g:\alleg\panel\20030138arb2.wpdALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer

Allegation No.: RI-2002-A-0138

	cility: Hope Creek ate: 11/12/03	Acknowledged: No Confidentiality Granted: No
(NEO) inappro job tasl vacuun proced	discussed: An alleger raised a number of issues performance at Hope Creek. Technical issues raise opriate conduct during non-licensed operator examples and evaluations, tagout problems, an inaccurate in pump trip, questionable practices when recording fural compliance issues when adding hydrogen to the sing low pump oil levels, and a number of cultural is	ed by the allegation included s, inappropriate completion of on-the- report for the cause of a mechanical EDG surveillance readings, ne main generator, lax practices wher
Alleger	contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? <u>N/A</u>
ALLEG	SATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS	
	lees: Chair - <u>Crlenjak</u> Branch Chief (AOC) - <u>Bar</u> b <u>Anderson</u> RI Counsel - <u>Farrar</u> Others - <u>Lev</u>	
DISPO	SITION ACTIONS:	
1.	Review all issues and consider whether there are vechnical issues that do not warrant a wrongdoing provide words for Enclosure 1 to referral letter.	
	Responsible Person: Meyer Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>11/13/03</u> Completed:
2. Investigate wrongdoing issues (alleged cheating and document falsification)(1-200).		nd document falsification)(1-2003-
U	Responsible Person: Ol Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>TBD</u> Completed:
3.	Review licensee response to technical issues.	
	Responsible Person: Meyer Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>12/26/03</u> Completed:
	TY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: The risk significate to high because of examination conduct issues	
If pote (e.g., r	RITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: Normal ntial discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not open open prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrong deale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL cases)	oing):

150-

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ): What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? When did the potential violation occur?		
(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)		
Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.		
NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)		
<u>Distribution:</u> Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)		