

SDP/EA REQUEST & STRATEGY FORM

**Case Data** Disputed:  Related Cases: EA-2004-065

SDP/EA No.: 2004-064 Number: 1 Docket No.: 40-3392

Request Date: 3/24/04 Region: II Case Type: UF Small Entity:  No  Yes

Licensee: Honeywell International, Inc. Facility / City: Metropolis, IL

License No.: SUB-526 Last Day of Insp.: 2/19/04

Insp. Rpt No.: 2004-003 Keywords: 040000, 080000 ES: gkm

**Facts (EATS):** On 12/22/03, the licensee had declared a SAE in response to a potential offsite release of what was later determined to be U F<sub>6</sub>. Based on the results of an AIT and a subsequent follow-up inspection, the licensee failed to develop procedures to simultaneously operate two fluorinators. The inadvertent offsite release resulted from an inappropriate valve line-up which compromised the systems' capability to contain U F<sub>6</sub> in an over pressurization condition. Section 2.6, Chapter 2 of the License Application states that plant operations are to be conducted in accordance with written Standard Operating Procedure Manuals. The failure to develop or have procedures for the evolution that resulted in the offsite release was determined to be in violation of the License Application.

Discussion (if required):

**SDP**  No  Yes

Assessment:  Green  White  Yellow  Red NOV  Yes  No

**Wrongdoing**  No  Yes

OI Ref. OI Rpt. OI Rpt.

DOJ Referral?  No  Yes Ref. Date Action Date  Decline  Accept

Additional OI  OI Investigating  OI needs to be notified  OI/OE dispute memo needed

Additional coordination needed  Awaiting DOJ  Needs coordination with DOJ

**Escalated Action**

Consequence:  Actual  Potential  Reg. Impact  Willfulness

Prior Esc. Action?  No  Yes EA: Date:

ID Credit?  No  Yes  TBD SL: III

CA Credit?  No  Yes  TBD Supp: VI

CP?  No CP  Base  Double Base  Other.

**Discretion or Order?**  No  Yes Explain:

**Future Action**

Conference?  No  Yes  Ope  Close Additional

Action?  No Violation  Re-panel  PEC Letter  Choice Letter  Choice Call  SL IV NOV  Re-caucus

Region Issue Esc. Action  Full Package Review by HQ  DEDR Review  Commission  Disagreement  NCV

Other Action?

Participants: Region S.Sparks, C.Evans, D.Collins, M.Crespo, J.Henson

OE J.Luehman, G.Morell OGCOI

Program Office R.Nelson, M.Raddatz Other

Remarks/Comments/Lessons Learned:

Based on the licensee's agreement in regards to CAL 2-2003-003, a licensee meeting held at HQs on 2/11/04 in which the licensee explained their investigation and corrective actions of the event in question, and a RII reinspection on 2/17-19, the panel concluded that the licensee has undertaken an adequate investigation to determine the root cause of the event and has taken what appears to be adequate corrective action.

Approved, Dir. OE: /RA/ C. Nolan  
Date: 3/30/04

JH

~~NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE WITHOUT APPROVAL OF THE DIRECTOR, OF~~

In accordance with the Policy, the licensee will be given credit for corrective action which will result in a SLIII violation with no CP (Supplement VI C.11 a). Additionally, the panel concluded that based on the aforementioned information, a Choice Call would be appropriate.