

From: Marc Ferdas \ *MF*
To: Glenn Meyer
Date: 2/17/04 4:35PM
Subject: Re: Allegation Followup Activities - Hope Creek

I have a question on that issue (proper risk assessment). Last week I noticed that the weekly risk assessment assumed 1 day of work. However, the additional work and some other delay resulted in a work window of approx 5 days. It seems that no revision was made to the risk assessment.

More to follow.

>>> Glenn Meyer 02/17/04 01:41PM >>>
Marc - Good review and writeup; I saved to G:\Br.3\Allegation SCWE\chiller job review.wpd.

The writeup seems to suggest that generally, improper processing could result in an inadequate risk assessment. The review does not seem to substantiate anything similar - is my reading correct? If there was some effect \ inadequacy, a finding on the MRule is possible.

Glenn

>>> Marc Ferdas 02/12/04 03:54PM >>>
Glenn,
I completed the allegation followup concerning the in-progress maintenance work on the A control room chiller (AK400). Please see attached file.

If you have any questions please let me know.

CC: Mel Gray

674
F

~~AA~~

Mail Envelope Properties (4032892E.747 : 22 : 10166)

Subject: Re: Allegation Followup Activities - Hope Creek
Creation Date: 2/17/04 4:35PM
From: Marc Ferdas

Created By: MSF2@nrc.gov

Recipients

kp1_po.KP_DO
GWM (Glenn Meyer)
MXG3 CC (Mel Gray)

Post Office

kp1_po.KP_DO

Route

Files

MESSAGE

Size

1962

Date & Time

02/17/04 04:35PM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

AA