

Release All  
RT

**From:** Anne Passarelli  
**To:** R1Allegation  
**Date:** 8/4/04 4:08PM  
**Subject:** info about phone call re: 2004-0020  
**Place:** R1Allegation

I just called Jeff Keenan about the followup technical concern - even though the valve may not be degraded by the boric acid leak, are there surrounding components which could be affected by the dripping boric acid?

I told him either a phone call or fax would be fine for response. He stated he thought it was a good question, and though it may not be an option to have somebody go look at it now (containment) he'd see what he could find out was done previously by the ISI visual inspectors. He said he'd look for any pictures or see if a drain catch may have been installed...those sorts of things.

I'll wait on the return call to see about what to do next...Day 180 on this one is 09/04/2004.

Anne

247  
P

**From:** Anne Passarelli *RT*  
**To:** Christopher Cahill  
**Date:** 8/4/04 5:01PM  
**Subject:** Response from PSEG reps

Ferraro and Keenan, the two investigators who wrote the response, called me around 4:45pm to discuss the followup question.

This is what they said:

The 12 CV 311 valve is normally pressurized, and the leakage is like a fine "wispy mist" that originates from the valve packing area. The water immediately evaporates, leaving the boric acid residue, which has been dry when examined. The ISI visual examiners are required by the BACM program to look over the surrounding area to see if carbon steel components around the valve are affected and this was done for 12 CV 311.

Those are all the details I got over the phone... (no pictures or documents) It seems okay to me, would you have asked anything else?

From: Christopher Cahill *CT*  
To: Anne Passarelli  
Date: 8/5/04 11:28AM  
Subject: Re: Response from PSEG reps

This emms more complete. I don't have any other comments.

>>> Anne Passarelli 08/04/04 05:01PM >>>

Ferraro and Keenan, the two investigators who wrote the response, called me around 4:45pm to discuss the followup question.

This is what they said:

The 12 CV 311 valve is normally pressurized, and the leakage is like a fine "wispy mist" that originates from the valve packing area. The water immediately evaporates, leaving the boric acid residue, which has been dry when examined. The ISI visual examiners are required by the BACM program to look over the surrounding area to see if carbon steel components around the valve are affected and this was done for 12 CV 311.

Those are all the details I got over the phone... (no pictures or documents) It seems okay to me, would you have asked anything else?