g:\alleg\panel\200400070arb.wpd **ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD**

Allegation No.: RI-2004-A-0070
Site/Facility: Salem/Hope Creek
ARB Date: June 3, 2004

Branch Chief (AOC): Holody
Acknowledged: No
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: The alleger noted that the Utilities Service Alliance (USA) performed to evaluate the work environment at Salem and Hope Creek indicated that licensed operators were working a significant amount of undocumented overtime. The alleger asserted that this undocumented overtime could potentially lead to nonconformances with PSEG procedures on the control of overtime. If the overtime abuse was excessive, it could also lead to violations of NRC requirements on the control of overtime.

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Yes

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Blough Branch Chief (AOC) - Barber SAC - Vito, Harrison
OI Rep. - Wilson RI Counsel - Farrar Others - Passarelli

DISPOSITION ACTIONS:

1) Acknowledgment letter

Responsible Person: SAC ECD: 6/23/04
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

2) Refer allegation to licensee. DRP to provide words for Enclosure 1 to referral letter.

Responsible Person: Holody ECD: 6/17/04
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

3) Review information from licensee on referred allegation. If acceptable, draft closeout letter to alleger.

Responsible Person: Holody ECD: 8/2/04
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

4) If licensee response is acceptable, draft closeout letter to alleger. If not, resolve concerns with PSEG.

Responsible Person: Holody ECD: 8/23/04
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: The initial safety significance of the issue appears to be low because the overtime issue was documented in an internal licensee assessment (USA) of the

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:
If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

4 19

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

F

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):
What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement?_____
When did the potential violation occur?_____
(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)
Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)_____
_____
_____

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)