

Allegation No.: RI-2002-A-0144
Site/Facility: Salem/Hope Creek
ARB Date: 11/27/2002

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: No
Confidentiality Granted: No

Allegor contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Yes

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Holian Branch Chief (AOC) - Meyer SAC - Vito
OI Rep. - Wilson RI Counsel - Fewell Others - Dimitriadis, Crlenjak

DISPOSITION ACTIONS: (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

- 1) Acknowledgment letter

Responsible Person: SAC
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 12/13/2002
Completed: _____

- 2) Refer technical issue to PSEG. DRP to provide enclosure for referral letter.

Responsible Person: Meyer
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 12/18/2002
Completed: _____

- 3) Await license response

Responsible Person: Meyer/J White
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 1/17/2003
Completed: _____

- 4) Review licensee response.

Responsible Person: Meyer/J White
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 1/31/2003
Completed: _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: The risk significance of this concern appears low since it is unlikely that a response plan would _____
_____ to operate computer equipment.

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):
Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information

Act, exemptions 2
FOIA- 2004-314

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

84
2

2

21-40

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? _____

When did the potential violation occur? _____

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)