

-

Entergy Operations, Inc. 17265 River Road Killona, LA 70066 Tel 504 739 6650

10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B)

W3F1-2005-0054

September 5, 2005

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Licensee Event Report 2005-003-00 Waterford 3 SES Docket No. 50-382 License No. NPF-38

Gentlemen:

Attached is Licensee Event Report (LER) 2005-003-00 for Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit 3. This report documents a reportable condition due to the Technical Specification minimum volume requirements for DG Fuel Oil Storage Tank B not being met for 19 days because of bent transmitter tubing which caused a false high level reading. This condition is being reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition prohibited by the Technical Specification.

There are no commitments contained in this submittal. If you have any questions, please contact Charles DeDeaux at (504) 739-6531.

Very truly yours,

for

Robert J. Murillo Licensing Manager, Acting

RJM/CED/cbh

JE22

Attachment

W3F1-2005-0054 Page 2

•

.

cc: Mr. Bruce S. Mallett Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-8064

> NRC Senior Resident Inspector Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit 3 P.O. Box 822 Killona, LA 70066-0751

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Mr. N. Kalyanam Mail Stop O-07 D1 Washington, DC 20555-0001

Wise, Carter, Child & Caraway ATTN: J. Smith P.O. Box 651 Jackson, MS 39205

Winston & Strawn ATTN: N.S. Reynolds 1700 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-3817

Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality Office of Environmental Compliance (REP&R) Unit Emergency and Radiological Services Division P.O. Box 4312 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4312

American Nuclear Insurers 95 Glastonbury Blvd – Suite 300 Glastonbury, CT 06033-4443

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP ATTN: T.C. Poindexter 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

R.K. West, lerevents@inpo.org - INPO Records Center

	_								_						_			
NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION																		
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may										
digits/characters for each block)								not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.										
1. FACILITY NAME Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit No. 3							2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE 05000-382 1 OF 5											
4. TITLE TS Minimum Volume Requirement in DG Fuel Oil Storage Tank B Not Met Due to Bent Transmitter Tubing																		
5. EVENT DATE 6. LER NUMBER 7. REPORT DATE										ILITIES INV	-		·9					
MONTH			YEAR	SEQUENTI	<u> </u>	MONTH	DAY	YEAI		acility i I/A				DOCK	ET NUM			
07	5	2005		- 003			5	200	15 N	acility V/A	NAME		DOCKET NU		0500	00		
9. OPER	9. OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR§: (Check all that apply)																	
1			□ 20.2201(b) □ 20.2201(d) □ 20.2203(a)(1) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(i)				 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) 20.2203(a)(4) 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) 			□ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C) □ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) □ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) □ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)			 50.73(a)(2)(vii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) 					
10. POWER LEVEL			20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 20.2203(a)(2)(v)				50.36(c)(2)				50.73(a) 50.73(a)	(2)(v)(A) (2)(v)(B) (2)(v)(C)	☐ 73. ☐ 73. ☐ 0T Spe	73(a)(2) 71(a)(4) 71(a)(5) HER HER NRC Fo	stract b	elow A		
						12. LICEN	SEE CON	TACT	FOR	THIS L	ER							
FACILITY NAME Charles E. DeDeaux, Sr.						TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area 504-739-6531						e Area C	Code)					
			13. COM	APLETE OF	E LINE	FOR EAC	H COMPC	NENT	FAIL	URE D	ESCRIB	ED IN THIS I	REPORT					
CAUSE		SYSTEM	SYSTEM	SYSTEM	SYSTEM	СОМРС		ANU-		RTABLE EPIX		CAUS	SE SYSTEM	COMPONENT	T MANU- FACTURE		REPORTABLE TO EPIX	
													<u> </u>					
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED									XPECTED MISSION	MONTH	DAY	·	YEAR					
YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DAT				SION DATE	5)	۵		NO SUBMISSI										
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines)																		
On July 5, 2005, with Waterford 3 (W3) in Mode 1, it was determined that Waterford 3 operated in a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications (TS). TS 3.8.1.1.b.2 requires a minimum volume of 39,300																		
													uel oil vol					
39,3	300 ga	illons a	nd gre	ater thar	37,00)0 galloi	ns (92.3	%) o	f fue	el for	a perio	d not to e	exceed 5	days p	orovio	ded		

replacement fuel is onsite within 48 hours. Contrary to TS 3.8.1.1.b.2, W3 operated with DG FOST B at 96.8% level (≈38,800 gallons) from May 28, 2005, when W3 entered Mode 4 following Refuel 13 to June 16, 2005, when fuel was added to the DG FOST B. Therefore, W3 was not in compliance with TS Action b of TS 3.8.1.1 which requires restoration within 72 hours.

