From: A. Randolph Blough / RI
To: David Vito
Date: Mon, Nov 4, 2002 4:09 PM
Subject: Re: Salem/HC Allegations RI-2002-A-0132 (security) and RI-2002-A-0137 (Hilti bolts/H&I)

ok by me; Geoff Go & I both thought, when we sent this, that they would be likely to ask for more time!

>>> David Vito 11/04/02 03:58PM >>>
RI-2002-A-0132

Tom Lake from Salem/HC ECP called me this afternoon to request a 30 day extension for responding to the referral for RI-2002-A-0132 (Salem/HC security guard issues from 10/11/02 meeting in Wilmington). They have brought in contractors to do the review, which includes an hour long interview with each security guard (I am assuming primarily to get an answer re: the work environment issue). I did not think that the request was a problem, and gave him tentative approval. Please let me know if anyone has a problem with this.

RI-2002-A-0137

The alleger called to follow up with me on his phone call to the HQ Ops Officer that prompted the allegation. He provided essentially the same concerns (acceptability of cable tray anchor bolts, H&I, negative feedback when asking for respirators); however he did provide some additional specific detail regarding each concern. He indicated that he would be putting all of his detail down on paper and wanted to provided that information to the NRC. I gave him my P. O. Box number and he promised to get the information to me within about a week.

He also wanted to discuss the event in which someone cut cables in a cable tray with a razor knife (the alleger didn't acknowledge the [redacted] This was initially provided as an example of H&I against the alleger, who said [redacted] per specifications. The alleger indicated that that he was not the only person [redacted] For this reason, he felt that licensee should be checkng all of the work that was done to make sure that no other cables were cut, and he wasn't sure if that was ever done. 7C

<u>I was also tasked at the ARB to ask him if he would object to licensee referral (of his technical concerns). I did, and he does not object.</u>

<u>Brad F.===></u> The ARB also tasked you with doing a prima facie review so that we can determine whether OI will open a case and interview the alleger. We need that from you in order to move forward. The alleger asked what we were doing with his discrimination concern, and I told him we were still evaluating it to determine whether we would open an investigation.

CC: Geoff Go

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions 7C
FOIA- 2004-314

N-49