## g:\alleg\panel\20020137arb2.wpd ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD REVISED ARB FORM - 12/3/02

	tion No.: RI-2002-A-0137 acility: <u>Salem</u>	Branch Chief (AOC): <u>Meyer</u> Acknowledged: <b>Yes</b>
	pate: 11/27/2002	Confidentiality Granted: No
Issue discussed: Alleger contends that bolting being installed (Hilti bolts) to support a modification in which cable trays are being covered with fire wrap material, will not hold up the cable trays due to added weight of the fire wrap material. Alleger forwarded new information on the technical issues on November 12, 2002		
be sus	r contends he was harassed for raising this concerr pended by NPS, and that this will negatively affect is in the near future. Alleger also indicated that he his concern.	his ability to get work at other nuclear
Allege	r contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable	e)? <u>Yes</u>
ALLE	GATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS	
	dees: Chair <u>- Holian</u> Branch Chief (AOC) p. <u>- Wilson</u> RI Counsel <u>- Fewell</u> Others <u>- C</u>	
<u>DISPOSITION ACTIONS</u> : (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)		
1)	Review additional information provided by alleger telephone contact on November 26, 2002, to detereferral letter enclosure.	
	Responsible Person: <u>Meyer/Lew</u> Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>12/18/2002</u> Completed:
2)	OI/DRS to document 11/26/02 phone call.	
	Responsible Person: Wilson/Lew/Chaudary Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>12/6/2002</u> Completed:
3)	Await licensee response	·
	Responsible Person: Meyer Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>1/17/2003</u> Completed:
4)	Review licensee response	
	Responsible Person: Meyer/Lew Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>1/31/2003</u> Completed:
SAFE	TY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: The risk signif	icance of this concern appears low since

(,

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

additional bolting could be added at a later date, if needed.

## **PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:**

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):
Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

Alleger asserts that some of the reprimands he receive were justified because of a self admitted absentee problem, while the other reprimand are based on an overly critical subjective judgement of his workmanship on the job by his supervisor.

<u>ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing</u>
matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):
What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement?
When did the potential violation occur?
(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)
Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB
at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

<u>Distribution:</u> Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)