

g:\alleg\panel\20040035ARB2.wpd ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Allegation No.:	RI-2004-A-0035	Branch Chief (AOC):	Eugene W. Cobey			
Site/Facility:	Salem	Acknowledged:	Yes	No	X	N/A
ARB Date:	June 23, 2004	Confidentiality Granted:	Yes	No	X	

Issue Discussed: The allegor indicated that continuing problems with switchgear room ventilation including access to and from the relay rooms could result in high differential pressure across doors that could impact accessibility to safety-related component areas in the event of a fire or other transient/event.

Allegor contacted prior to referral to licensee?	Yes	No	N/A	X
--	-----	----	-----	---

**ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS:**

**Attendees:**

Chair:	Blough	Br. Chief (AOC):	Cobey	SAC:	Vito, Harrison
OI Rep:	Wilson	RI Counsel:	Farrar	Others:	Passarelli, Barber, Collins, Clifford

**Disposition Actions:**

(List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

1. DRP Branch 3 concluded that PSEG's response to referral was acceptable.

Responsible Person:	PB3	ECD:	
Closure Documentation:		Completed:	06/22/2004

2. DRP Branch 3 to draft Enclosure for close out letter. Decide the appropriate enforcement decision and, if we determine that a minor violation is involved, inform licensee verbally. Document for allegation file.

Responsible Person:	PB3	ECD:	07/08/2004
Closure Documentation:		Completed:	

3. Closeout letter

Responsible Person:	SAC	ECD:	07/15/2004
Closure Documentation:		Completed:	

**SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT**

Provide narrative assessment of safety significance; should be commensurate with estimated action plan completion dates.

The initial safety significance of this issue is low since no specific door or system inadequacy was listed as being affected and because of the low probability of an event that would require timely access to various plant compartments.

**PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION**

High	Normal	Low
------	--------	-----

212  
F...

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g. no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):	
Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):	
<b>ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION</b> (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):	
What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement?	
When did the potential violation occur?	
(Assign action to determine date, if unknown) Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.	
<b>NOTES:</b> (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)	

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)

**ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB**