

From: A. Randolph Blough *RT*
To: Daniel Holody; Eugene Cobey
Date: 6/22/04 12:52PM
Subject: Re: Fwd: Same disease warrants same cure

ok w/ me to shoot for one response covering both. good thinking. let's still create a R1 action item to track the second letter.

AND, on a related matter, let's shoot to have our Special review results published at the same time as, or just BEFORE the regulatory 'footprint' letter (CAL).
randy

>>> Daniel Holody 06/22/04 08:09AM >>>

Randy

I was thinking whether it might be more appropriate to handle the 6/9 UCS letter (the original GT), and the more recent 6/21 UCS letter, with the same NRC response letter - in our GT response to the DEDR on Friday 6/18, we proposed a 7/30 due date for the response to the 6/9 letter [REDACTED] is issued to the licensee).

EX 5

The 6/9 letter calls for shutting the plants down, or in the alternative, tying PSEG down to commitments by a certain due date (at the 6/16 meeting, UCS suggested a Confirmatory Order or CAL to do the latter). The 6/21 letter gives some additional reasons why UCS is requesting the shutdowns.

dan

>>> A. Randolph Blough 06/21/04 05:13PM >>>

More correspondence from UCS arguing for aggressive NRC action on S/HC (e.g., S/D order)

cyndy -- please put into ADAMS, publicly available.

Br#3 -- please share with key internal S/HC stakeholders. Also, get a R1 action item for the response, to be signed by hub. Specify that this should be signed out after the green ticket reply to the 6/9 /2004 UCS letter on similar matters.

thanks.

randy

CC: Cynthia O'Daniell; Hubert J. Miller; James Clifford; James Wiggins; Scott Barber

information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions 5
FOIA- 2004-214

N-82