The cause of this event was inadequate venting of trapped air in the level transmitter process tubing due to the tubing being damaged (bent) during a previous DG B maintenance outage between February 28, 2005 and March 6, 2005. This condition did not compromise the health and safety of the public or plant personnel. Only one DG is required to safely shutdown the plant and the DG FOSTs can be cross-tied. Also, fuel oil is readily available in the near vicinity of Waterford 3. This condition is not considered a Safety System functional failure.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSI									
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)									
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBE	LER NUMBER					
Waterford Steam Electric Station		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER					
Unit No. 3	05000-382	2005	003	000	2	OF	5		
17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional co REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE		·							
On June 15, 2005 at 1359, EGFILI6994- and the diesel oil storage tank level indic determined this condition existed since M each DG FOST [DC] to contain a minimu 39,300 gallons and > 37,000 gallons (92 replacement fuel oil is onsite within the fi with, the TS Action (Action b) with one E remaining AC circuits be demonstrated of DG to be restored to Operable status with available), or for the plant to be in Hot St the following 30 hours. Contrary to these added to DG FOST B on June 16, 2005.	ation for DG May 14, 2005 Im volume of .3%) of fuel f irst 48 hours. DG inoperab operable with hin 72 hours andby within e requiremen	FOST B . Waterfor 39,300 g or a perior If this TS le is ente in 1 hour (10 days the next	dropped fro ord 3 (W3) ⁻ gallons (97.9 od not to exe S requireme red. The A and every 8 if a tempor 6 hours and	m 98.3% f FS 3.8.1.1 9%) or a fu ceed 5 day ent cannot ction requi 8 hours the ary DG is d in Cold S	to 96.8 b.2 re uel oil /s pro be co ires th ereafte Shutdo	8%. It equires volum vided omplied er, and er, and ed own wi	was s e < d d the thin		
Although Waterford 3 operated with the tag 2005 to June 16, 2005, violation of the T 14, 2005 to May 28, 2005 at 0505 Water the TS limit for DG FOST volume in Mode those when the plant is in Mode 1-4, exc A was operable and able to be cross core	S occurred for ford 3 operation les 5 and 6. cept only one	rom May ted in Mo The volu train is re	28, 2005 to des 5 and 6 me requirer equired. Du	June 16, 3 5. TS 3.8.1 nents are 1	2005. 1.2.b.: the sa	From 2 conta ame as	i May ains S		
Therefore, Waterford 3 operated in a condition that was prohibited by the Technical Specifications from May 28, 2005 to June 16, 2005 and this condition is being reported in accordance with the 60-day written reporting requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).									
INITIAL CONDITIONS									
At the time of discovery of this condition procedures being implemented specific Limiting Condition of Operation specific service specific to this condition.	to this condit	ion. The	re were no '	Technical	Speci	ficatio			
EVENT DESCRIPTION									
On June 15, 2005 at 1359, PMI vented and the DG FOST B level indication dro level in DG FOST B was below TS 3.8.1	pped from 98	3.3% to 9	6.8%. Whe	en it was d	iscove				

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)

.

•

.

ł

NRC FORM 366A **U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION** (1-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) 2. DOCKET **1. FACILITY NAME** 6. LER NUMBER 3. PAGE SEQUENTIAL REVISION Waterford Steam Electric Station YEAR NUMBER NUMBER Unit No. 3 05000-382 3 5 2005 003 ---000 ---OF 17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) **EVENT DESCRIPTION** (continued) 39,300 gallons/97.9%), but still in compliance with TS 3.8.1.1.b.2.b (allows DG FOST volume to be between 39,300 gallons/97.9% and 37,000 gallons/92.3% for up to 5-days provided fuel oil is onsite within 48 hours). New fuel was added to DG FOST B on 6/16/05 which was within the 48 hour TS requirement. Therefore, no TS entry was immediately entered. A condition report was written on June 15, 2005 to document the condition of the level drop and a past operability determination was completed on June 30, 2005. The past operability determination identified that level in DG FOST B was at 96.8% from May 14, 2005 to June 16, 2005. At this level DG FOST B level was not maintained above the level required to meet the 7 day time dependent load requirement of TS and although level in the tank was above the 5 day full load limit defined by the TS, W3 failed to have fuel available onsite to refill the tank until June 16, 2005. However, based on entries in the TS daily logs and the station logs, it was determined that Waterford 3 was in noncompliance with the TS on May 28 2005 at 0505 when Mode 4 was entered following Refuel 13. From May 14, 2005 to May 28, 2005 before 0505, W3 was in Mode 5 or 6 and met the requirements of TS 3.8.1.2. TS 3.8.1.2 contains the same volume requirements in the DG FOSTs [DC], but only requires one DG to be operable. The DG FOSTs are cross connected with redundant valves, which allow the volume in both DG FOST to be available for the one required DG. Therefore, from May 14, 2005 to May 28, 2005 before 0505, W3 was in compliance with the TS. The cause of the level drop following venting was due to trapped air in the process tubing. Air was trapped in the process tubing, because the tubing was bent during the DG B maintenance outage

trapped in the process tubing, because the tubing was due to trapped an in the process tubing. An was which was conducted from February 28, 2005 through March 6, 2005. The past operability evaluation determined the DG FOST B volume was within TS limits from March 6, 2005 to May 14, 2005.

CAUSAL FACTORS

The DG FOST B level was reading erroneously high due to trapped air in the tubing for the level transmitter. The method for venting the DG FOST B level transmitter was not effective because of equipment damage that occurred during the DG B Maintenance Outage, which took place between February 28, 2005 and March 6, 2005. During this outage the DG FOST B was drained and cleaned, and a modification was performed to the fuel oil supply lines. These activities required an extensive amount of personnel and equipment to work in a cramped space in the immediate vicinity of the process tubing associated with the transmitter.

NRC FORM 366A 1-2001)			U.S. NUC	LEAR REGUL	ATORY	COMMIS	SSION		
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)									
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER	2	 	3. PAGE			
Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit No. 3	05000-382	<u>YEAR</u>	sequential number 003	REVISION NUMBER	4	OF	5		
17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional co					L				
CAUSAL FACTORS (continued)									
The normal proceduralized venting proce equipment damage resulted in two high p the two high points contained fuel. When escaped and when fuel from the lower po assuming all the air had escaped and en recognize the effect the bent tubing had o CORRECTIVE ACTIONS	points. The n in the tubing v prtion of the t trapping air f	niddle of t vas vente ube bega rom the o	the tubing (i d, air from t n to escape pposite hig	i.e. low po the closes the tube	rtion) t high vent v	betwe point was cle	en osed		
The following corrective actions were ide process.	entified and h	ave been	addressed	in the cor	rective	e actic	n		
 The process tubing to the transmitter was vented to ensure that all air is removed. The damaged tubing on transmitter will be restored to an acceptable configuration per plant drawings. The aspects and history associated with this condition were discussed with the maintenance technicians. The aspects of this condition were added to training to emphasize the importance of situational awareness when working around sensitive equipment. The requirements to vent the DG FOST level transmitters were specified in plant procedures to ensure it is understood in the rule based space. 									
SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE									
 The safety significance of the DG B FOS reasons. Only one operating DG is require DG B was still available; it was co The DG FOSTs are cross-tied with The probability of not getting offsition The probability of not getting add Fuel Oil is readily available in the 	d to safely sh onsidered ino th redundant ite power res itional fuel oil	nutdown V perable d valves. tored in 7 onsite in	Vaterford 3. lue to low fu days is ver 7 days is v	, ıel oil leve y low.			-		

. . . .

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)

. . .

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE			
Waterford Steam Electric Station		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Unit No. 3	05000-382	2005	003	000	5	OF	5

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

SIMILAR EVENTS

There were no previous reportable events identified that involved tank levels not meeting TS requirements due to instrumentation problems.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text within brackets [].