

EXHIBIT 13

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions b1
EOIA- 2004-0191

B/10

INTERVIEW REPORT
OF
KENNETH F. MOORE

On Monday, May 5, 2003, Kenneth F. MOORE, Technical Manager, Operations Department, PSEG LLC (PSEG), working at the Salem and Hope Creek Generating Stations (Salem/Hope Creek), Artificial Island, New Jersey, was interviewed by Kristin Monroe, Special Agent, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Office of Investigations (OI), Region I Field Office, concerning an allegation that [REDACTED] Senior Engineer, Salem/Hope Creek was discriminated against for raising a safety concern. The interview took place in a conference room in the TB2 Building at Salem/Hope Creek and began at 9:30 a.m. MOORE stated substantially as follows:

MOORE was born on [REDACTED]. His social security number is [REDACTED]. MOORE's home mailing address is [REDACTED]. He has a B.S. degree in Nuclear Engineering Technology from Thomas Edison University and an M.B.A. degree from Widener University. MOORE was [REDACTED]. He has worked at Salem/Hope Creek for approximately 22 years. MOORE currently reports to Lon WALDINGER, Director, Operations, Salem/Hope Creek. MOORE's office telephone number is (856) 339-2537.

AGENT'S NOTE: Prior to starting the interview, MOORE was given the opportunity to review PSE&G Notification Number [REDACTED] (notification) that was initiated by [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] regarding Post-Transient Response Requirements (TARP).

MOORE explained that the TARP team is a multi-disciplined team that is called in by shift managers to help station operations if the plant had any type of issue which needed extra management or engineering input. MOORE got involved with TARP when he was asked to take the TARP roster and rework it because the TARP schedule did not align with the Emergency Response Organization (ERO) schedule, in that an individual might be on both the TARP team and in the ERO and could potentially be in an on call status two weeks out of each month.

MOORE recalled that [REDACTED] was one of the people selected for the TARP team. He did not know how [REDACTED] was selected to be on TARP. MOORE learned from [REDACTED] (and [REDACTED] supervisor), that [REDACTED] had some issues about being on the TARP team and was somewhat "miffed" about being assigned to TARP.

MOORE became involved with the [REDACTED] initiated notification when [REDACTED] asked him to perform an independent review of [REDACTED] response to the notification. The notification involved several concerns that [REDACTED] had with the TARP procedure [SH.OP-AP.ZZ-0101(Q) - Rev. 6.].

MOORE recalled that [REDACTED]'s issues were that there were not enough ERO qualified people to validate if an event classification was correct and that there was non-compliance with the

NC portions

EXHIBIT 13

TARP procedure. Regarding the procedural non-compliance aspect of [REDACTED]'s concern, MOORE thought that it had to do with being ERO qualified. MOORE pointed out that TARP members did not need to be ERO qualified and indicated that there were a lot of people on TARP who were not on the ERO.

MOORE reviewed [REDACTED]'s response and told [REDACTED] that it looked good. MOORE did not make any modification to the notification and viewed it as almost a non-issue. He opined that [REDACTED]'s real issue was that he had been asked to be on the TARP team when he did not want to be on it.

This Report of Interview was transcribed on May 15, 2003.
Reviewed by MOORE on May 16, 2003.

Reported by:



Kristin Monroe, Special Agent
NRC Office of Investigations
Region I Field Office

EPW
Case No. 1-2003-010

2

EXHIBIT 13
PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGE(S)

nc portions