rkm	H
		Printed Name / Signature		Date					
a. Author	STEVE Pullin DANIELE E. Smith / Donnell Daniel Smith		7-13-05						
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	Randal Martin / Rkm		7-13-05						
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	MARK HAIRE / Mr		8/30/05						
d. NRC Supervisor	RYAN LANTZI / Ben		8/31/05						
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.									

1) Audit exam has not been developed. Licensee will ensure the Audit exam will not duplicate license exam.

Facility: ANO-1		Date of Exam: 09 / 12 / 2005		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4		Operating Test No. 1	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				Initials			
				a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	AS	Rkm	H			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	AS	Rkm	H			
3.	Each event description consists of * the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated * the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event * the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew * the expected operator actions (by shift position) * the event termination point (if applicable)	① AS	① Rkm	H			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	AS	Rkm	H			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	AS	Rkm	H			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	① AS	① Rkm	H			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	AS	Rkm	H			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	AS	Rkm	H			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	① AS	① Rkm	H			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	AS	Rkm	H			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	① AS	① Rkm	H			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	AS	Rkm	H			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	AS	Rkm	H			
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.5.D)		Actual Attributes		--	--	--	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	6 / 7 / 6 / 6		AS	Rkm	H	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 2 / 1 / 2		AS	Rkm	H	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2 / 3 / 3 / 3		AS	Rkm	H	
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1 / 1		AS	Rkm	H	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1 / 1 / 3 / 2		AS	Rkm	H	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 / 2 / 2 / 2		AS	Rkm	H	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	3 / 2 / 3 / 2		AS	Rkm	H	

① Specific attributes will be completed when the scenarios are validated during the validation scheduled for the week August 22, 2005.

Facility: ANO-1		Date of Exam: 9/12/2005										Operating Test No.: 2005-1					
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)		
		1			2			3			4 (Spare)						
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U
RO	RX	2			4,7			1				4,3		1	1	0	
	NOR	4			1			3				2,1		1	1	1	
SRO-I	I/C	3,9			2,6			4,7				5,8		4	4	2	
	MAJ	7			7			6				6		2	2	1	
SRO-U	TS	N/A			N/A			N/A				N/A		0	2	2	
RO	RX		N/A			N/A			N/A			N/A		1	1	0	
	NOR		1			N/A			N/A			N/A		1	1	1	
SRO-I	I/C		5,6,8			3,5,8,9			2,5,8			3,5,7,2,4		4	4	2	
SRO-U	MAJ		7			7			6			6		2	2	1	
	TS		N/A			N/A			N/A			N/A		0	2	2	
RO	RX	2			4,7			1				4,3		1	1	0	
	NOR	1,4			1			3				2,1		1	1	1	
SRO-I	I/C	3,5,6,8,9			2,3,5,6,8,9			2,4,5,7,8				2,3,5,7,4,8		4	4	2	
SRO-U	MAJ	7			7			6				6		2	2	1	
	TS	3,7,8,9			7,8			6,7,8				4,8,7		0	2	2	
RO	RX	2	2		4,7	4,7		1	1			4,3 4,3		1	1	0	
	NOR	1,4	4		1	1		3	3			2,1 2,1		1	1	1	
SRO-I	I/C	3,5,6,8,9	3,9		2,3,5,6,8,9	2,6		2,4,5,7,8	4,7			2,3,5,7,4,8 5,8		4	4	2	
SRO-U	MAJ	7	7		7	7		6	6			6 6		2	2	1	
	TS	3,7,8,9	N/A		7,8	N/A		6,7,8	N/A			4,8,7 N/A		0	2	2	

Instructions:

1. Circle the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Author: *Daniel E. Smith*

NRC Reviewer: *[Signature]*

Facility: ANO-1													Date of Examination: 9/12/2005				Operating Test No.: 2005-1			
Competencies	APPLICANTS																			
	RO (ATC)				RO (BOP)				SRO-U											
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO											
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4								
Interpret / Diagnose Events and Conditions	3,4,5,7,8,9	2,3,6,7	2,3,4,6,7	4,5,6,8	1,3,4,5,6,7,8	3,6,8,9	2,3,4,5,6,8	2,4,5,6,7	3,5,6,7	1,2,3,6,7	2,4,5,6,7,8	2,4,5,6								
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	2,3,4,5,6,7,9	1,2,4,5,6,7	1,3,4,5,6,7	1,3,4,5,6,8	1,5,6,7,8	3,4,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,8	2,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8								
Operate Control Boards (2)	2,3,4,6,7,9	1,2,4,5,6,7	1,3,4,5,6,7	1,3,4,5,6,8	1,5,7,9	3,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,8	2,4,5,6,7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
Communicate and Interact	2,3,4,5,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,3,4,5,6,8	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	3,4,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,8	2,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8								
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8								
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7,8,9	2,6,7	6,7	4,6								

Notes:

(1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
 (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
 (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.



