

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Allegation No.: RI-2001-A-0126  
Site/Facility: Hope Creek  
ARB Date: 11/14/2001

Branch Chief (AOC): Barkley (Acting)  
Acknowledged: No  
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: HP practices and personnel exposure concerns regarding outage work by a contractor in the main steam tunnel (among other areas)

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Yes

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - B. Holian Branch Chief(AOC) - Barkley (Acting) SAC - Vito  
OI Rep. - Monroe RI Counsel - Fewell Others - Crlenjak

DISPOSITION ACTIONS:

- 1) Provide an acknowledgement letter to the alleger. Indicate that the allegation is being referred to PSEG for their response due to the nature of his concerns.

Responsible Person: Vito ECD: 12/4/2001  
Closure Documentation: Completed:

- 2) Refer individual's concerns to PSEG for their review and response. With regard to the individual's whole body count records, Rich Barkley will provide the individual's name to PSEG separately via phone. (Before contacting licensee, inform alleger that name will have to be provided to licensee.)

Responsible Person: Barkley ECD: 12/4/2001  
Closure Documentation: Referral letter Completed:

- 3) Review PSEG response for adequacy. Repanel if necessary. If PSEG's response is adequate, then closeout via letter to alleger.

Responsible Person: DRS/Furia ECD: 1/31/2002  
Closure Documentation: Completed:

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: The risk significance of these concerns appears low as there is no indication that the individual received a radiation dose approaching NRC limits. The concerns are also historic in that it involved HP practices, particularly those involving contract employees, during the last Hope Creek refueling outage.

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: N/A

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

406  
F

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? \_\_\_\_\_

When did the potential violation occur? \_\_\_\_\_

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

---

---

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)

**ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB**