

From: Brent Clayton  
 To: JimH; Ken; OAC3  
 Date: 5/14/04 11:05AM  
 Subject: Fwd: Point Beach Concern

New allegation sent by Mike Morris.

Information in this record was deleted  
 in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
 Act, exemptions 7C  
 FOIA 2004-0282

From: R. Michael Morris  
 To: Clayton, Brent  
 Date: 5/14/04 11:03AM  
 Subject: Point Beach Concern

Attached is the information I received today for a member of the Point Beach staff.

R. Michael Morris

ALLEGATION RECEIPT FORM	
Please email the following information to OAC3, JKH, and ALK	
Received By: R. Michael Morris	Receipt Date: May 14, 2004
Receipt Method (meeting, phone call, letter)	Meeting
FACILITY	
Facility Name	Point Beach Nuclear Plant
Location	Two Rivers, WI.
Docket(s)	266/301
CONCERN: Records of conversations for receipt of allegations should contain the following information as a minimum. Obtain as many concern specifics as possible.	
<p><b>1. What is the concern?</b></p> <p>The CI reported that the hours that the supervisors and maintenance crew are working are beyond the 72 hours allowed. The CI indicated that the supervisors and crews in mechanical and electrical maintenance have been charging excessive hours to turnover to avoid having to get a waiver and write a CAP documenting the actual hours worked. The CI is concerned that the workforce is becoming tired enough that they are making mistakes. The CI also stated that s/he came to the NRC only because his concern for safe work practices was greater than his concern about being fired for talking to the NRC. The CI stated that the hours on the supervisor time sheets and the time they arrive and leave through the security gates will not match. The CI was afraid to go to management and the ECP coordinator because s/he believes that people who raise concerns are marked for termination. s/he stated that [redacted] was an example in that he was fired because of the hot leg vent incident but had been marked for raising concerns about the dry storage casts while at Palisades earlier.</p>	
<p><b>2. When did the concern occur?</b></p> <p>The abuse of hours has been going on since September 2003. The chilling environment has been going on since November of 2003.</p>	
<p><b>3. Is this an ongoing concern?</b></p>	

7C

BB-2

This is currently a safety concern because of the continuation of the outage.

**4. Who was involved?**

The CI stated his concern for the mechanical and electrical maintenance groups, but it is going on throughout the site.

**5. Were there any witnesses?**

[redacted] have expressed the same concerns to the CI.

**6. What is the potential safety impact?**

This could be a chilling environment and a safety impact from mistakes by tired workers.

**7. Ask the CI what requirement/regulation does the individual believe governs this concern? (If the CI does not have this information, please document this response. If the CI does not provide this information and the individual receiving the allegation can obtain the information within the 3 day deadline for forwarding the information to EICS, the information should be provided by the NRC staff member)**

The response to GL 82-12

**8. Ask the CI what records should the NRC review?**

The CI indicated that the NRC should review the time cards for the crews and the reported time for the supervisors and other exempt personnel against the times people arrived and left the site. Also review the hours charged to turnover.

**9. Ask the CI what other individuals could the NRC contact for information?**

[redacted] and any of the electrical and mechanical maintenance personnel.

**10. How did the individual find out about the concern?**

The CI is part of the group.

**11. Was the concern brought to management's attention? If so, what actions have been taken; if not, why not?**

Yes, there has been no action by management. Reason is unknown.

**12. Was a condition report (or other corrective action document) initiated in response to the issue? If so, what was the resolution?**

No a CAP has not been written. CI is now afraid that s/he will be marked for termination.

**13. Is the individual satisfied with the licensee's response? If not, why?**

No, people are making mistakes because they are tired and hours have not been reduced.

**14. If the licensee has not responded, does the individual wish to wait on the licensee's response before NRC pursues the issue? If not, why? No, the CI does not believe there will be anymore response from management.**

**16. What does the individual believe NRC should do in regard to this concern?**

Verify the hours worked against the hours reported and evaluate the chilling environment.

**ALLEGOR INFORMATION**

Full Name	xxxxxxxxxx	Employer	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
Mailing Address (Home)	xxxxxxxxxxxxxx xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	Occupation	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
Telephone	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	Relationship to facility	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
Preference for method and time of contact	Call home in the evening	Was the individual advised of limitations on identity protection	Yes

7C  
7C

**Referral** Explain that if the concerns are referred to the licensee, that the NRC will review and evaluate the thoroughness and adequacy of the licensee's response. If the concerns are an agreement state issue or the jurisdiction of another agency, explain that we will refer the concern to the appropriate agency. If the CI agrees, we will provide the CI's identity for followup by the agreement state or other agency.

Does the individual object to referral?	YES	Does the individual object to releasing their identity?	YES
If the issue involves another agency, does the individual object to referral to the agency and release of identity to that agency?	NO	Was the individual informed that objecting to referral to another agency might impact review of the concern?	YES

**Discrimination** Regulations prohibit NRC licensees (including contractors and subcontractors) from discriminating against individuals who engage in protected activities (alleging violations of regulatory requirements, refusing to engage in practices made unlawful by statutes, etc.).

1. Does the concern involve discrimination? If so, was the CI informed that identity will be released during an investigation?	NO	2. Was the individual advised of the DOL process and the 180 day restriction on filing?	YES
--	----	---	-----

3. What adverse actions have been taken? When?  
N/A

4. Why does the individual believe the actions were taken as a result of engaging in a protected activity?  
N/A

5. What does the individual believe was the protected activity?  
N/A

What safety issues did the individual raise? When? (DOCUMENT ABOVE)

N/A  
Did you contact the NRC about these safety issues. Was/Is your management aware that you informed the NRC?

N/A

**Provide the CI with the OAC contact information (names of OACs) and Rill switchboard number (1-800-522-3025) Explain the allegation process (CI will receive an acknowledgment letter within 30 days and will be advised of NRC's resolution of the issue(s) via letter.)**