Facility: ANO-1		Date of Examination: 9/12/2005				Operating Test No.: 2005-1			
Competencies	APPLICANTS								
	SRO-I				SRO-I (ATC)				
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Interpret / Diagnose Events and Conditions	3,5,6,7	1,2,3,6,7	2,4,5,6,7,8	2,4,5,6		2,3,6,7			
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8		1,2,4,5,6,7			
Operate Control Boards (2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		1,2,4,5,6,7			
Communicate and Interact	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8		1,2,3,4,5,6,7			
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8		N/A			
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	7,8,9	2,6,7	6,7	4,6		N/A			

Notes:

(1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
 (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
 (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: ANO Unit One		Date of Exam: 9/9/2005		Exam Level: RO/SRO		
Item Description				Initials		
				a	b	c
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading			SRP	Rkm	H
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented			SRP	Rkm	H
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)			SRP	Rkm	H
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80% ± 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail			SRP	Rkm	H
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified			SRP	Rkm	H
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants			SRP	Rkm	H
Signature /Printed Name				Date		
a.	Grader <u> / STEVEN R Pullin</u>			<u>9-19-05</u>		
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u> / Randal K. Martin</u>			<u>9-19-05</u>		
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (*) <u> M. Hare</u>			<u>9/21/05</u>		
d.	NRC Supervisor (*) <u> RYAN UNIZ</u>			<u>9/21/05</u>		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.						

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 08/01/2005 To 09/30/2005

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
07/25/2005	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / 2005302 TAC #: X02287	RO - 8 SROU - 4	Prep	FFF	HAIRE, MARK S.	HAIRE, MARK S.
08/22/2005	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / 2005302 TAC #: X02287		Prep	FFF	HAIRE, MARK S.	DRAKE, JAMES F. HAIRE, MARK S.
09/12/2005	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / 2005302 TAC #: X02287	RO - 8 SROU - 4	Admin	FFF	HAIRE, MARK S.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. DRAKE, JAMES F. HAIRE, MARK S.
09/19/2005	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / 2005302 TAC #: X02287		Doc	FFF	HAIRE, MARK S.	JOHNSTON, GARY W. CLAYTON, KELLY D. DRAKE, JAMES F. HAIRE, MARK S. JOHNSTON, GARY W.

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of September 9th and 12th 2005 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of September 9th and 12th 2005. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. John W. Cork	Instructor/Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	02/22/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-16-05
2. Steven R. Pullin	Instructor/Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	02/22/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-16-05
3. Daniel E. Smith	Instructor/Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	03/2/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-16-05
4. Randall K. Martin	OPS Insp Supv/Facility Rep	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/10/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-16-05
5. JONATHAN GUNDERMAN	CONTROL ROOM OPERATOR/Exam Reviewer	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/9/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-16-05
6. Robert Passage	CRS/Exam Reviewer	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/7/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/21/05
7. Bill Cagle	TRNG INST/Exam Reviewer	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/8/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/19/05
8. Spike Hill	Control Room Operator Validation	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/22/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/19/05
9. MIKE STANTVEIT	CRS/Validation	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/14/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-19-05
10. Scott Cupp	Simulator Support Supv	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/24/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-19-05
11. Jimmy Moody	Computer Support Tech	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/22/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-19-05
12. AARON ADAMS	Sim Support	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/22/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-19-05
13. William B. Johnson	RO/Validation	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/22/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-19-05
14. Darrell W. Jones	Computer Support Tech	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/22/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-19-05
15. FRED VERAGNANT	Simulator	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/23/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-19-05
16. SHARON KRAUS	Sim Pm. Spec.	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/30/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-19-05

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of September 9th and 12th 2005 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of September 9th and 12th 2005. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
17	Danny A. Cincinbello	Training Room Instructor	Danny Cincinbello	9/12/05	Danny Cincinbello	9/14/05
18	ROBERT VIRIEN	SYSTEM SOFT SPEC	Robert Virien	9/12/05	Robert Virien	9/19/05
19	Jessie Hickey	Computer Support	Jessie Hickey	9/13/05	Jessie Hickey	9/19/05
20	Nolan Edwards	Training Instructor	Nolan Edwards	9/12/05	Nolan Edwards	9/19/05
21	Lynelle D. Bass	085-2 Training	Lynelle D. Bass	9/13/05	Lynelle D. Bass	9/19/05
22	Michael F. Blanchard	Simulator instructor	Michael F. Blanchard	9/12/05	Michael F. Blanchard	9-20-05
23	Robert Byford	Sim Trig Super	Robert Byford	9/14/05	Robert Byford	9-11-05
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						

NOTES: