g:\alleg\panel\20030138arb.wpd ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Allegation No.: RI-2003-A-0138 Site/Facility: <u>Hope Creek</u> ARB Date: <u>10/30/03</u> Branch Chief (AOC): <u>Meyer</u> Acknowledged: No Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed:-- An alleger raised a number of issues related to nuclear equipment operator (NEO) performance at Hope Creek. Technical issues raised by the allegation included inappropriate conduct during non-licensed operator exams, inappropriate completion of on-the-job tasks and evaluations, tagout problems, an inaccurate report for the cause of a mechanical vacuum pump trip, questionable practices when recording EDG surveillance readings, procedural compliance issues when adding hydrogen to the main generator, lax practices when addressing low pump oil levels, and a number of cultural issues.

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? \_ Yes\_

**ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS** 

Attendees: Chair - Holian Branch Chief (AOC) - Barber (Act) SAC - Vito OI Rep. - Teator RI Counsel - Farrar Others - Lanning

## **DISPOSITION ACTIONS:**

1. Acknowledgment letter

Responsible Person: <u>SAC/AC</u> Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_ ECD: <u>11/21/03</u> Completed:

2. Review all issues to determine which may involve potential wrongdoing and which may be referred to the licensee. Review will also determine whether any additional issues should be added to the allegation based on interview with the alleger on 10/29/2003.

Responsible Person: <u>Meyer/OI/Conte</u> Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_ ECD: <u>11/12/03</u> Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Review hydrogen and nitrogen valve lineups and obtain a copy of the union by-laws.

Responsible Person: <u>Meyer</u> Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_ ECD: <u>11/12/03</u> Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Repanel

Responsible Person: <u>SAC</u> Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_ ECD: <u>11/12/2003</u> Completed:\_\_\_\_\_

<u>SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT</u>: The risk significance of this concern could be moderate to high because of examination conduct issues appear to be pervasive

## **PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:**

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing): Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

F-160

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ): What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement?\_\_\_\_\_

When did the potential violation occur?\_\_\_\_

ેમ્

**1** 1

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)