ALLEGATION DISPOSITION RECORD Rev. 10/01/96

Allega	tion ivo.: Ki-96-	-A-0000 and	0063	Branch	Jilei (AUC): (C	onte			
Site:	Hope Creek	•		Acknow	ledged: N/A			•	
Panel 1	Date: 5/27/98		Confidentiality Granted: Yes No						
Issue (discussed (if oth	er than origi	nal allegation):	Issuance of op	erator licenses				
Allege	r contacted prior	r to referral 1	to licensee (if a	applicable)? Ye	s No				
ALLEG	SATION PANEL D	DECISIONS (Previous Alleg	ation Panels on	issue: Yes	No)		•	
Attendees: Chair - Wiggins/Hehl			Branch (Chief (AOC) -					
SAC-	Vito/Modes				΄, .				
OI Rep	o R	I Counsel - F	Fewell	Others - Nichol Benson (RII:OI)					
	SITION ACTION and expected close			for closure (incl	uding special c	oncurrence	es), respon	sible person,	
1)	No staff suspected wrongdoing relative to individual applicants based on OI interviews.								
	Responsible Per Closure Docume		Panel		ECD: 5/27/98 Completed:	•			
2)	Allegation RI-98	Allegation RI-98-A-0063 unsubstantiated relative to individual applicants based on OI interviews.							
	Responsible Per Closure Docum		Panel		ECD: 5/27/98 Completed:				
3)	Closeout Memo to File(s) documentation pending RII OI Report of Interview.						•		
	Responsible Per Closure Docum		Claxton/Cont		ECD: TB Completed:	BD			
4)	If OI provides s	s synopsis, provide letter to licensee with copy of OI synopsis.							
	Responsible Per Closure Docum		SAC	•	ECD: TE Completed:	BD			
5)									
	Responsible Pe Closure Docum				ECD: Completed:				
	y Significance A ty of OI Investiga							b 2	
If pot	ential discrimina	ition or wron	gdoing and OI	is not opening	a case, rational	le is:	ſ	M.	

NOTES: (Include rationale for any referral to licensee, and identify any potentially generic allegations)

Issue not to be referred to licensee

- A. Region 1 should refer as many allegations as possible to the licensee for action and response unless any of the following factors apply:
 - Information cannot be released in sufficient detail to the licensee without compromising the identity of the alleger or confidential source (unless the alleger has no objection to his or her name being released).
 - The licensee could compromise an investigation or inspection because of knowledge gained from the referral.
 - The allegation is made against the licensee's management or those parties who would normally receive and address the allegation.
 - The basis of the allegation is information received from a Federal agency that does not approve of the information being released in a referral.

Even if the above conditions exist, Region 1 shall refer the substance of the allegation to the licensee regardless of any factor if the allegation raises an overriding safety issue, using the guidance in Management Directive 8.8.

Factors to Consider Prior to Referral to a Licensee

In determining whether to refer eligible allegations to a licensee, The Region 1 Allegation Panel shall consider the following:

- Could the release of information bring harm to the alleger or confidential source?
- Has the alleger or confidential source voiced objections to the release of the allegation to the licensee?
- What is the licensee's history of allegations against it and past record in dealing with allegations, including the likelihood that the licensee will effectively investigate, document, and resolve the allegation?
- Has the alleger or confidential source already taken this concern to the licensee with unsatisfactory results? If the answer
 is "yes," the concern is within NRC's jurisdiction, and the alleger objects to the referral, the concerns should normally not
 be referred to the licensee.
- Are resources to investigate available within the region?

Prior to referring an allegation to a licensee, all reasonable efforts should be made to inform allegers or confidential sources of the planned referral. This notification may be given orally and subsequently documented in an acknowledgement letter. If the alleger or confidential source objects to the referral, or does not respond within 30 calendar days, and the NRC has considered the factors described above, a referral can be made despite the alleger's or confidential source's objection or lack of response. In all such cases, an attempt will be made to contact the alleger by phone just prior to making the referral.

Also, referrals are not to be made if it could compromise the identity of the alleger, or if it could compromise an inspection or investigation. Note: Document the basis for referring allegations to a licensee in those cases where the criteria listed above indicate that it is questionable whether a referral is appropriate.

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Persons (original to SAC)

Options for Resolution:

Licensee Referral (Div. Dir. Concurrence Required (First Consider Factors Prior to Referral) / Document NRC Review of Response - Resp. - AOC)

Referral to Another Agency (OSHA, etc. - Resp. - SAC)

Referral to an Agreement State (MD, ME, NH, NY, RI - Resp. - SAC)

Referral to Another NRC Office (OIG, NRR, Other Regions - Resp. - SAC)

Request for Additional Info.(From alleger, licensee, others - Resp. - AOC)

Closeout Letter/Memo (If no further action planned - Resp. - AOC)

Inspection (Resident/Specialist routine or reactive)

IF H&ID INVOLVED:

1) has the individual been informed of the DOL		
process and the need to file a complaint within 180 days	Yes	No
(has DOL information package been provided?)		

- 2) has the individual filed a complaint with DOL Yes No
- 3) if the complainant filed directly with DOL, have they been Yes No contacted to obtain their technical concerns (Resp. SAC)
- 4) is a chilling effect letter warranted:

 (DOL finding in favor of alleger)

 (conciliation w/licensee prior to DOL decision)

ADDITIONAL NOTES: