

Allegation No.: RI-2002-A-0160 (SSW)
Site/Facility: Salem
ARB Date: 01/08/03

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: N/A
Confidentiality Granted: N/A

Issue discussed:-- The resident inspectors identified one potential safety issue and two potential staff suspected wrongdoing (SSW) issues based on information brought out during their conversation with the [REDACTED] was not presenting the issues as allegations, as suspected wrongdoing matters, or as issues requiring followup. Rather, he was discussing matters in the context of management's interface with union workers.] The SSW issues relate to (1) a potential unauthorized installation of commercial grade equipment to assist in chemistry monitoring and (2) the apparent failure to document the issue in the corrective action system once it was raised to management in August 2002. The technical issue relates to the installation of commercial grade computer equipment that could be used to improve monitoring capability. The technical concerns relate to making additional penetrations through existing fire barriers and/or installing power supplies in parallel with existing monitoring equipment.

7C

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? N/A

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Rogge Branch Chief (AOC) - Barber (Act) SAC - Vito
OI Rep. - Monroe, Rzepka RI Counsel - Fewell Others - Meyer, Walker

DISPOSITION ACTIONS: (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

- 1. DRP to review existing installation to determine if added equipment effects safety related activity. Provide documentation of review to SAC and OI.

Responsible Person: Meyer ECD: 1/17/2003
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

- 2. Repanel to determine if OI investigation is warranted

Responsible Person: SAC ECD: 1/22/03
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: The risk significance of this concern appears low since [REDACTED] personnel that might be involved with any future tagging evolutions would be knowledgeable of the equipment installation.

7C

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):
Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions 7C
2004-214
FOIA- _____

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

N-SS

Although SSW is usually of concern because of it involves personal integrity, the low significance of the underlying technical issues mollifies this inherent priority.

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? _____

When did the potential violation occur? _____

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues) _____

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)