

g:\alleg\panel\20040020arb3.wpd ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Allegation No.:	RI-2004-A-0020	Branch Chief (AOC):	Eugene Cobey			
Site/Facility:	Salem/Hope Creek	Acknowledged:	Yes	X	No	N/A
ARB Date:	July 8, 2004	Confidentiality Granted:	Yes		No	X

Issue Discussed:

- The Salem/Hope Creek notification process incorrectly codes corrective maintenance and exceeds corrective maintenance/preventative maintenance periods. This affects safety related equipment and tech spec requirements. The same issue could improperly influence equipment reliability decisions.
- Salem had a valve with a boron leak from the packing gland area that may not have been resolved timely manner after it was first identified in 2002.
- The failure to code and process notifications properly may discourage people from identifying concerns.

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee?	Yes	No	N/A	X
--	-----	----	-----	---

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS:

Attendees:

Chair:	Blough	Br. Chief (AOC):	Barber	SAC:	Harrison
Ol Rep:	Wilson	RI Counsel:	Ford	Others:	Passarelli

Disposition Actions:

(List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

1.	Spoke to alleger to clarify specific concerns.				
Responsible Person:	PB3		ECD:		
Closure Documentation:			Completed:	06/24/04	
2.	Status letter to alleger documenting the additional specific information obtained during 6/24/04 discussion with the alleger. DRP/RPB3 to provide words for Enclosure 1 to status letter.				
Responsible Person:	SAC		ECD:	7/24/04	
Closure Documentation:			Completed:		
3.	Verbally refer to the licensee the information obtained from the alleger (by 7/9/04), and inform the licensee that the NRC will be requesting a supplement to their 5/21/04 response to the original referral within 14 days (to meet timeliness goals). Followup with written referral by 7/16/04. DRP/RPB3 to provide words for Enclosure 1 to referral.				
Responsible Person:	Cobey		ECD:	07/16/04	
Closure Documentation:			Completed:		

Complete
7/9/04
by Barber
with his
e-mail

4. If supplemental information is acceptable, close file. Otherwise, repanel.
Responsible Person: Cobey ECD:7/30/04

F-253

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT

Provide narrative assessment of safety significance; should be commensurate with estimated action plan completion dates.

The initial safety significance of the issue is low to moderate because PSEG is aware of them and has taken some action to address them the effectiveness of which has yet to be determined. Many of these issues are related to the SCWE which is part of an ongoing review by NRC.

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION

High		Normal		Low	
------	--	--------	--	-----	--

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g. no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION

(only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement?

When did the potential violation occur?

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB