## **EXHIBIT 17A**

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions  $\underline{-10}$ FOIA- $\underline{-0191}$ 

BIL

Case No. 1-2003-010

÷

Exhibit 17

Notes from Meeting Between

## pn 8/19/02

Conference Room 11. I attended as a note taker. The meeting was to discuss refusal to accept a TARP assignment of the stated that the purpose of the meeting was to discussion issues and the meeting was in agreement with was a closed issue. The stated that had met with the burner was in agreement with the purpose of the meeting was to expressed concerned over reporting time and the fact that

said that the 90 minute reporting time created said that TARP was not doing what was required by an undue hardship on family the procedure, said that was 'taking a stand for safety' and as such what protection under OA and Federal Law said that TARP assignment is not a condition of employment. said that skill set was not appropriate for most TARPs. Several times, asked about the consequences of refusing this assignment, including getting a letter from Human Resources. and said the consequences have not yet been decided, but could be up to, and including, termination. A said that refusal of a TARP position was discussed using the Consensus method with several group including HR. expressed concern about the ability to leave site during an accident t stated again that had issues with procedural compliance that were 'cross-cutting issues' and that was 'taking a stand for safety' Standasked if they could met with Said that already had discussed this with and that they were in agreement, asked, if staccepted this assignment, and the discussed refusal and there was a moving target, but //would not be insuboardinate if asked again if would accept the position / fill said that it needed to talk to a HR and a lawyer. A strength of the strength of the several people within the company again asked for the consequence of refusal and to meet with again. Again answered that the consequences are yet to be determined, but could be termination would talk to the senior NRC rep and that this meeting had a 'chilling effect' said that baid that PSEG had been cited several times for a chilling effect before. on asked again if would accept the assignment. said to do whatever you in Onterpreted this as a refusal and told and that was suspended want. stated that accepted the assignment and superscinded the suspension said that and I were intimidating The meeting ended

EXHIBIT PACE

創作 62003-010

÷.,